



Corrigendum

To cite this article: (2014) Corrigendum, European Journal of Phycology, 49:4, 576-576, DOI: [10.1080/09670262.2014.957726](https://doi.org/10.1080/09670262.2014.957726)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/09670262.2014.957726>



Published online: 09 Oct 2014.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 435



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)

CORRIGENDUM

A revised classification of the Sphacelariales (Phaeophyceae) inferred from a *psbC* and *rbcL* based phylogeny. *Eur. J. Phycol.* 45(3): 308–326 (2010)

S.G.A. Draisma, W.F. Prud'homme van Reine and H. Kawai

On p. 322 the type information of *Battersia arctica* (Harvey) Draisma, Prud'homme et H. Kawai, **comb. nov.** should read “(TCD: holotype; BM)” instead of “(BM: holotype; TCD)”, because the holotype is in TCD and a presumed isotype in BM.

On p. 322 the authority Harvey in *Herpodiscus bornetii* (Harvey) Draisma, Prud'homme et H. Kawai, **comb. nov.** is incorrect and should be replaced with Hariot (abbreviated Har.). The new combination as published in the original publication remains valid as it is clear what the authors meant.

On p. 323 the new combination *Herpodiscus chorizocarpus* (Sauvageau) Draisma, Prud'homme et H. Kawai was omitted. It should have been included between *Herpodiscus carpoglossi* (Womersley) Draisma, Prud'homme et H. Kawai and *Herpodiscus implicatus* (Sauv.) Draisma, Prud'homme et H. Kawai. The new combination is proposed here:

Herpodiscus chorizocarpus (Sauvageau) Draisma, Prud'homme et Kawai, **comb. nov.**

BASIONYM: *Sphacelaria chorizocarpa* Sauv. in *J. Bot. (Morot)* 14: 310–312, fig. 11; 1900 (= Rem. Sphacél. p. 39–42).

TYPE: P.F. Preiss *s.n.*, Busselton, Geographe Bay, on *Cystophora monilifera*, Western Australia, herb. Thuret (PC, holotype). Note: Sauvageau gives “Pries” instead of “Preiss”.

Also on page 323, under *Herpodiscus sympodiocarpus* (Sauvageau) Draisma, Prud'homme et H. Kawai, **comb. nov.**, the basionym (*Sphacelaria sympodiocarpa* Sauvageau) is correct, but what follows (Descr. in Womersley in *Mar. Benth. Fl. S. Austral.* II: p. 154, figs 47 H–I; 1987.) is wrong. This should be replaced with “Descr. in *J. Bot. (Morot)* 14: 306–309, fig. 10 (= Rem. Sphacél. 36–39)” and then proceed with “Descr. in Prud'homme van Reine ...”.

Acknowledgements

Michael Guiry and Sandra Lindstrom are thanked for notifying us about two mistakes.