Phylogenetic reassessment of Specklinia and its allied genera in the Pleurothallidinae (Orchidaceae)

A.P. Karremans (Adam Philip), F.J. Albertazzi, F.T. Bakker, D. Bogarín (Diego), M.C.M. Eurlings (Marcel), A. Pridgeon, F. Pupulin, B. Gravendeel (Barbara)

Phytotaxa 272 (1): 001–036
http://www.mapress.com/j/pt/

Article

ISSN 1179-3155 (print edition)
PHYTOTAXA
ISSN 1179-3163 (online edition)

http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.272.1.1

Phylogenetic reassessment of *Specklinia* and its allied genera in the Pleurothallidinae (Orchidaceae)

ADAM P. KARREMANS[1,2], FEDERICO J. ALBERTAZZI[3], FREEK T. BAKKER[4], DIEGO BOGARÍN[1,5], MARCEL C.M. EURLINGS[2], ALEC PRIDGEON[6], FRANCO PUPULIN[1,7] & BARBARA GRAVENDEEL[2]

[1]*Lankester Botanical Garden, University of Costa Rica, P.O. Box 302-7050 Cartago, Costa Rica*; *e-mail: adam.karremans@ucr.ac.cr.*
[2]*Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Leiden, The Netherlands.*
[3]*Centro de Investigación en Biología y Molecular (CIBCM), University of Costa Rica.*
[4]*Biosystematics Group, Wageningen University, Wageningen, The Netherlands.*
[5]*Herbario UCH, Universidad Autónoma de Chiriquí, 0427, David, Chiriquí, Panama.*
[6]*Sainsbury Orchid Fellow, Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, U.K.*
[7]*Harvard University Herbaria, Cambridge, MA, U.S.A.; Marie Selby Botanical Gardens, Sarasota, FL, U.S.A.*

Abstract

The phylogenetic relationships within *Specklinia* (Pleurothallidinae; Orchidaceae) and related genera are re-evaluated using Bayesian analyses of nrITS and chloroplast *matK* sequence data of a wide sampling of species. *Specklinia* is found paraphyletic in the DNA based trees, with species alternatively assigned to *Muscarella* proven distinct, monophyletic and easily recognizable. *Specklinia* as such includes about 100 morphologically highly diverse species. Their phenotypic differences have prompted the creation of up to eleven generic names within this relatively small group. Here we show not only that these morphologically divergent species are closely related, but also that they can still be recognized by certain conserved morphological traits. The genera *Acostaea*, *Areldia*, *Empusella*, *Cucumeria*, *Gerardoa*, *Pseudoctomeria*, *Sarcinula*, *Sylphia*, *Tribulago* and *Tridelta* are found embedded within *Specklinia*, and therefore reduced under the synonymy of the latter. *Specklinia* is confirmed as sister to a clade that includes *Platystele*, *Scaphosepalum* and *Teagueia*. Five well-supported subgenera are proposed for *Specklinia* and are characterized both geographically and morphologically. The species belonging to each subgenus are listed. *Incaea* is synonymized with *Dryadella*, while *Rubellia* is reduced under *Platystele*. New combinations for several species are proposed. The criteria for the generic delimitation of *Specklinia* and other genera in the Pleurothallidinae are discussed.

Key words: generic delimitation, molecular phylogeny, morphology, *Muscarella*, synonyms, systematics

Introduction

How to adequately circumscribe a genus is still highly debatable. Genera, as well as higher taxa, are frequently considered arbitrarily ranked groups of species. Arbitrariness is reduced by the implementation of objective methodologies that result in the establishment of biologically significant groups. Recent systematic work, especially such that includes analyses of DNA sequences, tends to result in more inclusive generic delimitations, whereas work based on morphological data tends to result in narrower generic delimitations (Humphreys & Linder 2009). The authors suggest that "good genera are predictive and stable", which can be attained by assessing, for example, their morphological recognisability, monophyly and reproductive isolation (Scopece *et al.* 2010). Barraclough & Humphreys (2015) go further and provide preliminary methods for determining evolutionary significant higher units in an attempt to reduce the subjectivity in the circumscription of genera (among others).

Traditionally, *Specklinia* Lindley (1830: pl. 8) (Orchidaceae: Pleurothallidinae) has been considered a synonym of *Pleurothallis* Brown (1813: 211) (Luer 1986). However, the generic limits of the mammoth genus *Pleurothallis* were recircumscribed (Pridgeon & Chase 2001) on the basis of molecularly based phylogenetic studies by Pridgeon *et al.* (2001). The authors presented new evidence for re-establishing *Specklinia*, recognizing 86 species in it. In their analyses a morphologically highly heterogeneous set of taxa, including *Dryadella simula* (Reichenbach 1875a: 8) Luer (1978: 209), *Pleurothallis costaricensis* Rolfe (1917: 80), *P. lentiginosa* F.Lehm. & Kraenzl. in Kränzlin (1899: 446), *P. endotrachys* Reichenbach (1876: 95), *Acostaea costaricensis* Schlechter (1923a: 284), and species of the genera

Accepted by Cássio van den Berg: 21 Jul. 2016; published: 26 Aug. 2016

Platystele Schlechter (1910: 565) and *Scaphosepalum* Pfitzer (1888: 139), were found together as a clade. In the tree obtained from the nrITS DNA matrix, based on a larger sampling, *P. lanceola* (Swartz 1788: 123) Sprengel (1826: 731)—the type species of *Specklinia*—was found together with *P. endotrachys*, *P. fulgens* Reichenbach (1875b: 516), *P. lateritia* Endrés ex Reichenbach (1872: 731), *P. lentiginosa*, and *P. tribuloides* (Swartz 1788: 123) Lindley (1830: 6), forming a distinct subclade treated by the authors as the "core" *Specklinia*.

The recircumscribed *Specklinia* included species previously assigned to *Pleurothallis* subgen. *Specklinia* (Lindley 1830: pl. 8) Garay (1974: 121) [*P*. sects. *Hymenodanthae* Barbosa Rodrigues (1882: 9), *Tribuloides* Luer (1986: 91), *Muscariae* Luer (1986: 89)], subgen. *Empusella* Luer (1986: 41), subgen. *Pseudoctomeria* (Kränzlin 1925: 116) Luer (1986: 67) and *Acostaea* Schlechter (1923: 283), showing low levels of sequence divergence (Pridgeon & Chase 2001). Among the morphological features useful to define *Specklinia*, the authors indicated the, usually, small plants with a short stem with an annulus, the variously connate sepals, and the hinged lip; the sepals and petals of *Specklinia* being mostly membranous; the column with a toothed apex; and ventral anther and stigma. However, even with the removal of the basal *Dryadella* Luer (1978: 207) and the derived *Platystele* and *Scaphosepalum*, the resulting circumscription of *Specklinia* is variable both in terms of vegetative and floral morphology (Luer 2006, Pupulin *et al.* 2012, Bogarín *et al.* 2013, Karremans *et al.* 2013a).

Specklinia is thus difficult to characterize on the basis of a particular set of distinguishing morphological features (Karremans 2014), promoting the creation of several new genera, expressly designed to fit one or more morphologically aberrant species of *Specklinia* (Luer 2004, 2006). Due to the different interpretations of the circumscription of *Specklinia*, it has been difficult to estimate the actual number of species belonging to the genus. Pridgeon (2005) accounted for 200 species, but one year later Luer (2006) reduced the genus to some 40 species. Most recently Barros & Rodrigues (2009) accounted for 420 species, about five times the original number transferred by Pridgeon & Chase (2001).

Here we have chosen to re-evaluate phylogenetic relationships among the species with *Specklinia* affinity using a wide range of evidence. Our approach is to combine a molecular phylogenetic reconstruction covering about half of the species that belong to the genus as defined here, with a morphological and geographical characterization, as well as the establishment of a subgeneric classification. Our main goal is to understand relationships among species of all the proposed genera within this species group. The *Specklinia* affinity as defined here includes the following generic names: *Acostaea*, *Areldia* Luer (2004: 255), *Cucumeria* Luer (2004: 257), *Dryadella*, *Empusella* (Luer) Luer (2004: 258), *Gerardoa* Luer (2006: 86), *Incaea* Luer (2006: 87), *Muscarella* Luer (2006: 94), *Platystele*, *Pseudoctomeria* Kränzlin (1925: 116), *Rubellia* (Luer 1986: 73) Luer (2004: 258), *Sarcinula* Luer (2006: 201), *Scaphosepalum*, *Specklinia*, *Sylphia* Luer (2006: 227), *Teagueia* (Luer 1986: 45) Luer (1991a: 140), *Tribulago* Luer (2006: 265), *Tridelta* Luer (2006: 232), *Trigonanthe* (Schlechter 1925: 48) Brieger (1975: 448) and *Verapazia* Archila (1999: 32).

Materials and methods

Specimens were field collected or obtained from the living collections at Lankester Botanical Garden (JBL), University of Costa Rica, the Hortus botanicus in Leiden (L), or from the private collections of G. Villalobos in Costa Rica, G. Vierling in Germany, and W. Driessen, P. Dubbeldam, T. Sijm and J. Wubben in the Netherlands. Selection of material was done on the basis of availability and interspecific variation. At least one representative of each genus, subgenus, or other grouping accepted in the alternative classification systems (Pridgeon 2005; Luer 2006) was included in the sampling when available. Many of the species included are Costa Rican in distribution, reflecting the prevailing nature of the JBL collections; however, specimens from a wide geographical range have been included as well. Putative species are represented by more than a single accession whenever possible, in order to assure better species delimitation, reducing risks of laboratory mix-ups and in accounting for sequencing error. Vouchers of specimens used are kept in the liquid collections at JBL or L, unless specified otherwise (Table 1).

DNA sequences of *Masdevallia hornii* Königer (1991: 190) (= *Phloeophila yupanki* (Luer & R.Vásquez in Luer 1984: 203) Pridgeon & Chase (2001: 254), *Platystele catiensis* Karremans & Bogarín in Fernández *et al.* (2013: 265), *Platystele tica* Karremans & Bogarín in Fernández *et al.* (2013: 271), *Specklinia absurda* Bogarín, Karremans & R.Rincón in Bogarín *et al.* (2013: 34), *Specklinia acoana* Bogarín in Bogarín *et al.* (2014a: 188), *Specklinia berolinensis* Bogarín in Bogarín *et al.* (2014a: 191), *Specklinia remotiflora* Pupulin & Karremans in Pupulin *et al.* (2012: 11) and *Specklinia succulenta* Bellone & Archila (2013: 86) were obtained from the plants that served as type material.

TABLE 1. List of vouchers and GenBank accesions used in the phylogenetic analyses. Scientific names mostly follow Pridgeon (2005).

Taxon	Voucher collector and number	nrITS	*matK*	Source
Anathallis grayumii (Luer) Luer (1)	*Karremans 2747*	KC425730	-	Karremans 2014
Anathallis grayumii (Luer) Luer (2)	*Pupulin 3794*	KC425731	KP012494	Karremans 2014
Anathallis lewisiae (Ames) Solano & Soto Arenas	*Bogarín 1056*	KC425733	KC425858	Karremans 2014
Anathallis pabstii (Garay) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase	*Karremans 4821*	KC425737	KC425859	Karremans 2014
Anathallis rabei (Foldats) Luer	*Karremans 4794*	KC425738	KC425860	Karremans 2014
Dryadella albicans (Luer) Luer	*Karremans 4861*	KC425742	KC425863	This Study
Dryadella aviceps (Rchb. f.) Luer	*van Den Berg 1989*	JQ306381	-	GenBank
Dryadella edwallii (Cogn.) Luer	*Chase 305*	AF262824	AF265454	Pridgeon *et al.* 2001
Dryadella guatemalensis (Schltr.) Luer	*Karremans 3642*	KC425743	-	This Study
Dryadella hirtzii Luer	*BGH-123364*	EF079367	EF079327	GenBank
Dryadella kautskyi (Pabst) Luer	*Van Den Berg 1997*	JQ306380	-	GenBank
Dryadella simula (Rchb. f.) Luer	*Chase 1095*	AF262825	AF265453	Pridgeon *et al.* 2001
Dryadella susanae (Pabst) Luer	*Chiron 11240*	JQ306486	-	GenBank
Echinosepala aspasicensis (Rchb.f.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase	*Chase 971*	AF262905	-	Pridgeon *et al.* 2001
Echinosepala aspasicensis (Rchb.f.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase	*Bogarín 1945*	-	EU214340	GenBank
Lankesteriana barbulata (Lindl.) Karremans	*Bogarín 8606*	KC425726	KC425856	Karremans 2014
Lepanthopsis apoda (Garay & Dunst.) Luer	*Pridgeon 126*	KF747841	-	This Study
Pabstiella parvifolia (Lindl.) Luer (1)	*Karremans 2680*	KC425812	KP012497	This Study
Pabstiella parvifolia (Lindl.) Luer (2)	*Karremans 2680*	KC425813	-	This Study
Phloeophila nummularia (Rchb. f.) Garay (1)	*Karremans 5959*	KF747839	KP012380	This Study
Phloeophila nummularia (Rchb. f.) Garay (2)	*Karremans 5982*	-	KP012381	This Study
Phloeophila nummularia (Rchb. f.) Garay (3)	*Stenzel 896*	KC425841	-	Stenzel 2004
Phloeophila pelecaniceps (Luer) Pridgeon & M.W. Chase	*Chase 1128*	AF262810	AF265450	Pridgeon *et al.* 2001
Phloeophila peperomioides (Ames) Garay (1)	*None*	AF275690	AF291103	Pridgeon *et al.* 2001
Phloeophila peperomioides (Ames) Garay (2)	*Bogarín 7112*	KC425745	-	This Study
Phloeophila pleurothallopsis (Kraenzl.) Pridgeon & M.W. Chase (1)	*Chase 978*	AF262812	-	Pridgeon *et al.* 2001
Phloeophila pleurothallopsis (Kraenzl.) Pridgeon & M.W. Chase (2)	*Chase 5638*	AF262811	AF265451	Pridgeon *et al.* 2001
Phloeophila pleurothallopsis (Kraenzl.) Pridgeon & M.W. Chase (3)	*Karremans 4818*	KC425746	KP012495	This Study
Phloeophila pleurothallopsis (Kraenzl.) Pridgeon & M.W. Chase (4)	*Karremans 4856*	KC425747	KP012496	This Study
Phloeophila yupanki (Luer & R.Vásquez) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (1)	*Karremans 4858*	KC425748	KP012498	This Study
*Phloeophila yupanki (*Luer & R.Vásquez) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (2)	*Karremans 5706a*	KF747776	KP012382	This Study
Phloeophila yupanki (Luer & R.Vásquez) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (3)	*Karremans 5706b*	KF747777	-	This Study
Platystele acicularis Luer & Hirtz	*Karremans 5785*	KF747778	KP012383	This Study
Platystele aurea Garay (1)	*Karremans 4807*	KC425762	-	This Study
Platystele aurea Garay (2)	*Karremans 5707b*	-	-	This Study
Platystele aurea Garay (3)	*Karremans 5707a*	KF747779	-	This Study
Platystele beatricis P. Ortiz	*Karremans 4801*	KC425749	KP012499	This Study
Platystele catiensis Karremans & Bogarín	*Bogarín 9661*	-	KP012384	This Study
Platystele caudatisepala (C.Schweinf.) Garay	*Bogarín 10230*	-	KP012385	This Study
Platystele compacta (Ames) Ames	*Karremans 4088*	KC425750	-	This Study
Platystele consobrina Luer	*Karremans 4835*	KC425751	-	This Study
Platystele gyroglossa Luer	*Karremans 4834*	KC425752	-	This Study
Platystele hirtzii Luer	*Karremans 5755*	KF747780	-	This Study
Platystele lancilabris (Rchb.f.) Schltr.	*Bogarín 10593*	-	KP012386	This Study
Platystele microtatantha (Schltr.) Garay	*Bogarín 8022*	KF747781	-	This Study
Platystele minimiflora (Schltr.) Garay	*Karremans 5980*	KF747782	KP012387	This Study
Platystele misasiana P. Ortiz	*Karremans 5768*	KF747783	KP012388	This Study
Platystele misera (Lindl.) Garay (1)	*Karremans 5749*	KF747784	KP012389	This Study
Platystele misera (Lindl.) Garay (2)	*Chase 5625*	AF262823	AF265470	Pridgeon *et al.* 2001
Platystele ovatilabia (Ames & C. Schweinf.) Garay	*Bogarín 3941*	KC425753	-	This Study
Platystele oxyglossa (Schltr.) Garay	*Karremans 4253*	KC425754	KP012500	This Study
Platystele oxyglossa (Schltr.) Garay aff.	*Karremans 5407*	KC425755	-	This Study
Platystele propinqua (Ames) Garay	*C.M. Smith 500*	KF747785	KP012390	This Study
Platystele reflexa Luer aff.	*Karremans 5733*	KC425756	-	This Study
Platystele schmidtchenii Schltr.	*Karremans 5995*	KF747786	-	This Study
Platystele stenostachya (Rchb.f.) Garay (1)	*Bogarín 5806*	KF747787	-	This Study
Platystele stenostachya (Rchb.f.) Garay (2)	*Pupulin 7919*	KC425759	KP012501	This Study
Platystele stenostachya (Rchb.f.) Garay (3)	*Chase 5618*	AF262821	-	Pridgeon *et al.* 2001

...continued on the next page

TABLE 1. (Continued)

Taxon	Voucher collector and number	nrITS	*matK*	Source
Platystele tica Karremans & Bogarín	*Karremans 5829A*	KP012458	KP012391	This Study
Platystele ximenae Luer & Hirtz	*Karremans 4865*	KC425760	KP012502	This Study
Scaphosepalum anchoriferum (Rchb.f.) Rolfe	*Bogarín 5418*	KP012459	KP012392	This Study
Scaphosepalum gibberosum (Rchb.f.) Rolfe	*Chase 968*	AF262817	AF265458	Pridgeon *et al.* 2001
Scaphosepalum grande Kraenzl.	*Chase 1107*	AF262819	-	Pridgeon *et al.* 2001
Scaphosepalum microdactylum Rolfe	*Pupulin 7897*	KP012460	KP012393	This Study
Scaphosepalum ovulare Luer	*Karremans 4809*	KC425764	KP012503	This Study
Scaphosepalum swertiifolium (Rchb.f.) Rolfe	*Chase 1383*	AF262818	-	Pridgeon *et al.* 2001
Scaphosepalum swertiifolium (Rchb.f.) Rolfe *aff.*	*Karremans 4811*	KC425765	KP012504	This Study
Scaphosepalum ursinum Luer (1)	*Karremans 4817*	KC425766	-	This Study
Scaphosepalum ursinum Luer (2)	*BGH-124283*	EF079365	-	GenBank
Scaphosepalum verrucosum (Rchb.f.) Pfitzer (1)	*Karremans 4812*	KC425767	KP012505	This Study
Scaphosepalum verrucosum (Rchb.f.) Pfitzer (2)	*Chase 1331*	AF262820	-	Pridgeon *et al.* 2001
Specklinia absurda Bogarín, Karremans & Rincón (1)	*Bogarín 9772*	KC425826	-	This Study
Specklinia absurda Bogarín, Karremans & Rincón (2)	*Bogarín 8711*	KC425827	KP012506	This Study
Specklinia acanthodes (Luer) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase	*Pridgeon 232*	KF747842	-	This Study
Specklinia acoana Bogarín	*A. Rojas 7718*	KF747800	-	This Study
Specklinia acrisepala (Ames & C.Schweinf.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (1)	*Karremans 3770*	KC425768	-	This Study
Specklinia alajuelensis Karremans & Pupulin (1)	*Karremans 5501*	KC425792	-	This Study
Specklinia alajuelensis Karremans & Pupulin (2)	*Karremans 3268*	KP012455	KP012411	This Study
Specklinia alajuelensis Karremans & Pupulin (3)	*Bogarín 2895*	KP012454	KP012412	This Study
Specklinia alajuelensis Karremans & Pupulin (4)	*Karremans 3265*	KC425791	-	This Study
Specklinia alata (A.Rich. & Galeotti) Solano & Soto Arenas	*Karremans 4840*	KC425806	-	This Study
Specklinia alta (Luer) Luer	*Karremans 5721*	KF747791	KP012394	This Study
Specklinia aristata (Hook.) Luer	*Stenzel 996*	KC425842	-	Stenzel 2004
Specklinia barbae (Schltr.) Luer (1)	*Karremans 5396*	KC425770	-	This Study
Specklinia barbae (Schltr.) Luer (3)	*Karremans 3928*	KC425769	-	This Study
Specklinia barbae (Schltr.) Luer (4)	*M. Fernández 646*	KP012461	KP012395	This Study
Specklinia blancoi (Pupulin) Soto Arenas & Solano ano	*Karremans 5701*	KC425772	-	This Study
Specklinia brighamii (S.Watson) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (1)	*Karremans 4799*	KC425773	-	This Study
Specklinia brighamii (S.Watson) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (2)	*JBL-00887*	KC425774	-	This Study
Specklinia cabellensis (Rchb.f.) Karremans (1)	*Karremans 5712*	KF747792	KP012396	This Study
Specklinia cabellensis (Rchb.f.) Karremans (2)	*Karremans 5712*	KF747793		This Study
Specklinia cabellensis (Rchb.f.) Karremans (3)	*Karremans 5712*	KF747794		This Study
Specklinia cactantha (Luer) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (1)	*Karremans 5965*	KF747795	KP012397	This Study
Specklinia cactantha (Luer) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (2)	*Karremans 5979*	KF747796	-	This Study
Specklinia calyptrostele (Schltr.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (1)	*Pupulin 7060*	KC425775	KP012507	This Study
Specklinia calyptrostele (Schltr.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (2)	*Pupulin 7724*	KF747798	KP012398	This Study
Specklinia chontalensis (A.H.Heller & A.D.Hawkes) Luer (1)	*Pupulin 6543*	KC425776	-	This Study
Specklinia chontalensis (A.H.Heller & A.D.Hawkes) Luer (2)	*Pupulin 6543*	KF747799	KP012399	This Study
Specklinia claviculata (Luer & Hirtz) Luer	*Karremans 4827*	KC425777	-	This Study
Specklinia colombiana (Garay) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase aff.	*Karremans 4942*	KC425825	-	This Study
Specklinia colombiana (Garay) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (1)	*Karremans 3235*	KC425809	-	This Study
Specklinia colombiana (Garay) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (2)	*M. Fernández 481*	KC425810	-	This Study
Specklinia condylata (Luer) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase aff.	*Chase 6808*	AF262873	-	Pridgeon *et al.* 2001
Specklinia corniculata (Sw.) Steud. (4)	*Karremans 5180*	KF747801	KP012400	This Study
Specklinia corniculata (Sw.) Steud. (5)	*JBL-02240a*	KF747802	KP012401	This Study
Specklinia corniculata (Sw.) Steud. (6)	*JBL-02240b*	KF747803	KP012402	This Study
Specklinia corniculata (Sw.) Steud. (1)	*JBL-02227*	KC425781	-	This Study
Specklinia corniculata (Sw.) Steud. (2)	*Karremans 4782*	KC425782	-	This Study
Specklinia corniculata (Sw.) Steud. (3)	*Stenzel 889*	KC425844	-	Stenzel 2004
Specklinia costaricensis (Rolfe) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (1)	*Chase 5636*	AF262863	-	Pridgeon *et al.* 2001
Specklinia costaricensis (Rolfe) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (2)	*Bogarín 5643*	KC425783	-	This Study
Specklinia costaricensis (Rolfe) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (3)	*Chase 5612*	AF262862	AF265459	Pridgeon *et al.* 2001
Specklinia cucumeris (Luer) Karremans (1)	*Karremans 5757a*	KF747804	KP012403	This Study
Specklinia cucumeris (Luer) Karremans (2)	*Karremans 5757b*	KF747805	-	This Study
Specklinia digitalis (Luer) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase	*Karremans 5737*	KF747806	KP012404	This Study
Specklinia displosa (Luer) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (1)	*Karremans 5713b*	KF747807	KP012405	This Study
Specklinia displosa (Luer) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (2)	*Karremans 5713c*	KF747808	-	This Study
Specklinia dodii (Garay) Luer	*Karremans 5963*	KF747809	KP012406	This Study

...continued on the next page

TABLE 1. (Continued)

Taxon	Voucher collector and number	nrITS	*matK*	Source
Specklinia dunstervillei Karremans, Pupulin & Gravend. (1)	*Karremans 5966*	KP012456	-	This Study
Specklinia dunstervillei Karremans, Pupulin & Gravend. (2)	*Karremans 5899*	-	KP012423	This Study
Specklinia endotrachys (Rchb.f.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (1)	*Blanco 961a*	KC425784	KP012508	This Study
Specklinia endotrachys (Rchb.f.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (2)	*Blanco 961b*	KF747810	KP012407	This Study
Specklinia fimbriata (Ames & C. Schweinf.) Luer	*Karremans 3718*	KC425785	-	This Study
Specklinia fuegi (Rchb.f.) Solano & Soto Arenas (1)	*Karremans 5600*	KC425786	KP012408	This Study
Specklinia fuegi (Rchb.f.) Solano & Soto Arenas (2)	*Karremans 5600*	KF747811	-	This Study
Specklinia fulgens (Rchb.f.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (1)	*Chase 5630*	AF262872	-	Pridgeon *et al.* 2001
Specklinia fulgens (Rchb.f.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (2)	*Karremans 3284*	KC425800	-	This Study
Specklinia fulgens (Rchb.f.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (3)	*JBL-001675*	KC425790	-	This Study
Specklinia fulgens (Rchb.f.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (4)	*Karremans 4843*	KC425788	-	This Study
Specklinia fulgens (Rchb.f.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (5)	*Karremans 3593*	KC425787	KP012409	This Study
Specklinia gersonii Bogarín & Karremans	*Karremans 6025*	KP012457	KP012424	This Study
Specklinia gracillima (Lindl.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (1)	*Karremans 4831*	KC425793	-	This Study
Specklinia gracillima (Lindl.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (2)	*Karremans 5999*	KF747812	-	This Study
Specklinia grisebachiana (Cogn.) Luer	*Stenzel 619*	KC425846	-	Stenzel 2004
Specklinia grobyi (Bateman ex Lindl.) F.Barros (1)	*Karremans 5463*	KF747813	-	This Study
Specklinia grobyi (Bateman ex Lindl.) F.Barros (2)	*JBL-10285*	KF747814	-	This Study
Specklinia grobyi (Bateman ex Lindl.) F.Barros (3)	*Pupulin 8187*	KC425799	-	This Study
Specklinia grobyi (Bateman ex Lindl.) F.Barros (4)	*Chiron 09357*	JQ306388	-	GenBank
Specklinia grobyi (Bateman ex Lindl.) F.Barros (5)	*Chase 1093*	AF262860	-	Pridgeon *et al.* 2001
Specklinia grobyi (Bateman ex Lindl.) F.Barros (6)	*Karremans 4220*	KC425794	-	This Study
Specklinia grobyi (Bateman ex Lindl.) F.Barros (7)	*Karremans 3759*	KC425796	-	This Study
Specklinia grobyi (Bateman ex Lindl.) F.Barros aff. (1)	*Karremans 4833*	KC425798	-	This Study
Specklinia grobyi (Bateman ex Lindl.) F.Barros aff. (2)	*Chiron 04524*	JQ306485	-	GenBank
Specklinia grobyi (Bateman ex Lindl.) F.Barros aff. (3)	*Karremans 5958*	KF747829	KP012413	This Study
Specklinia guanacastensis (Ames & C.Schweinf.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase	*Karremans 6018*	KP012464	KP012414	This Study
Specklinia hastata (Ames) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase	*Bogarín 4910*	KF747773	-	This Study
Specklinia helenae (Fawc. & Rendle) Luer	*Stenzel 766*	KC425847	-	Stenzel 2004
Specklinia herpestes (Luer) Luer (1)	*Karremans 4082a*	KC425801	-	This Study
Specklinia herpestes (Luer) Luer (2)	*Karremans 4082b*	KC425802	-	This Study
Specklinia lanceola (Sw.) Lindl. (1)	*Karremans 5503*	KC425803	-	This Study
Specklinia lanceola (Sw.) Lindl. (2)	*Pridgeon s.n.*	KC425838	-	Pridgeon & Chase 2002
Specklinia lanceola (Sw.) Lindl. (3)	*Chase 1433*	AF262861	-	Pridgeon *et al.* 2001
Specklinia lentiginosa (F.Lehm. & Kraenzl.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (1)	*None*	AF275692	-	Pridgeon *et al.* 2001
Specklinia lentiginosa (F.Lehm. & Kraenzl.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (2)	*Karremans 3011*	KC425804	-	This Study
Specklinia lichenicola (Griseb.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase	*Stenzel 452*	KC425845	-	Stenzel 2004
Specklinia llamachoi (Luer) Luer	*Stenzel 545*	KC425848	-	Stenzel 2004
Specklinia longilabris (Lindl.) Luer	*Stenzel 895*	KC425849	-	Stenzel 2004
Specklinia lugduno-batavae Karremans, Bogarín & Gravend. (1)	*Pupulin 7709*	KC425824	-	This Study
Specklinia luis-diegoi (Luer) Luer (1)	*Karremans 5500*	KC425835	-	This Study
Specklinia luis-diegoi (Luer) Luer (2)	*Karremans 5500*	KF747815	-	This Study
Specklinia macroblepharis (Rchb. f.) Luer	*Karremans 4860*	KC425805	-	This Study
Specklinia megalops (Luer) Luer	*Karremans 4792*	KC425807	-	This Study
Specklinia microphylla (A.Rich. & Galeotti) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (1)	*Bogarín 9394*	KC425808	-	This Study
Specklinia microphylla (A.Rich. & Galeotti) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (2)	*JBL-00968*	KP012465	-	This Study
Specklinia montezumae (Luer) Luer (1)	*Karremans 229*	KC425811	KP012509	This Study
Specklinia montezumae (Luer) Luer (2)	*Karremans 5751*	KF747816	-	This Study
Specklinia morganii (Luer) Luer (1)	*Karremans 5728a*	KF747817	KP012415	This Study
Specklinia morganii (Luer) Luer (2)	*Karremans 5728b*	KF747818	-	This Study
Specklinia mucronata (Lindl. ex Cogn.) Karremans	*Stenzel 478*	KC425850	-	Stenzel 2004
Specklinia obliquipetala (Acuña & C.Schweinf.) Karremans	*Stenzel 789*	KC425851	-	Stenzel 2004
Specklinia pfavii (Rchb.f.) Pupulin & Karremans (1)	*Karremans 4825*	KC425814	KP012510	This Study
Specklinia pfavii (Rchb.f.) Pupulin & Karremans (2)	*Karremans 3656*	KF747819	-	This Study
Specklinia pfavii (Rchb.f.) Pupulin & Karremans (3)	*JBL-11086*	KF747820	-	This Study
Specklinia picta (Lindl.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (1)	*van Den Berg 2146*	JQ306384	-	GenBank
Specklinia picta (Lindl.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (2)	*Karremans 4836*	KC425815	-	This Study
Specklinia picta (Lindl.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase aff.	*Chiron 06131*	JQ306385	-	GenBank
Specklinia pisinna (Lindl.) Solano & Soto Arenas (1)	*Karremans 4797*	KC425795	-	This Study

...continued on the next page

TABLE 1. (Continued)

Taxon	Voucher collector and number	nrITS	*matK*	Source
Specklinia pisinna (Lindl.) Solano & Soto Arenas (2)	*Karremans 4839*	KC425797	-	This Study
Specklinia psichion (Luer) Luer (1)	*Bogarín 8299*	KC425816	-	This Study
Specklinia psichion (Luer) Luer (2)	*Karremans 5955*	KF747821	-	This Study
Specklinia quinqueseta (Ames) Luer	*Karremans 3940*	KC425817	-	This Study
Specklinia recula (Luer) Luer (1)	*Karremans 5300a*	KF747822	KP012416	This Study
Specklinia recula (Luer) Luer (2)	*Karremans 5300b*	KF747823	KP012417	This Study
Specklinia recula (Luer) Luer (3)	*Karremans 5832*	KF747824	KP012418	This Study
Specklinia recula (Luer) Luer (4)	*Karremans 5823*	KP012466	-	This Study
Specklinia remotiflora Pupulin & Karremans (1)	*Karremans 4798a*	KC425818	KP012511	This Study
Specklinia remotiflora Pupulin & Karremans (2)	*Karremans 4798b*	KC425819	-	This Study
Specklinia remotiflora Pupulin & Karremans (3)	*Karremans 4854*	KC425820	-	This Study
Specklinia remotiflora Pupulin & Karremans aff.	*Chase 1303*	AF262859	AF265456	Pridgeon *et al.* 2001
Specklinia schaferi (Ames) Luer	*Stenzel 453*	KC425852	-	Stenzel 2004
Specklinia scolopax (Luer & R.Escobar) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase	*Karremans 4820*	KC425821	KP012512	This Study
Specklinia segregatifolia (Ames & C.Schweinf.) Solano & Soto-Arenas	*Bogarín 7990*	KC425822	-	This Study
Specklinia simmleriana (Rendle) Luer	*Karremans 4205*	KC425823	-	This Study
Specklinia sp. (1)	*Karremans 5988*	KF747774	KP012419	This Study
Specklinia sp. (2)	*Karremans 5989*	KF747775	KP012420	This Study
Specklinia sp. (4)	*Karremans 5962*	KF747828	KP012421	This Study
Specklinia sp. (5)	*Karremans 5997a*	KF747825	-	This Study
Specklinia sp. (6)	*Karremans 5997b*	KF747826	-	This Study
Specklinia sp. (7)	*Karremans 5996*	KF747827	KP012422	This Study
Specklinia sp. (8)	*Karremans 4823*	KC425779	KP012513	This Study
Specklinia spectabilis (Ames & C.Schweinf.) Pupulin & Karremans (1)	*Karremans 5250*	KC425829	-	This Study
Specklinia spectabilis (Ames & C.Schweinf.) Pupulin & Karremans (2)	*Bogarín 7401*	KC425830	-	This Study
Specklinia spectabilis (Ames & C.Schweinf.) Pupulin & Karremans (3)	*Karremans 5699*	KC425828	-	This Study
Specklinia strumosa (Ames) Luer	*Karremans 4359*	KC425831	-	This Study
Specklinia subpicta (Schltr.) F.Barros	*Chiron 11046*	JQ306389	-	GenBank
Specklinia succulenta Bellone & Archila	*Bellone 680*	JQ306383	-	GenBank
Specklinia tribuloides (Sw.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (1)	*Chase 5615*	AF262867	-	Pridgeon *et al.* 2001
Specklinia tribuloides (Sw.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (2)	*Stenzel 634*	KC425853	-	Stenzel 2004
Specklinia tribuloides (Sw.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (3)	*Karremans 3276*	KC425834	-	This Study
Specklinia tribuloides (Sw.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (4)	*Karremans 4804a*	KC425832	-	This Study
Specklinia tribuloides (Sw.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (5)	*Karremans 4804b*	KC425833	-	This Study
Specklinia trichyphis (Rchb.f.) Luer	*Stenzel 620*	KC425854	-	Stenzel 2004
Specklinia trilobata (Luer) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase	*Pridgeon 112*	KF747843	-	This Study
Specklinia truncicola (Rchb.f.) F.Barros & L.R.S.Guim.	*JG 4131*	JQ306391	-	GenBank
Specklinia turrialbae (Luer) Luer (1)	*Karremans 5635*	KF747830	KP012425	This Study
Specklinia turrialbae (Luer) Luer (2)	*Karremans 5601*	KF747831	-	This Study
Specklinia vierlingii Baumbach	*Pupulin 2894*	KC425780	-	This Study
Specklinia vittariifolia (Schltr.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (1)	*Karremans 2945*	KP012452	KP012410	This Study
Specklinia vittariifolia (Schltr.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase (2)	*Karremans 5944*	KP012453	-	This Study
Specklinia wrightii (Rchb.f.) Luer	*Stenzel 733*	KC425855	-	Stenzel 2004
Teagueia tentaculata Luer & Hirtz	*Pridgeon 142*	KF747844	-	This Study
Trichosalpinx notosibirica (T. Hashim.) Luer	*Pridgeon 225*	KF747845	-	This Study

Species analyzed:—The phylogenetics of the *Specklinia* clade of Pridgeon *et al.* (2001) was inferred using 23 species belonging to 10 proposed generic names; here 115 species are analyzed belonging to 16 proposed generic names. Taxon names follow Pridgeon (2005) unless indicated otherwise. Clades have been coded from A to J to simplify description of some species groups (Fig. 1).

DNA extraction and sequencing:—Fresh leaf and flower cuttings of about 1 cm² were obtained from all the selected individuals of each species. Each individual sample was put into a polypropylene bag with silica gel to dry for about a week after which the silica was removed and new dry silica was added. Twenty mg of every individual sample was pulverized in liquid nitrogen with a Retsch MM 300 shaker for 5 min using three bullets/glass beads. Extraction was performed following the DNEasy Plant Mini Kit extraction protocol (QIAGEN). DNA concentration for each sample was adjusted to 10 µmol/l using a NanoDrop Spectrophotometer (ND 1000).



FIGURE 1. Inferred phylogenetic relationship amongst the clades of *Specklinia* and relatives inferred from the combined nrITS+*matK* dataset, summarized by collapsing the nodes for each clade. A. Using BEAST v1.6.0., where node values are posterior probabilities. B. Using RAxML v8.1.11., where node values are bootstrap support. Clade A. *Specklinia* subgen. *Specklinia*. Clade B. *Specklinia* subgen. *Sylphia*. Clade C. *Specklinia* subgen. *Hymenodanthae*. Clade D. *Specklinia* subgen. *Acostaea*. Clade E. *Specklinia* subgen. *Sarcinula*. Clade F. *Platystele*. Clade G. *Scaphosepalum*. Clade H. *Teagueia*. Clade I. *Muscarella*. Clade J. *Dryadella*.

The nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region was amplified and sequenced using the methods and primers 17SE and 26SE of Sun *et al.* (1994). The chloroplast gene *matK* was amplified and sequenced using the Kew *matK* primers 2.1aF (ATCCATCTGGAAATCTTAGTTC) and 5R (GTTCTAGCACAAGAAAGTCG). Amplification was done by preparing each sample with a PCR mix composed of genomic DNA, Dream Taq Buffer, dNTPs, both primers, Dream Taq, water, and the extracted DNA. Samples were amplified in a MJ Research PTC-200 Pelthier Thermal Cycler, using a temperature profile of 94°C/5 min, followed by 34 cycles of 94°C/30 s, 55°C/30 s, and 72°C/2 min, and finally 72°C/10 min. Sanger sequencing was performed by Macrogen (http://www.macrogen.com) or BaseClear (http://www.baseclear.com) on an ABI 3730xl (Applied Biosystems).

Building the data sets:—The STADEN (Staden *et al.* 2003) package was used for editing the sequences. Where more than one base pair was equally probable among the Sanger tracers, the Unicode nomenclature (IUPAC) was used. In a few cases the two reads for one sample were too short and there was no overlap, so Pregap was unable to build a contig. In these cases, the forward and reverse sequences were merged by filling in missing positions with Ns. Sequences were aligned manually in Mesquite v2.72 (Maddison & Maddison 2007). The ends of each data set were trimmed and sequences were edited manually.

After the alignments had been edited, additional sequences were obtained from Hagen Stenzel (Stenzel 2004), and from NCBI GenBank, the latter using nBLAST. *Echinosepala aspasicensis* (Reichenbach 1855: 73) Pridgeon & Chase (2002: 101) was used as outgroup in all cases, as this taxon has been suggested to be the most earliest-branching lineage of all included species (Pridgeon *et al.* 2001).

Phylogenetic analysis:—The nrITS, *matK* and ITS+*matK* data sets were analyzed using the Find Model web server (available at http://www.hiv.lanl.gov/content/sequence/findmodel/findmodel.html) which uses MODELTEST [a program designed to compare different nested models of DNA substitution in a hierarchical hypothesis-testing framework (Posada & Crandall 1998)] to calculate the model scores, based on the AIC criterion. Gaps were small and scarce and therefore treated as missing data or eliminated from the data set when present in a single species. Phylogenetic inference with the maximum likelihood method was done using the randomized accelerated maximum likelihood (RAxML; Stamatakis 2006). The nrITS (not shown), *matK* (not shown) and ITS+*matK* datasets were analyzed using RAxML v8.1.11 (Stamatakis 2014), available on the CIPRES Science Gateway (Miller *et al.* 2010), with the GTR + CAT model. The program Bayesian Evolutionary Analysis and Sampling of Trees (BEAST; Drummond & Rambaut 2007) was used to analyze nrITS (not shown), *matK* (not shown), and nrITS + *matK* combined matrices. BEAST estimates rooted, time-calibrated phylogenies inferred using strict or relaxed molecular clock models. These assumptions are not always met as shown in Annonaceae (Chatrou *et al.* 2014), and even though there are no studies suggesting they are not met in Orchidaceae, we take it into consideration. BEAST is also a framework for testing evolutionary hypotheses without relying on a single tree topology. Substitution and clock models were set as unlinked. The GTR + Γ model included estimated frequencies, and 10 rate categories were used to model Γ distribution for both nrITS + *matK*. A relaxed clock model was used for both partitions; however, the model used for nrITS was lognormal, while for *matK* it was set to exponential, a better fit for the data. The used tree prior was Yule model, and the number of generations of the Markov Chain was set to 30,000,000 for the gene single matrices and 50,000,000 for the combined gene matrix. TreeAnnotator was used to summarize the information from the resulting tree into a single "target" tree. The first 20% of the resulting trees were used as burn-in.

Concatenating gene sequences for phylogenetic analysis can lead to artifacts, especially when discrepancies are found between the individual gene trees (Edwards *et al.* 2007, Kubatko & Degnan 2007, Pirie 2015). As recommended by Pirie (2015) we also present the individual gene trees and identified whether strongly supported incongruence existed in the topologies and support values of our nrITS and *matK*-based trees. In the concatenated data set, nrITS sequences are directly followed by the *matK* sequence. In some cases one of the two sequences was not available but these were then equally analyzed as missing data. This has been proven not to interfere with the final results when sampling size is large enough (Wiens 2006, Karremans 2010, Karremans *et al.* 2013b). Trees were visualized in FigTree v.1.3.1 (Rambaut 2009). Posterior probability (PP) values and bootstraps were added to the branches of the trees using the labeling option. Branches were re-ordered decreasingly.

Morphological recognition:—Morphological characterization of each clade was achieved by evaluating the available plant material or, when no entire voucher was available, by relying on the cited literature, mostly Luer (2006). The morphological dissimilarities among species of *Specklinia* has led to a proliferation of generic concepts, proposing the segregation of several small species groups from the genus. *Specklinia*, as defined here, includes the type species of the genera *Acostaea*, *Cucumeria*, *Empusella*, *Pseudoctomeria*, *Sarcinula*, *Sylphia*, and *Tribulago* (Pridgeon 2005). The type of the monotypic genus *Gerardoa* Luer was also transferred to *Specklinia* (Luer 2004), and morphological similarity would suggest that the monotypic *Areldia* and *Tridelta* might also belong in a broad concept

of *Specklinia*. Lastly, Luer (2006) segregated species of *Pleurothallis* subgen. *Specklinia* sect. *Muscariae* Luer (1986: 89) into *Muscarella*, a genus that has been mostly considered a synonym of *Specklinia*.

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM):—Tissue samples of floral parts were prepared for SEM observation by harvesting tissue from flowers up to 48 h after the beginning of anthesis, fixing in FAA (ethanol 50%, acetic acid, formalin at a proportion of 18:1:1 v/v), and dehydration through a series of ethanol steps and critical-point drying using liquid CO_2. Dried samples were mounted and sputter-coated with gold and observed with a JEOL JSM-5300 scanning electron microscope, at an accelerating voltage of 10 kV. All images were processed digitally.

Macrophotography:—Color images of whole flowers and pollinaria were made using a Nikon® D5100, D5300 or D7100 digital camera, a DFC295 Leica® digital microscope color camera with Leica FireCam version 3.4.1 software, and an Epson® V370 Photo Scanner. Adobe Photoshop® was used for editing images and stacking whenever necessary.

Geographical distribution:—We present and discuss the geographical data summarized per each clade. The data were taken from known reported species distributions in the literature, especially by Luer (1988, 1990, 1991a, 2005, 2006).

Results

Phylogenetic analyses:—The resulting "target" tree of the Bayesian and "most likely" tree from the Likelihood analysis of the combined nrITS and *matK* matrices have been used to establish the clades, A through J (Fig. 1); the same clades were not found back in every single analysis. The two support measures from those analyses are given for each clade discussed here-forth. The resulting trees from the individual datasets are not shown. A summary of all the support values is given (Table 2). Differences between the separate analyses of the plastid *matK* and nuclear ITS matrices were found. Nevertheless, those are mostly due to the low resolution of the *matK* analyses and do not represent "hard" incongruences. The combined matrix usually recovered clades with higher support and more consistent results and is thus is chosen for the discussion. Concatenation of sequences was not always possible as fewer *matK* sequences were available. Support measures are given as Posterior Probabilities (P.P.) and Bootstrap (Bp).

The *Specklinia* clade (Figs. 2 and 3; P.P.=61; Bp=56) is sister to a clade that includes accessions of *Platystele*, *Scaphosepalum* and *Teagueia*.

Clade A: (Figs. 2 and 3; *Specklinia* subgen. *Specklinia*; P.P.=1; Bp=84) includes all species of *Specklinia* with reddish orange to greenish orange-stained flowers. It includes the accessions of *Specklinia endotrachys* (Reichenbach 1876: 95) Pridgeon & Chase (2001: 257), the type species of *Empusella*, *S. lanceola* (Swartz 1788: 123) Lindley (1830: 8), the type species of *Specklinia*, *S. lentiginosa* F.Lehm. & Kraenzl. in Kränzlin (1899: 446) Pridgeon & Chase (2001: 258), the type species of *Pseudoctomeria, S. montezumae* (Luer 1996: 83) Luer (2004: 262), the type species of *Gerardoa*, and *S. tribuloides* (Swartz, 1788: 123) Pridgeon & Chase (2001: 259), the type species of *Tribulago*.

Clade B: (Figs. 2 and 3; *Specklinia* subgen. *Sylphia*; P.P.=1; Bp=99) is sister to Clade A and includes the accessions of *Specklinia cucumeris* (Luer, 1979: 162) Bogarín & Karremans in Bogarín *et al.* (2014b: 261), the type species of *Cucumeria*, and *S. turrialbae* (Luer; 1991b: 105) Luer (2004: 264), the type species of *Sylphia*.

Clade C: (Figs. 2 and 3; *Specklinia* subgen. *Hymenodanthae*; P.P.=1; Bp=100) is sister to a clade including Clade A and Clade B (P.P.=0.97; Bp=39). It includes all species of *Specklinia* related to *S. grobyi* (Bateman ex Lindl. in Lindley, 1835: 1797) Barros (1984: 110). The flowers of this group are characteristically whitish to yellowish, never stained orange.

Clade D: (Figs. 2 and 3; *Specklinia* subgen. *Acostaea*; P.P.=1; Bp=81) contains the relatives of *Specklinia colombiana* (Garay, 1974: 112) Pridgeon & Chase (2001: 257), the type species of *Acostaea*, and the relatives of *S. recula* (Luer 1996: 92) Luer (2004: 263).

Clade E: (Figs. 2 and 3; *Specklinia* subgen. *Sarcinula*; P.P.=1; Bp=100) includes the accessions of *Specklinia condylata* (Luer, 1976: 80) Pridgeon & Chase (2001: 257) together with the remaining species assigned to genus *Sarcinula*.

Clade F: (Figs. 2 and 3; *Platystele*; P.P.=0.88; Bp=54) includes the accessions of *Platystele aurea* Garay (1973: 182), the type species of genus *Rubellia*, which are sister to a clade (P.P.=0.91; Bp=72) that includes *Platystele compacta* (Ames, 1908: 76) Ames (1922: 85), the type species of *Platystele*.

Clade G: (Figs. 2 and 3; *Scaphosepalum*; P.P.=0.87; Bp=52) includes the accessions of *Scaphosepalum verrucosum* (Reichenbach, 1849: 819) Pfitzer (1888: 139), the type species of genus *Scaphosepalum*.



FIGURE 2. Phylogenetic relationship amongst the species of *Specklinia* based on a combined nrITS + *matK* dataset, using BEAST v1.6.0. Node values are posterior probabilities. A. Tree with branches transformed to be of equal length. B. Branch lengths proportional to the number of substitutions. Clade A. *Specklinia* subgen. *Specklinia*. Clade B. *Specklinia* subgen. *Sylphia*. Clade C. *Specklinia* subgen. *Hymenodanthae*. Clade D. *Specklinia* subgen. *Acostaea*. Clade E. *Specklinia* subgen. *Sarcinula*. Clade F. *Platystele*. Clade G. *Scaphosepalum*. Clade H. *Teagueia*. Clade I. *Muscarella*. Clade J. *Dryadella*.

TABLE 2. Support values for clades obtained in the six different phylogenetic reconstructions made from the nrITS, *matK* and combined (nrITS+*matK*) matrices. Each matrix was analyzed by using Bayesian (BEAST) and Likelihood (RAxML) methods. Values are presented in the form of posterior probabilities (P.P.) in case of the BEAST analyses and bootstrap values (Bp) in the case of the RAxML analyses. Not applicable (NA) is indicated when a clade is made up of a single sequence in the analyses. Unsupported (UN) is indicated when a particular clades was not retrieved in the topology.

	nrITS BEAST	**nrITS RAxML**	***matK* BEAST**	***matK* RAxML**	**Combined BEAST**	**Combined RAxML**
Clade A	1	75	UN	UN	1	84
Clade B	0.99	78	0.98	58	1	98
Clade C	1	100	1	94	1	100
Clade D	1	86	NA	NA	1	81
Clade E	1	100	0.99	50	1	100
Specklinia (A-E)	0.98	81	UN	UN	0.65	56
Clade F	0.88	52	0.92	56	0.88	54
Clade G	0.88	62	0.61	UN	0.87	52
Clade H	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Clade I	0.48	UN	0.98	63	0.98	89
Clade J	1	100	0.99	69	1	98
Phloeophila	1	91	UN	UN	1	88

Clade H: (Figs. 2 and 3; *Teagueia*) includes only the accession of *Teagueia tentaculata* Luer & Hirtz in Luer (1991a: 144). It is found sister to Clade G (P.P.=0.74; Bp=54).

Clade I: (Figs. 2 and 3; *Muscarella*; P.P.=0.98; Bp=89). It is sister to a highly supported clade (P.P.=1; Bp=99), which consists of *Platystele*, *Scaphosepalum*, *Specklinia* and *Teagueia*. *Muscarella* includes the accessions of *Pabstiella parvifolia* (Lindley, 1836: 355) Luer (2006: 139), which are sister to the highly supported type clade (P.P.=1; Bp=99), together with *Specklinia aristata* (Hooker, 1839: 329) Pridgeon & Chase (2001: 256), the type species of *Muscarella*, and its relatives.

Clade J: (Figs. 2 and 3; *Dryadella*; P.P.=1; Bp=98) is sister to a highly supported clade (P.P.=0.94; Bp=97) including *Muscarella*, *Platystele*, *Scaphosepalum*, *Specklinia* and *Teagueia*. *Dryadella* includes all the accessions of species previously assigned to the genus together with those of *Phloeophila yupanki* (Luer & R.Vásquez in Luer 1984: 203) Pridgeon & Chase (2001: 254), the type species of *Incaea*.

Morphology:—Morphological characterization of each clade is given in Table 3. Most species of *Specklinia* (Clades A though E) do share a short stem (much shorter than the leaves), obtuse petals and a ligulate-oblong lip; however, a single synapomorphy is shared by all species—the pollinia are nude. The lack of a caudicle and viscidium in *Specklinia* and *Scaphosepalum* allows for each pollinium to be free, albeit adjacent (Fig. 4). In species of *Dryadella* and *Muscarella*, pollinia are linked by a flat, granular, bilobed caudicle (whale-tail type pollinarium). In *Platystele* and *Teagueia*, pollinia lack caudicles but are linked by a drop-like viscidium (bubble-like pollinarium). The latter is associated with the apical disposition of the anther and stigma in the column (Fig. 5).

Other characters that proved to differ consistently among the clades were inflorescence type (Fig. 6), flower color patterns and lip and column features. Characters such as resupination (=orientation of the flowers in such a way that the labellum is in lower position), so-called fasciculate inflorescences associated with a reduction in the length of the rachis, long-apiculate sepals, and prominently winged columns seem to have evolved several times independently. A sensitive lip evolved several times independently in Pleurothallidinae, but in the *Specklinia* clade it evolved only once (subgen. *Acostaea*).

Inflorescence: (Fig. 6). Successively developing inflorescences, with one or few flowers open at once, are found in clades A, B, D, E, F, G and I. Simultaneously developing inflorescences, typically with several flowers open at the same time, are found in clades C, F and H. An extremely reduced rachis on which the pedicels are clustered (so-called fascicled inflorescences) is found in clades A, E, F and I.

Resupination: (Fig. 6). In general species of this group have resupinate flowers, with a few exceptions per clade. Notably, for clade G non-resupination is typical.

Flower color: (Figs. 7 and 8). Species of most clades have white to green flowers diversely spotted, striped or suffused with purple. Exceptions are found in clades A, C, F and H, of which the flowers are diversely colored, but mostly monochrome. Reddish orange to yellowish orange flowers are characteristic of clade A.



FIGURE 3. Phylogenetic relationship amongst the species of *Specklinia*. The tree was produced with an analysis of a combined nrITS + *matK* dataset using RAxML v8.1.11. Node values are bootstraps. Clade A. *Specklinia* subgen. *Specklinia*. Clade B. *Specklinia* subgen. *Sylphia*. Clade C. *Specklinia* subgen. *Hymenodanthae*. Clade D. *Specklinia* subgen. *Acostaea*. Clade E. *Specklinia* subgen. *Sarcinula*. Clade F. *Platystele*. Clade G. *Scaphosepalum*. Clade H. *Teagueia*. Clade I. *Muscarella*. Clade J. *Dryadella*.

TABLE 3. Morphological characterization of the various clades within the *Specklinia* group.

Taxon	Inflorescence	Flower Color	Pollinaria
Dryadella (Clade J)	Successive, a single flower open at the same time.	Greenish yellow with purple spots, streaks of stains.	Pollinia + Caudicles
Muscarella (Clade I)	Successive, a single flower open at the same time.	Greenish yellow with purple spots, streaks of stains.	Pollinia + Caudicles
Teagueia (Clade H)	Simultaneous, several flowers open at once.	Monochrome, color varying.	Pollinia + Viscidium
Scaphosepalum (Clade G)	Successive, a single flower open at the same time.	Greenish yellow with purple spots, streaks of stains.	Pollinia (naked)
Platystele (Clade F)	Varying from successively single-flowered to simultaneous.	Monochrome, color varying.	Pollinia + Viscidium
Specklinia subgen. *Specklinia* (Clade A)	Successive, a single flower open at the same time.	Monochrome reddish orange or yellowish orange.	Pollinia (naked)
Specklinia subgen. *Sylphia* (Clade B)	Successive, a single flower open at the same time.	Mostly whitish with some purple streaks.	Pollinia (naked)
Specklinia subgen. *Hymenanthae* (Clade C)	Simultaneous, several flowers open at once.	Mostly monochrome purple, yellow, green or whitish.	Pollinia (naked)
Specklinia subgen. *Acostaea* (Clade D)	Successive, a single flower open at the same time.	Color varying.	Pollinia (naked)
Specklinia subgen. *Sarcinula* (Clade E)	Successive, a single flower open at the same time.	Greenish yellow with purple spots, steaks of stains.	Pollinia (naked)



FIGURE 4. Pollinarium variation within the *Specklinia* group. A–B. Whale-tail pollinia linked by a caudicle. C. Bubble-like pollinia, brought together by a drop-like liquid viscidium. D–H. Naked pollinia, adjacent but free. A. *Dryadella* (AK6180). B. *Muscarella strumosa* (AK6450). C. *Platystele aff. oxyglossa* (MF789). D. *Scaphosepalum microdactylum* (DB10529). E. *Scaphosepalum clavellatum* (DB9218). F. *Specklinia colombiana* (DB8826). G. *Specklinia condylata* (MF173). H. *Specklinia aff. endotrachys* (AK5899). Photographs by A.P. Karremans.

Lateral sepals: (Figs. 7 and 8). Lateral sepals are generally convergent, forming an obtuse to acute synsepal; exceptions are found in clades B, F, H and I where the lateral sepals are free and divergent, and frequently long-apiculate. In clade G, the lateral sepals form a basally concave synsepal and are apically narrowed and thickened, usually with thickened calli on the distal portion.

Petals: (Figs. 7 and 8). Simple, obtuse to acute petals are found throughout all clades except for clade I, where the petals are characteristically fimbriate and acute to caudate.

Lip: The lip of species in clades A and C is simple, ligulate-oblong. The lip of species of clade E is similar but provided with a pair of basal lobules. The lip of species in clade B is unguiculate. The lip of species of clade D has a series of complex lobes and calli, in several species it is extremely sensitive to touch. In clades F and H the lip is ovate-cordate, and in the latter it embraces the column.

Column: (Fig. 5). The column of the species belonging to clades A, B, C, D, E, G, I, and J is elongate and slender, with an incumbent anther and a ventral stigma. The column of species of clade F and H is short and stout, and the anther and stigma are apical. The column of species of clade C and D have a pair of prominent, rounded wings near the apex and a pair of orbicular glands at the base. In clade I the column is characteristically inornate.

Pollinia: (Figs. 4 and 5). The "whale-tail" type pollinia, connected by a dry, granulose, bilobate caudicle, are only found in clades I and J. In clades F and H the pollinia are minuscule, lack caudicles and are provided with a drop-like viscidium at the base. In clade A, B, C, D, E and G the pollinia lack caudicles and a viscidium.



FIGURE 5. Column variation within the *Specklinia* group. A–E. Incumbent anther, ventral stigma covered by a large bubble-shaped rostellum, pollinia free. F. Apical anther and stigma, rostellum reduced. A. *Specklinia barbae* (Clade A; DB6483). B. *Specklinia absurda* (Clade B; DB9772). C. *Specklinia grobyi* (Clade C; AK4217). D. *Specklinia recula* (Clade D; AK5300). E. *Specklinia berolinensis* (Clade E; AK5806). F. *Platystele aff. reflexa* (AKsn). Figure nomenclature is: A—anther cap, P—pollinia, R—rostellum, S—stigma. Photographs by A.P. Karremans.



FIGURE 6. Inflorescence variation within the *Specklinia* group. A. Inflorescence simultaneous and elongate. B. Simultaneous and fasciculate. C–E. Successive and elongate. F–H. Successive and fasciculate. A. *Specklinia grobyi*. B. *Platystele umbellata*. C. *Specklinia pfavii*. D. *Muscarella fimbriata*. E. *Scaphosepalum microdactylum*. F. *Muscarella strumosa*. G. *Specklinia acrisepala*. H. *Specklinia fulgens*. Photographs by A.P. Karremans, except for B, which was made by W. Driessen.



A - Specklinia barbae (AK3928)
B - Specklinia guanacastensis (AK6018)
C - Specklinia tribuloides (AK3276)
D - Specklinia absurda (DB9772)
E - Specklinia turrialbae (AK5635)
F - Specklinia calyptrostele (DB2419)
G - Specklinia costaricensis (JBL-06854)
H - Specklinia luis-diegoi (AK5500)
I - Specklinia colombiana (JBL s.n.)
J - Specklinia acrisepala (AK s.n.)
K - Specklinia berolinensis (AK5806)
L - Specklinia simmleriana (AK4205)

FIGURE 7. Representative species of each of the five clades of *Specklinia*. A–C. *Specklinia* subgen. *Specklinia* (Clade A). D–E. *S.* subgen. *Sylphia* (Clade B). F–G. *S.* subgen. *Hymenodanthae* (Clade C). H–I. *S.* subgen. *Acostaea* (Clade D). J–L. *S.* subgen. *Sarcinula* (Clade E). Photographs by A.P. Karremans.



A - Platystele aurea (Driessen s.n.)
B - Platystele caudatisepala (DB10230)
C - Platystele propinqua (AK4086)
D - Scaphosepalum clavellatum (FP2665)
E - Scaphosepalum microdactylum (FP8576)
F - Teagueia rex (Driessen s.n.)
G - Muscarella herpestes (AK4082)
H - Muscarella quinqueseta (AK3940)
I - Muscarella segregatifolia (DB10439)
J - Muscarella strumosa (DB10011)
K - Dryadella guatemalensis (AK3642)
L - Dryadella yupanki (AK5706)

FIGURE 8. Representative species of each of the genera sister to *Specklinia*. A–C. *Platystele* (Clade F). D–E. *Scaphosepalum* (Clade G). F. *Teagueia* (Clade H). G–J. *Muscarella* (Clade I). K–L. *Dryadella* (Clade J). All photographs were made by A.P. Karremans, except for A, G & L, which were made by W. Driessen.

Geographical distribution:—The genus *Specklinia* is widespread, extending from Mexico to Bolivia and Brazil, through Central America and the Antilles. Nevertheless, presence/absence of clades per country are observed in the resulting phylogenetic trees (Table 4). Clades A and B are predominantly Costa Rican and Panamanian in distribution. Clade C has two disjunct centers of diversity, one in Hispaniola (Haiti and Dominican Republic) and another in Ecuador. Clade D is best represented in Colombia, while Clade E has the highest species diversity in Costa Rica and Panama. In general terms, *Specklinia* (Clades A to E) is most diverse in Costa Rica and Panama, followed by Ecuador and Colombia with about half the species. The sister genera, in clades F, G, H and I are mostly Andean in distribution, all with the highest diversity in Ecuador and Colombia. Finally, Clade J has two disjunct centers of diversity, one in Ecuador/Colombia (Andes) and another in Brazil.

Overall distinct presence and absence of clades in each region is evident (Table 4). Clades A and B are absent from Brazil and Ecuador, whereas only B is absent from the Antilles. Clade C is present in all the evaluated areas, the Antilles, Brazil, Ecuador and Mexico. Clade D is absent from all except Ecuador. Clade E is absent from Brazil, rare in the Antilles and Mexico, but present in Ecuador. Clade F is rare in the Antilles and Brazil. Clade G is absent in the Antilles and rare or absent in Brazil and Mexico. Clade H is absent from all areas except Ecuador. Clade I is present in all, but rare in Brazil. Clade J is absent from the Antilles, and present in all others. No distribution is given for Costa Rica and Panama because all clades are present except for clade H, which is endemic to the Andes.

TABLE 4. Number of species belonging to each clade reported per country. The figures are based largely on Luer (1988, 1990, 1991, 2005, 2006).

Country	Clade A	Clade B	Clade C	Clade D	Clade E	Clades A to E (*Speck.*)	Clade F (*Platy.*)	Clade G (*Scaph.*)	Clade H (*Teag.*)	Clades F to H	Clade I (*Musca.*)	Clades A to I	Clade J (*Dryad.*)	Clades A to J (Total)
Belize	2	0	2	0	1	5	6	0	0	6	1	12	1	**13**
Bolivia	0	0	2	0	1	3	6	2	0	8	6	17	5	**22**
Brazil	0	0	2	0	0	2	4	0	0	4	2	8	17	**25**
Colombia	2	0	4	3	8	17	35	20	3	58	12	87	15	**102**
Costa Rica	16	2	6	2	6	32	16	4	0	20	7	59	5	**64**
Cuba	2	0	5	0	1	8	2	0	0	2	5	15	0	**15**
Dominican Republic	0	0	7	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	2	9	0	**9**
Ecuador	1	0	10	2	4	17	56	35	10	101	29	147	18	**165**
Guatemala	3	1	3	0	2	9	14	1	0	15	5	29	3	**32**
Guyana	3	0	3	0	1	7	2	1	0	3	2	12	0	**12**
Haiti	2	0	6	0	1	9	0	0	0	0	2	11	0	**11**
Honduras	3	1	2	0	2	8	5	1	0	6	2	16	2	**18**
Jamaica	3	0	1	0	1	5	0	0	0	0	2	7	0	**7**
Mexico	4	1	4	0	1	10	8	1	0	9	2	21	3	**24**
Nicaragua	4	1	2	0	1	8	4	1	0	5	2	15	2	**17**
Panama	11	2	6	2	7	28	18	4	0	22	0	50	6	**56**
Peru	0	0	1	0	0	1	7	2	1	10	5	16	9	**25**
Puerto Rico	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	**1**
Venezuela	2	0	1	0	1	4	9	5	0	14	6	24	1	**25**

Discussion

Our analysis with a broader sampling of *Specklinia* species as compared to previous studies proves that the genus by any current definition (Pridgeon & Chase 2001, Pridgeon 2005, Luer 2006, Barros & Rodrigues 2009) is not monophyletic, and is in need of re-circumscription. Similar issues have been encountered in most analyses of individual genera in the Pleurothallidinae (Karremans 2010, Chiron *et al.* 2012, Karremans *et al.* 2013b). It is clear that the morphological dissimilarities among species of *Specklinia* led to a proliferation of generic concepts, and to the proposal of segregating several small species groups from the genus.

Assessing the phylogenetic resolution, differences and similarities among analyses:—The nuclear ITS and plastid *matK* are the most commonly used genetic regions for phylogenetic reconstruction in Pleurothallidinae (Pridgeon *et al.* 2001, Chiron *et al.* 2012, Karremans *et al.* 2013b, Karremans 2014). Nevertheless, those studies clearly show that the faster evolving nrITS has much higher resolution than the more conserved *matK*, especially at generic

level or below. In the particular case of our study, the phylogenetic reconstruction based solely on *matK* suffered from the low sequence variation and therefore had little resolution. *Specklinia* was not retrieved as monophyletic, and within *Specklinia*, clade A was also not retrieved. Nevertheless, all the other clades evaluated here (B through J) were diversely supported. One difference is that clade E was found sister to clade G (P.P.=0.62; Bp=19) instead of it being sister to the rest of *Specklinia* (clades A, B, C and D) as was found in all nrITS and combined analyses. Even though the relationship between clade E and G is not highly supported, it also not very highly supported as a member of *Specklinia*, and it should be considered in future studies if the inclusion of clade E within *Specklinia* is adequate. Morphologically the species belonging to clade E are very difficult to set apart from other *Specklinia*.

The phylogenetic reconstructions based solely on nrITS were very similar in structure to the combined analyses. The most noteworthy difference between the nrITS and combined analyses is that the *Specklinia* clade (sum of clades A, B, C, D and E) has a much higher support when *matK* is excluded (Bp=81 versus Bp=56). This would be expected as it was mentioned previously that the *matK* data finds affinity of clade E with clade G instead of with the *Specklinia* clades. There are other seldom incongruences between nrITS and *matK*, but they can be considered "soft", as none have high support (most nodes collapse using a threshold 50 for the bootstrap support).

No significant differences were found between the Bayesian and ML analyses. Support was overall lower in the RAxML as compared to the BEAST analyses. A slight difference is that clade D is sister to clades A and B in the RAxML analysis (Bp=19), while in the BEAST analysis it is sister to clade C (P.P.=0.49); both with very low support. Nevertheless, there were some incongruences between the nrITS and *matK* analyses.

Consequences for generic and subgeneric delimitation:—Albeit less subjective, DNA data is also subject to the correct application of names, data reading mistakes and adequate interpretation of the observed variation, and will in itself not resolve taxonomic issues (Karremans *et al.* 2015a). In our view genera should be monophyletic, but also diagnosable and informative, and at the same time should both reflect past proposals in order to keep a stable classification. Nevertheless, those criteria might not always be objectively established, and we find that generic circumscription should also be comparable amongst groups of close relatives. Scopece *et al.* (2010), for example, used post-zygotic isolation as a means to distinguish between genera. They found that within genera post-zygotic isolation was low, while it was high between the genera. We believe that DNA data can be a strong source of objective evidence for establishing comparable groups of species.

Genetically it is difficult to establish a cut off value to recognize genera. Nevertheless, genetic distance, measured by the length of branches in the phylogenetic reconstructions can be a good point of comparison; even though branch lengths can drastically differ among sister taxa due to differing evolutionary rates (Chatrou *et al.* 2014; Karremans 2014). Branch lengths in other genera presented here, for instance *Dryadella*, *Muscarella*, *Platystele* and *Phloeophila* Hoehne & Schlechter (1926: 199), are similar or even longer than those observed within *Specklinia*, and only those of *Scaphosepalum* are significantly shorter (Fig. 2). Barraclough & Humphreys (2015) find that the existence of the species, as a discernible taxonomic units, is apparent in DNA analyses in the way of conspicuous differences in between-species branching and within-species branching. That difference is what Lahaye *et al.* (2008) termed "barcoding gap" and which is a requirement for DNA barcodes to work. It is likely that something similar can be expected from higher taxa. Such an approach was made for example by Salazar & Jost (2012) when recognizing their monotypic genus *Quechua* Salazar & Jost (2012: 80) (Orchidaceae: Spiranthinae).

It is also possible to compare sequence diversity as a measure of relative number of variable sites in the sequences belonging to each clade. The combined nrITS + *matK* matrix presented here includes 1576 characters. After excluding the outgroups [*Echinosepala* Pridgeon & Chase (2002: 100), *Anathallis* Barbosa Rodrigues (1877: 23), *Lankesteriana* Karremans (2014: 321) and *Trichosalpinx* Luer (1983: 393)] the combined matrix shows variation in 637 characters corresponding to about 40% of the total characters analyzed. *Specklinia* by itself, which includes 57 of the 100 species attributable to the genus, shows variation in 28% of the total characters analyzed. *Platystele*, of which we analyzed less than a fifth of the known species, shows variation in 20% of the characters. *Muscarella*, with about one third of the species included, shows variation in 18% of all its characters. It is likely that, with a larger sampling of *Muscarella* and *Platystele* species, both genera will have similar sequence variations as those observed in *Specklinia* or even more. Even though many factors, including evolutionary rates, species number and sampling size, may be strong biases on these estimates, we still believe that they can be taken into consideration.

As defined here, *Specklinia* includes 100 species, amongst which are the type species of *Acostaea*, *Areldia*, *Cucumeria*, *Empusella*, *Gerardoa*, *Pseudoctomeria*, *Sarcinula*, *Sylphia*, *Tribulago* and *Tridelta*. Recognizing these genera reduces *Specklinia* to just a few species and requires the recognition of quite a large number of additional generic names. As *Specklinia* in a broad sense has a manageable number of species and can be easily recognized morphologically we feel it unnecessary to recognize additional segregate generic concepts. Nevertheless, we believe the five clades here included within *Specklinia* (A, B, C, D and E) are distinct enough to warrant subgeneric recognition.

They all form highly supported clades (P.P.≥95; Bp≥80) and are placed on well-separated branches. They are composed by morphologically similar species with particular distributions, and have been mostly recognized at one time or another as distinct units (4 out of 5 have been given at least one generic name).

Clades F, G and H include the type species of *Platystele*, *Scaphosepalum* and *Teagueia* respectively (Fig. 2; the type species of *Teagueia* was not analyzed). The three are always found together in a well-supported clade (P.P.=0.99; Bp=64) that is sister to *Specklinia*. The type species of *Rubellia*, *R. rubella* (Luer 1977: 378) Luer (2004: 258) [=*Platystele aurea* Garay (1973: 182)], was found sister with moderate support (P.P.=0.88; Bp=54) to a well-supported clade (P.P.=0.87; Bp=72) that includes all other species of *Platystele*. Morphologically *Platystele aurea* is quite similar to other species of the genus, the plant habit being indistinguishable from other *Platystele* species, and it also shares the typical apical anther and stigma. We therefore believe it best not to recognize this monotypic genus as separate. *Rubellia*, which was previously phylogenetically unplaced (Pridgeon 2005), is therefore placed under synonymy of *Platystele*. *Teagueia*, which had been previously associated with *Platystele* (Luer 1990), was found sister to *Scaphosepalum* instead (Fig. 2, P.P.=0.91; Fig. 3, Bp=54). Flower morphology of *Teagueia* species is similar to some *Platystele*. Nevertheless the plant habit is indeed more similar to *Scaphosepalum*. A broader sampling of *Teagueia* species might clear up their phylogenetic relationships in the future, for now we recognize it as sister to *Scaphosepalum*. The *Scaphosepalum* clade had moderate support (P.P.=0.87; Bp=52), it includes all the accessions of species belonging to the genus.

From *Specklinia* we do exclude the species found in clade I. The clade, which includes species of genus *Muscarella*, including the type species, was found well supported in our analyses (P.P.=0.98; Bp=89). *Muscarella* was always found sister to a clade that includes *Platystele*, *Scaphosepalum*, *Specklinia* and *Teagueia*, and thus its inclusion within *Specklinia*, as proposed by Pridgeon & Chase (2001) and Pridgeon (2005) would make the genus paraphyletic. *Muscarella* as defined by Luer (2006) is therefore accepted.

Clade J includes the type species of *Dryadella*, in a highly supported clade (P.P.=1; Bp=98) that includes all other species ascribed to the genus. *Phloeophila yupanki*, the type species of *Incaea*, a monospecific genus that was previously phylogenetically unplaced, is here found embedded within *Dryadella*. The two are therefore synonymized, with *Dryadella* having priority.

Consequences for geographical distribution of *Specklinia*:—*Specklinia* are found growing from Mexico to Bolivia and Brazil, through Central America and the Antilles (Table 4). The highest species diversity can be found in Costa Rica and Panama, and it is also there where most clade diversity is found. Species of *Specklinia* are commonly found in Ecuador, but species from subgen. *Specklinia* (clade A) and subgen. *Sylphia* (clade B) are absent or rare. Several *Specklinia* species are known from the Antilles, with the notable exception of species from subgen. *Acostaea* (clade D) and subgen. *Sylphia* (clade B). Based on the similarity of species groups, the radiation into the Antilles most likely occurred through the North of Middle America (Mexico and Guatemala) rather than through South America (Venezuela). *Platystele*, *Teagueia* and *Scaphosepalum*, the sister taxa of *Specklinia*, are all most diverse in the northern Andes (*Teagueia* being endemic to this area); only a few species venturing into Central America. Those genera are almost absent from the Antilles. The whole clade is not well represented in Brazil either, strengthening a north-Andes to south-Central America speciation hypothesis of this group.

Floral morphology and pollination syndromes in the *Specklinia* group:—Even though it is highly likely that species of *Dryadella*, *Muscarella*, *Platystele*, *Scaphosepalum*, *Specklinia* and *Teagueia* are all pollinated by Diptera, like the vast majority of Pleurothallidinae, pollination data is almost inexistent on species of the group. Karremans *et al.* (2015b) discovered that species of the *Specklinia endotrachys* group, belonging to *Specklinia* subgen. *Specklinia*, employed aggregation pheromones to attract several different species of fruits flies of the genus *Drosophila* (family Drosophilidae). The orange color that is characteristic of flowers of the species of that subgenus is suspected to play an important role in pollinator attraction by the authors, and is indeed phylogenetically informative. One might suspect that other members of this subgenus are pollinated in a similar manner. Nevertheless that is as far as the extrapolation can go. Unpublished observations by Díaz & Karremans found that one of the greenish-white flowered members of *Specklinia* subgen. *Hymenodanthae* is visited and pollinated by flies belonging to the family Ceratopogonidae. As even within genus *Specklinia* at least two families of Diptera are used as pollinators, it is likely that completely different pollinators and pollination strategies are used within the other genera. The authors have also noted that *Dryadella* flowers have a fungal smell unlike that of any of the other genera belonging to this group.

Species of other genera that have been placed in *Specklinia*:—Many Brazilian endemics have been treated as *Specklinia* (Luer 2004; Barros & Rodrigues 2009), but most of those actually belong to *Anathallis* and *Pabstiella* Brieger & Senghas (1976: 195) (Luer 2007, 2009; Chiron *et al.* 2012). *Specklinia* species although uncommon do occur in Brazil. However, these are only members of subgen. *Specklinia*. Those *Specklinia* species can be recognized by multi-flowered inflorescences with whitish to yellowish flowers, a linear lip (versus trilobate in *Pabstiella*), obtuse

petals (versus acute in *Anathallis*), a prominently winged column (versus wingless in *Pabstiella*) with a toothed apex (versus prominently fringed in *Anathallis*) and naked pollinaria (versus pollinaria with granular caudicles in both *Anathallis* and *Pabstiella*).

Species of *Lankesteriana* have also been treated as *Specklinia* (Luer 2004), among other genera. Nevertheless, Karremans (2014) showed that these species are relatives of *Trichosalpinx* and *Zootrophion* Luer (1982: 80) instead, and are therefore only distant relatives of *Specklinia*. *Lankesteriana* species have linear to lanceolate petals (versus. elliptic in *Specklinia*), the androclinium is conspicuously fimbriate (versus erose or inornate), and pollinia with caudicles (versus without caudicles in *Specklinia*).

A few dozen species previously placed in *Pleurothallis* subgen. *Acuminatia* Luer (1999: 98) and *Pleurothallis* subgen. *Effusia* Luer (2000: 54) were transferred to *Specklinia* by Luer (2004). Nevertheless these species are morphologically quite different from *Specklinia* species, and DNA data shows that they belong in *Stelis* Swartz (1799: 239) (Karremans *et al.* 2013b).

A synopsis of *Specklinia* and related genera

Specklinia Lindl., Gen. Sp. Orch. PI., 8. 1830. Lectotype: *Epidendrum lanceola* Sw., Nov. Gen. Sp. Prodr., 123. 1788 (selected by Garay & Sweet, J. Arnold Arb. 53: 528. 1972).

Syn. *Acostaea* Schltr., Repert. Spec. Nov. Regni Veg., Beih. 19: 283. 1923.

Syn. *Areldia* Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 255. 2004.

Syn. *Cucumeria* Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 257. 2004.

Syn. *Empusella* Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 258. 2004.

Syn. *Gerardoa* Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 86. 2006.

Syn. *Pseudoctomeria* Kraenzl., Bull. Misc. Inform. Kew 1925(3): 116. 1925.

Syn. *Sarcinula* Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 201. 2006.

Syn. *Sylphia* Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 227. 2006.

Syn. *Tribulago* Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 265. 2004.

Syn. *Tridelta* Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 232. 2006.

Species of *Specklinia* can be recognized by having ramicauls shorter than the leaves, a short stem with an annulus, sepals and petals mostly membranaceous, lateral sepals connate for at least half their length and convergent, petals mostly obtuse and entire (never acuminate or lanceolate), wider above the middle, and a linear to sub-rectangular lip hinged to the column foot. The column has a toothed androclinium, a pair of prominent rounded wings near the apex, ventral anther and stigma. The most unique feature shared between all members of *Specklinia* are the pollinaria that are flattened towards the base and that lack both caudicles and a viscidium.

Specklinia subgen. ***Acostaea*** (Schltr.) Karremans, **stat. nov.** Bas. *Acostaea* Schltr., Repert. Spec. Nov. Regni Veg. Beih. 19: 22, 102, 283. 1923. Type: *Acostaea costaricensis* Schltr., Repert. Spec. Nov. Regni Veg. Beih. 19: 22, 102, 284. 1923. Lectotype designated by Summerhayes (1967).

Syn. *Areldia* Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 255. 2004. *Pleurothallis* subgen. *Dresslera* Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 20: 38. 1986. Type: *Pleurothallis dressleri* Luer, Selbyana 3(1–2): 98–100, f. 152. 1976.

Specklinia bicornis (Luer) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 256. 2001.

Bas. *Acostaea bicornis* Luer, Phytologia 54: 379. 1983.

Specklinia campylotyle (P.Ortiz) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 257. 2001.

Bas. *Acostaea campylotyle* P.Ortiz, Orquideología 13: 240. 1979.

Specklinia colombiana (Garay) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 257. 2001.

Bas. *Acostaea colombiana* Garay, Orquideología 9: 112. 1974.

Syn. *Specklinia mirifica* Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 258. 2001. *Acostaea costaricensis* Schltr., Repert. Spec. Nov. Regni Veg. Beih. 19: 284. 1923.

The best-known species of *Acostaea*, *A. costaricensis*, was renamed *Specklinia mirifica* by Pridgeon & Chase (2001) when *Acostaea* was placed under the synonymy of *Specklinia*. Nevertheless if *Specklinia colombiana* is considered

conspecific with *A. costaricensis* then the first would have priority. If they are considered different then the next name to be applicable to this concept would be *Acostaea glandulata* P.Ortiz and not *S. mirifica*.

Specklinia coronula (Luer) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 259. 2004.
Bas. *Pleurothallis coronula* Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 76: 171. 1999.
Specklinia cactantha (Luer) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 257. 2001.
Bas. *Pleurothallis cactantha* Luer, Selbyana 3: 72. 1976.
Specklinia cycesis (Luer & R.Escobar) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 260. 2004.
Bas. *Pleurothallis cycesis* Luer & R.Escobar, Orquideología 20: 49. 1996.
Specklinia dressleri (Luer) Bogarín & Karremans, Lankesteriana 14(3): 262. 2014.
Bas. *Pleurothallis dressleri* Luer, Selbyana 3: 98. 1976.

No DNA data for this study were available of *S. dressleri*, the type species of the monotypic genus *Areldia*. Nevertheless, plant and flower morphology suggest affinity with subgen. *Acostaea*. A creeping plant with a relatively long inflorescence with a single flower open at once is reminiscent of *S. luis-diegoi*, whereas the broad column wings and callus of the lip suggest affinity with *S. colombiana*.

Specklinia luis-diegoi (Luer) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 262. 2004.
Bas. *Pleurothallis luis-diegoi* Luer, Revista Soc. Boliv. Bot. 3: 55. 2001.
Specklinia recula (Luer) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 263. 2004.
Bas. *Pleurothallis recula* Luer, Lindleyana 11: 92. 1996.
Specklinia tenax (Luer & R.Escobar) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 259. 2001.
Bas. *Acostaea tenax* Luer& R.Escobar, Orquideologia 15: 123. 1982.
Specklinia trilobata (Luer) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 259. 2001.
Bas. *Acostaea trilobata* Luer, Selbyana 1(3): 216. 1975.
Specklinia unicornis (Luer) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 259. 2001.
Bas. *Acostaea unicornis* Luer, Phytologia 54(5): 379. 1983.

Specklinia subgen. *Acostaea* (Clade D) was highly supported and contains the species assigned to *Acostaea*, plus a few species of *Specklinia* and of *Sylphia*. The species are uncommon in the field and regional, with the notable exceptions of *Specklinia colombiana* and *Specklinia recula*. They all share a tiny plant size, frequently creeping habit, elongate inflorescences and a column with prominent wings at the apex and a pair of glands on the column foot. It includes 12 species found in Costa Rica, Panama, Colombia and Ecuador, with a peak of diversity in Panama and Colombia.

Specklinia subgen. ***Hymenodanthae*** (Barb.Rodr.) Karremans, **stat. nov.** Bas. *Pleurothallis* sect. *Hymenodanthae* Barb.Rodr., Gen. Sp. Orchid. 2: 9. 1882. Lectotype: *Pleurothallis grobyi* Bateman ex Lindl., Edwards's Bot. Reg. 21: t. 1797. 1835 [designated by Luer (1986)].
Syn. *Lepanthes* sect. *Longicaulae* Barb.Rodr., Gen. Sp. Orchid. 2: 40. 1882. *Pleurothallis* subsect. *Longicaulae* (Barb.Rodr.) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 20: 86. 1986. Lectotype: *Pleurothallis trilineata* Barb.Rodr., Gen. Sp. Orchid. 1: 6–7. 1877 [designated by Luer (1986)].
Specklinia acutidentata (Cogn.) Luer = ***Specklinia grobyi***
Specklinia acutiflora (Ruiz & Pav.) Pupulin, Anales Jard. Bot. Madrid 69(2): 167. 2012.
Bas. *Humboldtia acutiflora* Ruiz & Pav., Syst. Veg. Fl. Peruv. Chil. 1: 236. 1798.
Specklinia alta (Luer) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 258. 2004.
Bas. *Pleurothallis alta* Luer, Lindleyana 11(3): 143–144, f. 4. 1996.
Specklinia barbosae (Schltr.) Luer & Toscano, Harvard Pap. Bot. 16(2): 382. 2011.
Bas. *Pleurothallis barbosae* Schltr., Repert. Spec. Nov. Regni Veg. Beih. 9: 143. 1921.
Specklinia barbosana (De Wild.) Campacci, Bol. CAOB 69–70: 27. 2008.
Bas. *Pleurothallis barbosana* De Wild., Gard. Chron. 39. 244. 1906.
Specklinia biglandulosa (Schltr.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase = ***Specklinia grobyi***
Specklinia bipapularis (Dod) Luer = ***Specklinia schaferi***
Specklinia blepharoglossa (Luer) Luer = ***Specklinia grisebachiana***
Specklinia calyptrostele (Schltr.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 257. 2001.
Bas. *Pleurothallis calyptrostele* Schltr., Repert. Spec. Nov. Regni Veg. Beih. 19: 23. 1923.

Specklinia ciliifera (Luer) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 259. 2004.
Bas. *Pleurothallis ciliifera* Luer, Lindleyana 14(2): 111. 1999.
Specklinia costaricensis (Rolfe) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 257. 2001.
Bas. *Pleurothallis costaricensis* Rolfe, Bull. Misc. Inform. Kew 1917(2): 80. 1917.
Specklinia curtisii (Dod) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 257. 2001.
Bas. *Pleurothallis curtisii* Dod, Moscosoa 3: 111. 1984.
Specklinia digitalis (Luer) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 257. 2001.
Bas. *Pleurothallis digitalis* Luer, Orquídea (Mexico City), n.s. 6(1): 3–4. 1976.
Specklinia dodii (Garay) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 260. 2004.
Bas. *Pleurothallis dodii* Garay, J. Arnold Arbor. 50: 463. 1969.
Specklinia erecta Luer & Toscano, Harvard Pap. Bot. 16(2): 380. 2011.
Specklinia feuilletii Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 103: 311. 2005.
Specklinia florulenta (Linden & Rchb.f.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase = ***Specklinia picta***
Specklinia flosculifera (Luer) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 260. 2004.
Bas. *Pleurothallis flosculifera* Luer, Lindleyana 14: 113. 1999.
Specklinia formondii (Dod) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 257. 2001.
Bas. *Pleurothallis formondii* Dod, Moscosoa 3: 116. 1984.
Specklinia gracillima (Lindl.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 257. 2001.
Bas. *Pleurothallis gracillima* Lindl., Fol. Orchid. 9: 35. 1859.
Specklinia grisebachiana (Cogn.) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 260. 2004.
Bas. *Pleurothallis grisebachiana* Cogn., Symb. Antill. 6: 409. 1909.
Specklinia grobyi (Bateman ex Lindl.) F.Barros, Hoehnea 10: 110. 1983 (1984).
Bas. *Pleurothallis grobyi* Bateman ex Lindl., Edwards's Bot. Reg. 21: t. 1797. 1835.
Specklinia jesupii (Luer) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 261. 2004.
Bas. *Pleurothallis jesupii* Luer, Lindleyana 14: 116. 1999.
Specklinia lichenicola (Griseb.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 258. 2001.
Bas. *Pleurothallis lichenicola* Griseb., Cat. Pl. Cub.: 259. 1866.
Specklinia lugduno-batavae Karremans, Bogarín & Gravend., Blumea 59: 180. 2015.
Specklinia marginalis (Rchb.f.) F.Barros, Hoehnea 10: 110. 1983 [1984].
Bas. *Pleurothallis marginalis* Rchb.f., Bonplandia (Hannover) 3(15–16): 224–225. 1855.
Specklinia microphylla (A.Rich. & Galeotti) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 258. 2001.
Bas. *Pleurothallis microphylla* A.Rich. & Galeotti, Ann. Sci. Nat., Bot., sér. 3, 3: 17. 1845.
Specklinia mitchellii (Dod) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 262. 2004.
Bas. *Pleurothallis mitchellii* Dod, Moscosoa 3: 109. 1984.
Specklinia morganii (Luer) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 262. 2004.
Bas. *Pleurothallis morganii* Luer, Lindleyana 11: 171. 1996.
Specklinia mornicola (Mansf.) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 262. 2004.
Bas. *Pleurothallis mornicola* Mansf., Ark. Bot. 22A(8): 13. 1929.
Specklinia pectinifera Luer & Hirtz, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 61. 2006.
Specklinia picta (Lindl.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 259. 2001.
Bas. *Pleurothallis picta* Lindl., Edwards's Bot. Reg. 21: t. 1797. 1835.
Specklinia pisinna (Luer) Solano & Soto Arenas, Icon. Orchid. 5–6: xi. 2002 (2003).
Bas. *Pleurothallis pisinna* Luer, Lindleyana 6(2): 105, f. 1991.
Specklinia producta (Luer) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 259. 2001.
Bas. *Pleurothallis producta* Luer, Selbyana 3: 176. 1976.
Specklinia schaferi (Ames) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 263. 2004.
Bas. *Pleurothallis schaferi* Ames, Orchidaceae 7: 119. 1922.
Specklinia simpliciflora (Dod) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 264. 2004.
Bas. *Pleurothallis simpliciflora* Dod, Moscosoa 1(2): 51. 1977.
Specklinia stillsonii (Dod) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 259. 2001.
Bas. *Pleurothallis stillsonii* Dod, Moscosoa 3: 107. 1984.
Specklinia subpicta (Schltr.) F.Barros, Orchid Memories: 19. 2004.
Bas. *Pleurothallis subpicta* Schltr., Anexos Mem. Inst. Butantan, Secc. Bot. 1(4): 42. 1922.
Specklinia succulenta Bellone & Archila, Richardiana 14: 86. 2014.

Specklinia trichyphis (Rchb.f.) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 264. 2004.
Bas. *Pleurothallis trichyphis* Rchb.f., Flora 48: 276. 1865.
Specklinia viridiflora (Seehawer) F.J. de Jesus, R.Miranda & Chiron, Richardiana 14: 284. 2014.
Bas. *Pleurothallis viridiflora* Seehawer, Die Orchidee 50: 637. 1999.
Specklinia wrightii (Rchb.f.) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 265. 2004.
Bas. *Pleurothallis wrightii* Rchb.f., Flora 48: 276. 1865.

Specklinia subgen. *Hymenanthae* (Clade C) is a highly supported clade that includes the species of the *Specklinia grobyi-picta* complex. Species belonging to this clade can be recognized as species of *Specklinia*, as defined here, by their convergent lateral sepals, the obtuse petals, ligulate lip and pollinaria without caudicles or viscidium, and within *Specklinia* by the inflorescence that is frequently elongate, exceeding the leaves, racemose, multi-flowered, with several flowers open at once, the flowers mostly monochrome purple, yellow, green or whitish, never orange, a column with a pair of prominent, rounded wings near the apex and a pair or orbicular glands at the base, and a linear-ligulate lip. This subgenus of 37 species has the widest distribution in the genus. It is the only clade of *Specklinia* found in all areas from Mexico, through Central America and the Antilles, south to Bolivia and Brazil. The most variable and widespread of all species of the genus, *S. grobyi*, belongs to this group. All species of *Specklinia* from Brazil, as well as most species of *Specklinia* from the Antilles, Ecuador and Mexico belong to this subgenus.

Specklinia subgen. ***Sarcinula*** Karremans, **subgen. nov.** Type: *Pleurothallis condylata* Luer, Selbyana 3:80. 1976.
Among the *Specklinia* this subgenus can be recognized by the linear to narrowly obovate leaves, inflorescences longer than the leaf, successive, with a single flower open at once, rachis reduced making the pedicels appear fasciculate, flowers yellowish to greenish diversely suffused, dotted or striped with purple or brown, the lip has a pair of basal lobules.
Syn. *Sarcinula* Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 201. 2006. Bas. *Pleurothallis acicularis* Ames & C.Schweinf., Sched. Orch. 10: 21–23. 1930.
Specklinia acanthodes (Luer) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 256. 2001.
Bas. *Pleurothallis acanthodes* Luer, Selbyana 1(3): 222, f. 46. 1975.
Specklinia acicularis (Ames & C.Schweinf.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 256. 2001.
Bas. *Pleurothallis acicularis* Ames & C.Schweinf., Sched. Orch. 10: 21–23. 1930.
Specklinia acoana Bogarín, Lankesteriana 13(3). 2013.
Specklinia acrisepala (Ames & C.Schweinf.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 256. 2001.
Bas. *Pleurothallis acrisepala* Ames & C.Schweinf., Sched. Orch. 8: 22–23. 1925.
Specklinia alexii (A.H.Heller) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 256. 2001.
Bas. *Pleurothallis alexii* A.H.Heller, Phytologia 14(1): 8–9, t. 4. 1966.
Specklinia areldii (Luer) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 256. 2001.
Bas. *Pleurothallis areldii* Luer, Selbyana 2(4): 383–384. 1978.
Specklinia berolinensis Bogarín, Lankesteriana 13(3). 2013.
Specklinia brighamella (Luer) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 256. 2001.
Bas. *Pleurothallis brighamella* Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 76: 171, f. 22a. 1999.
Specklinia brighamii (S.Watson) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 256. 2001.
Bas. *Pleurothallis brighamii* S.Watson, Proc. Amer. Acad. Arts 23(2): 285–286. 1888.
Specklinia calderae (Luer) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 259. 2004.
Bas. *Pleurothallis calderae* Luer, Orquideología 22(1): 53–56. 2001.
Specklinia condylata (Luer) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 257. 2001.
Bas. *Pleurothallis condylata* Luer, Selbyana 3:80. 1976.
Specklinia icterina Bogarín, Lankesteriana 13(3). 2013.
Specklinia purpurella (Luer) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 259. 2001.
Bas. *Pleurothallis purpurella* Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 76: 176, f. 31a. 1999.
Specklinia rinkei (Luer) J.M.H.Shaw, Orchid Rev. 122(1308): 77. 2014.
Bas. *Sarcinula rinkei* Luer, Selbyana 30: 18, f. 35. 2009.
Specklinia scolopax (Luer & R.Escobar) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 259. 2001.
Bas. *Pleurothallis scolopax* Luer & R.Escobar, Orquideología 14(2): 172. 1981.
Specklinia simmleriana (Rendle) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 263. 2004.
Bas. *Pleurothallis simmleriana* Rendle, J. Bot. 38(451): 274–275. 1900.
Specklinia striata (H.Focke) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 264. 2004.
Bas. *Pleurothallis striata* H.Focke, Tijdschr. Wis-Natuurk. Wetensch. Eerste Kl. Kon. Ned. Inst. Wetensh. 4: 63–64. 1851.

Specklinia vierlingii Baumbach, Orchideen (Hamburg) 63(5): 405–406. 2012.

Specklinia subgen. *Sarcinula* (Clade E) was found to be a highly supported clade, basically including the non-orange-flowered species of Luer's *Sarcinula*. The exact phylogenetic position of *Specklinia acicularis*, the type species of *Sarcinula*, remains unclear. With its narrow leaves it is an outlier amongst the other members of *Sarcinula*. However, floral coloration pattern also do not suggest affinity with subgen. *Specklinia*. Because of this uncertainty we prefer to describe subgenus *Sarcinula* with a different type species, one that is also "typical" for the group but ending up consistently in the same clade in all analyses.

Eighteen species are distributed across Central America, Colombia and Ecuador, with the highest diversity in Costa Rica and Panama. A single species extends into Mexico and the Antilles, and one species is reported from Bolivia and another from the Guyanas. No species are known from Peru and Brazil.

Specklinia subgen. ***Specklinia***. Type: *Epidendrum lanceola* Sw., Prodr. 123. 1788.

Syn. *Empusella* Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 258. 2004. *Pleurothallis* subgen. *Empusella* Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 20: 41. 1986. Type: *Pleurothallis endotrachys* Rchb.f., Linnea 41: 95. 1876.

Syn. *Gerardoa* Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 86. 2006. Type: *Pleurothallis montezumae* Luer, Lindleyana 11(2): 83, f. 20. 1996.

Syn. *Pleurothallis* sect. *Apodae-caespitosae* Lindl., Fol. Orchid. ~Pleurothallis~ 35. 1859. Lectotype: *Epidendrum corniculatum* Sw., Prodr. 123. 1788 [designated by Luer (1986)].

Syn. *Pleurothallis* subsect. *Apodae-caespitosae* (Lindl.) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 20: 84. 1986. Lectotype: *Epidendrum corniculatum* Sw., Prodr. 123. 1788 [designated by Luer (1986)].

Syn. *Pleurothallis* subgen. *Pseudoctomeria* (Kraenzl.) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 20: 67. 1986. *Pseudoctomeria* Kraenzl., Bull. Misc. Inform. Kew 1925(3): 116. 1925. Type. *Pleurothallis lentiginosa* F.Lehm. & Kraenzl., Bot. Jahrb. Syst. 26(3–4): 446. 1899.

Syn. *Tribulago* Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 265. 2004. *Pleurothallis* sect. *Tribuloides* Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 20: 91. 1986. Type. *Epidendrum tribuloides* Sw. Prodr. 123. 1788.

Specklinia alajuelensis Karremans & Pupulin, Phytotaxa 218(2): 108. 2015.

Specklinia barbae (Schltr.) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 259. 2004.

Bas. *Pleurothallis barbae* Schltr., Repert. Spec. Nov. Regni Veg. Beih. 19: 104. 1923.

Specklinia barboselloides (Schltr.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase = ***Specklinia corniculata***

Specklinia blancoi (Pupulin) Soto Arenas & Solano, Icon. Orchid. 5–6: t. 669. 2002 (2003).

Bas. *Pleurothallis blancoi* Pupulin, Caesiana 15: 1–4, f. 1–2. 2000.

Specklinia chontalensis (A.H.Heller & A.D.Hawkes) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 259. 2004.

Bas. *Pleurothallis chontalensis* A.H.Heller & A.D.Hawkes, Phytologia 14(1): 10–11. 1966.

Specklinia corniculata (Sw.) Steud., Nomencl. Bot., ed. 2, 2: 489. 1841.

Bas. *Epidendrum corniculatum* Sw., Prodr. 123. 1788.

Specklinia displosa (Luer) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 257. 2001.

Bas. *Pleurothallis displosa* Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 76: 172, f. 24a. 1999.

Specklinia emarginata Lindl., Gen. Sp. Orchid. Pl. 8–9. 1830. = ***Specklinia corniculata***

Specklinia dunstervillei Karremans, Pupulin & Gravend., PLoS ONE 10(7): e131971(5). 2015.

Specklinia endotrachys (Rchb.f.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 257. 2001.

Bas. *Pleurothallis endotrachys* Rchb.f., Linnea 41: 95. 1876.

Specklinia exilis (C.Schweinf.) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 260. 2004.

Bas. *Pleurothallis exilis* C.Schweinf., Fieldiana, Bot. 28(1): 1951.

Specklinia fulgens (Rchb.f.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 257. 2001.

Bas. *Pleurothallis fulgens* Rchb.f., Gard. Chron., n.s. 4(95): 516. 1875.

Specklinia gersonii Bogarín & Karremans, Phytotaxa 218(2): 112. 2015.

Specklinia glandulosa (Ames) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 257. 2001.

Bas. *Pleurothallis glandulosa* Ames, Sched. Orch. 6: 60–61. 1923.

Specklinia guanacastensis (Ames & C.Schweinf.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 258. 2001.

Bas. *Pleurothallis guanacastensis* Ames & C.Schweinf., Sched. Orch. 10: 27–29. 1930.

Specklinia juddii (Archila) Pupulin & Karremans, Orchidee (Hamburg) 64(6): 480. 2013.

Bas. *Empusella judii* Archila, Revista Guatemal. 15(1): 99. 2012.

Specklinia lanceola (Sw.) Lindl., Gen. Sp. Orchid. Pl.: 8. 1830.

Bas. *Epidendrum lanceola* Sw., Prodr. 123. 1788.

Specklinia lentiginosa (F.Lehm. & Kraenzl.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 258. 2001.

Bas. *Pleurothallis lentiginosa* F.Lehm. & Kraenzl., Bot. Jahrb. Syst. 26(3–4): 446. 1899.

Specklinia leptantha (Schltr.) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 261. 2004.

Bas. *Pleurothallis leptantha* Schltr., Repert. Spec. Nov. Regni Veg. Beih. 7: 107. 1920.

Specklinia minuta (Ames & C.Schweinf.) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 262. 2004.

Bas. *Pleurothallis minuta* Ames & C.Schweinf., Sched. Orch. 10: 30–32. 1930.

Specklinia montezumae (Luer) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 262. 2004.

Bas. *Pleurothallis montezumae* Luer, Lindleyana 11(2): 83, f. 20. 1996.

Syn.: *Kraenzlinella rinkei* Luer, Harvard Pap. Bot. 16(2): 326. 2011.

We were originally going to transfer *K. rinkei* to *Specklinia* based on the description and illustration. The short stem, long, petiolate leaves, short, successive inflorescences, lamellate ovaries, orange flowers, a pair of lobes at the base of the column foot, the lip with an apiculum beneath the tip, the disc with a pair of low, serrated calli and a conspicuous, acute anther, all suggested affinity with both *S. montezumae* and *S. fulgens*. The main difference being that the flowers of *K. rinkei* are non-resupinate. In the meantime we were able to obtain photographs of the specimen from which the type material was prepared from Bryon Rinke, and those show resupinate flowers of something which we believe is conspecific with *S. montezumae*.

Specklinia pertenuis (C.Schweinf.) Karremans & Gravend., Phytotaxa 218(2): 116. 2015.

Bas. *Pleurothallis pertenuis* C.Schweinf. Bot. Mus. Leafl. 8: 83. 1935.

Specklinia pfavii (Rchb.f.) Pupulin & Karremans, Phytotaxa 63: 8. 2012.

Bas. *Pleurothallis pfavii* Rchb.f., Flora 69(34): 555. 1886.

Specklinia psichion (Luer) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 263. 2004.

Bas. *Pleurothallis psichion* Luer, Lindleyana 11(2): 89, f. 24. 1996.

Specklinia remotiflora Pupulin & Karremans, Phytotaxa 63: 11. 2012.

Syn.: *Specklinia daviesii* Archila, Jiménez Rodr. & Véliz, Moscosoa 19: 18. 2015.

Specklinia spectabilis (Ames & C.Schweinf.) Pupulin & Karremans, Phytotaxa 63: 15. 2012.

Bas. *Pleurothallis spectabilis* Ames & C.Schweinf., Sched. Orch. 8: 34–35. 1925.

Specklinia tribuloides (Sw.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 259. 2001.

Bas. *Epidendrum tribuloides* Sw., Prodr. 123. 1788.

Specklinia vittariifolia (Schltr.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase, Lindleyana 16: 259. 2001.

Bas. *Pleurothallis vittariifolia* Schltr., Repert. Spec. Nov. Regni Veg. Beih. 19: 26. 1923.

Clade A (*Specklinia* subgen. *Specklinia*) includes morphologically highly diverse species, which is reflected in the number of generic names proposed for such a relatively low number of species. Nonetheless they can be recognized as species of the *Specklinia s.l.* clade by their convergent lateral sepals, obtuse petals, ligulate lip and pollinaria lacking caudicles and a viscidium, and within *Specklinia* particularly for their reddish-orange stained flowers. Orange-stained flowers are rare in the other clades of *Specklinia s.l.* The inflorescence is successive, rarely with more than one flower per inflorescence open at once. Such an inflorescence is also found in species assigned to subgen. *Sarcinula* (Clade E), but the pedicels of the flowers of species in subgen. *Specklinia* remain green (versus papery) and can further be distinguished by the lack of a pair of basal lobes at the base of the lip.

This clade consists of 27 species distributed in Central America, Colombia, Venezuela, the Guyanas and the Antilles. The highest diversity is found in Costa Rica and Panama, which together account for 23 reported species. Two species are known from Mexico, and two from the Antilles. No species of this group seem to be present in Ecuador, Peru, Bolivia and Brazil.

Specklinia subgen. ***Sylphia*** (Luer) Karremans, **stat. nov.** Bas. *Sylphia* Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 227. 2006. Type: *Pleurothallis turrialbae* Luer, Lindleyana 6(2): 105, 106–108, f. 1991.

Syn. *Cucumeria* Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 257. 2004. *Pleurothallis* sect. *Cucumeres* Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 20: 81. 1986. Type. *Pleurothallis cucumeris* Luer, Selbyana 5(2): 162–163. 1979.

Specklinia absurda Bogarín, Karremans & Rincón, Phytotaxa 115(2): 34. 2013.

Specklinia cucumeris (Luer) Bogarín & Karremans, Lankesteriana 14(3): 261. 2014.

Bas. *Pleurothallis cucumeris* Luer, Selbyana 5(2): 162–163. 1979.

Specklinia echinata (L.O.Williams) Soto Arenas & Solano, Icon. Orchid. (Mexico) 5–6: t. 670. 2002 (2003).
Bas. *Pleurothallis fueg* var. *echinata* L.O.Williams, Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard. 33(1): 120. 1946.
Specklinia fuegi (Rchb.f.) Solano & Soto Arenas, Icon. Orchid. 5–6: x. 2002 (2003).
Bas. *Pleurothallis fuegi* Rchb.f., Beitr. Orchid.-K.C.Amer. 97–98, t. 10. f. 11–15. 1866.
Specklinia turrialbae (Luer) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 264. 2004.
Bas. *Pleurothallis turrialbae* Luer, Lindleyana 6(2): 105, 106–108, f. 1991.

Specklinia subgen. *Sylphia* (Clade B). The inflorescence is successive, with one flower per inflorescence open at once. Flowers are resupinate, transparent whitish to greenish, diversely suffused with purple. The lateral sepals are divergent, free, and long-apiculate. Petals are obtuse. The lip is unguiculate. Pollinia lack caudicles and a viscidium.

This little group contains five species found in Costa Rica and Panama. A single species extends northward into Guatemala and Mexico. The type species of the polyphyletic *Sylphia*, *S. turrialbae*, is included in this clade. Together with the morphologically similar *S. absurda*, *S. echinata* and *S. fuegi* they form a natural group. The type of the monotypic *Cucumeria*, *S. cucumeris*, is included in this subgenus based on DNA data. However it is different morphologically from all other members. Future studies might reveal it does not belong here. Nevertheless, all of these species are morphologically "typical" within *Specklinia*, even *S. cucumeria*, which resembles *S. lentiginosa*.

Other names belonging to *Specklinia*:

Specklinia mazei (Urb.) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 262. 2004.
Bas. *Pleurothallis mazei* Urb., Repert. Spec. Nov. Regni Veg. 15: 1004. 1917.

This is another morphologically aberrant species. We have been unable to study any living material or obtain DNA sequences of this species. There are several morphological features that would indicate an affinity to *Specklinia* rather than to *Anathallis*, including the short stem, the non-apiculate, short petals, the ligulate, hairless lip, and the pollinia lacing caudicles and a viscidium. Without further information we cannot place it more specifically.

Specklinia spiloporphyrea (Dod) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 264. 2004.
Bas. *Pleurothallis spiloporphyrea* Dod, Moscosoa 3: 57. 1978. *Cryptophoranthus aurantiacus* Dod, Moscosoa 1: 50. 1976.

We have been unable to study any living material or obtain a DNA sequence of this aberrant species. It was designated as type species of the monospecific genus *Tridelta* Luer. Its phylogenetic placement is currently unknown. In the drawing and description we find some similarities with other species of *Specklinia* such as the broad column wings, almost linear lip and orange-colored flowers, and without further information we cannot place it more specifically.

Other names and new combinations not belonging to *Specklinia*:

Anathallis adrianae (Luer & Sijm) Karremans, ***comb. nov.***
Bas. *Pleurothallis adrianae* Luer & Sijm, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 88: 106. 2002.

This species was placed by Luer (2004) in *Specklinia*, however, the elongate ramicaul, short inflorescences, acute petals and fimbriate column are indicative of *Anathallis* instead.

Anathallis microtis (Schltr.) Karremans, ***comb. nov.***
Bas. *Pleurothallis microtis* Schltr., Repert. Spec. Nov. Regni Veg. Beih. 35: 56. 1925.

The flower morphology of this species is indicative of *Anathallis*, not *Specklinia*.

Pabstiella brasilica Luer & Toscano, Harvard Pap. Bot. 17(2): 310. 2011.
Syn.: *Specklinia ianthina* E.Pessoa & F.Barros, Nordic J. Bot. 32(2): 131. 2014.

The illustrations of *S. ianthina* and *P. brasilica* are extremely similar and the types come from neighboring localities. No explanation as to how these species can be distinguished from each other was provided by the authors, and therefore the names are here considered synonyms. The exact phylogenetic position of *Pabstiella brasilica* and its close relative

Anathallis spiculifera (Lind.) Luer is still not resolved (to our knowledge). Perhaps both are related to *Madisonia kerrii* (Braga) Luer, a monospecific genus that is yet unplaced. Despite all these uncertainties, they certainly do not belong in *Specklinia*.

Pabstiella glandulipetala (Luer & R.Vásquez) Karremans, ***comb. nov.***
Bas. *Pleurothallis glandulipetala* Luer & R.Vásquez, Revista Soc. Boliv. Bot. 1(2): 11. 1997 [1998].

Morphologically this is clearly not a species of *Pleurothallis* or *Specklinia* to which it had been assigned previously. From the type illustration it is of *Pabstiella*.

Pabstiella ignota (Luer) Karremans, ***comb. nov.***
Bas. *Pleurothallis ignota* Luer, Revista Soc. Boliv. Bot. 4: 16. 2003.

Morphologically this is clearly not a species of *Pleurothallis* or *Specklinia* to which it had been assigned perviously. The morphology of this species is indicative of *Pabstiella*.

Pabstiella integripetala (E.Pessoa & F.Barros) Karremans, ***comb. nov.***
Bas. *Specklinia integripetala* E.Pessoa & F.Barros, Nordic J. Bot. 32(2): 129. 2014.

The authors of this species compared it to *Muscarella semperflorens* (Lindl.) Luer [as *Specklinia semperflorens* (Lindl.) Pridgeon & M.W.Chase], and distinguished it by the "acute sepals, petals with entire margin and column with a clinandrium with an entire margin". Those characters, although rare in *Muscarella* are standard within *Pabstiella*, where this species clearly belongs.

Specklinia alata (A.Rich. & Galeotti) Solano & Soto Arenas = ***Muscarella marginata***
Bas. *Pleurothallis alata* A.Rich. & Galeotti, Ann. Sci. Nat., Bot., sér. 3, 3: 17. 1845.
Specklinia bulbophylloides (Schltr.) Luer = ***Muscarella zephyrina***
Bas. *Pleurothallis bulbophylloides* Schltr., Repert. Spec. Nov. Regni Veg. 27: 50. 1929.
Specklinia discalis (Luer & J.Portilla) Luer = ***Muscarella trullifera***
Bas. *Pleurothallis discalis* Luer & J.Portilla, Selbyana 23: 35. 2002.

Dryadella Luer, Selbyana 2(2–3): 207. 1978. Type:—*Masdevallia elata* Luer, Phytologia 39(4): 199. 1978.
Syn. *Trigonanthe* (Schltr.) Brieg., Die Orchidee 448. 1975, *nom. inval.* (based on a *nomen nudum*). *Masdevallia* subgen. *Trigonanthe* Schltr., *nom. nud.* (lacks a Latin description). Type: *Masdevallia simula* Rchb.f., Gard. Chron. 1: 8. 1875 [lectotype designated by Luer (1986)]
Syn. *Incaea* Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 87. 2006. Type: *Pleurothallis yupanki* Luer & R.Vásquez, Phytologia 55(3): 203. 1984.

Dryadella as defined by Luer (2005) and Pridgeon (2005) is retained. As such it includes 55 species, distributed from Mexico to Bolivia and Brazil, through Central America. They are absent from the Antilles. Vegetatively they are tufted little plants with narrow fleshy leaves. The flowers are frequently yellowish spotted with brown or purple. The sepals are caudate, and connate basally. The lip is bicallous, and hinged to the column foot by a slender claw. The column is broadly winged, with a ventral anther and stigma. The pollinia are "whale-tail" type, with a pair of flat caudicles. The genus is here modified only by the inclusion of the following species:

Dryadella yupanki (Luer & Vásquez) Karremans, *Phytotaxa* 270: 60. 2016.
Bas. *Pleurothallis yupanki* Luer & R.Vásquez, Phytologia 55: 203. 1984.

The monospecific genus *Incaea* was previously unplaced in the Pleurothallidinae. In the analyses presented here its type species is placed amongst members of *Dryadella*, rather than *Pleurothallis* or *Phloeophila* (Chiron *et al.* 2016). Morphologically *D. yupanki* is in fact similar to other species of this genus.

Muscarella Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 94. 2006. *Pleurothallis* R.Br. subgen. *Specklinia* (Lindl.) Garay sect. *Muscariae* Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 20: 89. 1986. Type: *Pleurothallis aristata* Hook.

Ann. Nat. Hist. 2(1): 329–330, pl. 15. 1839.

Syn. *Verapazia* Archila, Rev. Guatemalensis 2(3): 32–33, f. 1. 1999, *nom. inval.* (invalid for lack of indication of the type species under articles 9 and 10). Type. *Pleurothallis exesilabia* A.H.Heller & A.D.Hawkes, Phytologia 14: 11. 1966.

Species of *Pleurothallis* sect. *Muscariae* (Luer 1986), which later formed the genus *Muscarella* (Luer 2006), have been mostly accepted as part of *Specklinia* (Pridgeon & Chase 2001, Pridgeon 2005). However, the genus forms a well-defined clade, which cannot be included within *Specklinia*. Species of *Muscarella* can be recognized by having a stem shorter than the leaves, inflorescences that are frequently lax-flexuous but can vary from elongate to fasciculate, always develop successively, and have one or rarely a few flowers open at the same time. Flowers are resupinate. Sepals are usually caudate, the petals fimbriate and acute to caudate. The column is elongate, without prominent wings or ornamentation. The pollinia are of the "whale-tail" type, with a dry, granulose, bilobate caudicle.

Muscarella as defined by Luer (2006) is accepted. It then included 48 species; six species are added here to bring the total number up to 54.

Muscarella ancora (Luer & R.Vásquez) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 95. 2006.

Bas. *Pleurothallis ancora* Luer & R.Vásquez, Phytologia 46: 357. 1980.

Muscarella aristata (Hook.) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 96. 2006.

Bas. *Pleurothallis aristata* Hook., Ann. Nat. Hist. 2: 329. 1839.

Muscarella barberiana (Rchb.f.) Szlach. & Sawicka, Orchids French Guiana: 195. 2012.

Bas. *Pleurothallis barberiana* Rchb.f., Gard. Chron., n.s., 16: 6. 1881.

It has not been possible to revise the type material of this species nor the reasoning of the authors for recognizing it as distinct from *M. aristata*, under which this name has traditionally been synonymized (Luer 2006).

Muscarella cabellensis (Rchb.f.) Karremans, ***comb. nov.***

Bas. *Pleurothallis cabellensis* Rchb.f., Linnaea 22: 832. 1850.

The DNA data here presented shows that this species belongs in *Muscarella* and not *Specklinia*. With its long, loose, flexuous inflorescence, caudate sepals that are yellowish-green suffused and spotted with purple, and pollinia with caudicles, it is morphologically indeed quite similar to other species of *Muscarella*.

Muscarella catoxys (Luer & Hirtz) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 97. 2006.

Bas. *Pleurothallis catoxys* Luer & Hirtz, Lindleyana 11: 151. 1996.

Muscarella cestrochila (Garay) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 97. 2006.

Bas. *Pleurothallis cestrochila* Garay, Orquideologia 8: 180. 1973.

Muscarella claviculata (Luer & Hirtz) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 98. 2006.

Bas. *Pleurothallis claviculata* Luer & Hirtz, Lindleyana 11: 153. 1996.

Muscarella clavigera (Luer) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 99. 2006.

Bas. *Pleurothallis clavigera* Luer, Selbyana 3: 268. 1977.

Muscarella coeloglossa (Luer & Hirtz) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 99. 2006.

Bas. *Pleurothallis coeloglossa* Luer & Hirtz, Lindleyana 11: 154. 1996.

Muscarella corynetes (Luer & R.Vásquez) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 100. 2006.

Bas. *Pleurothallis corynetes* Luer & R.Vásquez, Phytologia 46: 363. 1980.

Muscarella cynocephala (Luer) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 100. 2006.

Bas. *Pleurothallis cynocephala* Luer, Selbyana 5: 163. 1979.

Muscarella delicatula (Lindl.) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 101. 2006.

Bas. *Pleurothallis delicatula* Lindl., Fol. Orchid. 9: 38. 1859.

Muscarella echinodes (Luer & R.Escobar) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 101. 2006.

Bas. *Pleurothallis echinodes* Luer & R.Escobar, Orquideologia 16: 24. 1983.

Muscarella exesilabia (A.H.Heller & A.D.Hawkes) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 102. 2006.

Bas. *Pleurothallis exesilabia* A.H.Heller & A.D.Hawkes, Phytologia 14: 11. 1966.

Muscarella fimbriata (Ames & C.Schweinf.) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 103. 2006.

Bas. *Masdevallia fimbriata* Ames & C.Schweinf., Schedul. Orchid. 10: 18. 1930.

Muscarella fuschii (Luer) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 104. 2006.

Bas. *Pleurothallis fuschii* Luer, Selbyana 1: 244. 1975.

Muscarella furcatipetala (Luer & Hirtz) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 104. 2006.

Bas. *Pleurothallis furcatipetala* Luer & Hirtz, Revista Soc. Boliv. Bot. 3: 50. 2001.

Muscarella gongylodes (Luer) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 105. 2006.

Bas. *Pleurothallis gongylodes* Luer, Selbyana 5: 166. 1979.

Muscarella hastata (Ames) Karremans, ***comb. nov.***

Bas. *Pleurothallis hastata* Ames, Orchidaceae 2: 268. 1908.

Muscarella helenae (Fawc. & Rendle) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 105. 2006.

Bas. *Pleurothallis helenae* Fawc. & Rendle, J. Bot. 47: 4. 1909.

Muscarella herpestes (Luer) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 106. 2006.

Bas. *Pleurothallis herpestes* Luer, Selbyana 5: 167. 1979.

Muscarella ichthyonekys (Luer) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 106. 2006.

Bas. *Pleurothallis ichthyonekys* Luer, Selbyana 3: 316. 1977.

Muscarella infinita (Luer) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 107. 2006.

Bas. *Pleurothallis infinita* Luer, Revista Soc. Boliv. Bot. 1(2): 13. 1997 publ. 1998.

Muscarella intonsa (Luer & R.Escobar) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 107. 2006.

Bas. *Pleurothallis intonsa* Luer & R.Escobar, Orquideologia 14: 156. 1981.

Muscarella kennedyi (Luer) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 108. 2006.

Bas. *Pleurothallis kennedyi* Luer, Selbyana 3: 326. 1977.

Muscarella latilabris (Foldats) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 108. 2006.

Bas. *Pleurothallis latilabris* Foldats, Acta Bot. Venez. 3: 376. 1968.

Muscarella lipothrix (Luer) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 109. 2006.

Bas. *Pleurothallis lipothrix* Luer, Phytologia 55: 201. 1984.

Muscarella llamachoi (Luer) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 109. 2006.

Bas. *Pleurothallis llamachoi* Luer, Lindleyana 13: 146. 1998.

Muscarella longilabris (Lindl.) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 110. 2006.

Bas. *Pleurothallis longilabris* Lindl., Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., ser. 3, 1: 328. 1858.

Muscarella macroblepharis (Rchb.f.) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 110. 2006.

Bas. *Pleurothallis macroblepharis* Rchb.f., Gard. Chron., n.s., 2: 772. 1874.

Muscarella marginata (Rich.) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 111. 2006.

Bas. *Epidendrum marginatum* Rich., Actes Soc. Hist. Nat. Paris 1: 112. 1792.

Muscarella megalops (Luer) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 113. 2006.

Bas. *Pleurothallis megalops* Luer, Selbyana 7: 118. 1982.

Muscarella mucronata (Lindl. ex Cogn.) Karremans, ***comb. nov.***

Bas. *Pleurothallis mucronata* Lindl. ex Cogn. in I.Urban, Symb. Antill. 6: 424. 1909.

The DNA data here presented shows that this species belongs in *Muscarella* and not *Specklinia*. The long, loose, flexuous inflorescence, shortly caudate sepals, erose petals, and three-lobed lip are suggestive of *Muscarella*.

Muscarella obliquipetala (Acuña & C.Schweinf.) Karremans, ***comb. nov.***

Bas. *Pleurothallis obliquipetala* Acuña & C.Schweinf., Bot. Mus. Leafl. 6: 3. 1938.

The DNA data here presented shows that this species belongs in *Muscarella* and not *Specklinia*. The shortly caudate sepals, erose petals, and three-lobed lip are suggestive of *Muscarella*.

Muscarella oblonga (Luer & Hirtz) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 113. 2006.

Bas. *Pleurothallis oblonga* Luer & Hirtz, Lindleyana 11: 174. 1996.

Muscarella perangusta (Luer) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 114. 2006.

Bas. *Pleurothallis perangusta* Luer, Selbyana 3: 162. 1976.

Muscarella quinqueseta (Ames) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 114. 2006.

Bas. *Pleurothallis quinqueseta* Ames, Schedul. Orchid. 9: 35. 1925.

Muscarella rojohniae Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 115. 2006.

Muscarella samacensis (Luer) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 115. 2006.

Bas. *Pleurothallis samacensis* Luer, Schedul. Orchid. 2: 22. 1923.

Muscarella schudelii (Luer & Hirtz) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 116. 2006.
Bas. *Pleurothallis schudelii* Luer & Hirtz, Selbyana 23: 40. 2002.
Muscarella segregatifolia (Ames & C.Schweinf.) Karremans, ***comb. nov.***
Bas. *Pleurothallis segregatifolia* Ames & C.Schweinf., Sched. Orchid. 8: 33. 1925.

The DNA data here presented shows that this species belongs in *Muscarella* and not *Specklinia*. With its long, loose, flexuous inflorescence, deep purple sepals, three-lobed lip, and pollinia with caudicles, it is morphologically similar to other species of *Muscarella*.

Muscarella semperflorens (Lindl.) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 117. 2006.
Bas. *Pleurothallis semperflorens* Lindl., Fol. Orchid. 9: 40. 1859.
Muscarella sibatensis (F.Lehm. & Kraenzl.) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 117. 2006.
Bas. *Pleurothallis sibatensis* F.Lehm. & Kraenzl., Bot. Jahrb. Syst. 26: 445. 1899.
Muscarella strumosa (Ames) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 118. 2006.
Bas. *Pleurothallis strumosa* Ames, Schedul. Orchid. 9: 41. 1925.
Muscarella stumpflei (Luer) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 119. 2006.
Bas. *Pleurothallis stumpflei* Luer, Selbyana 5: 182. 1979.
Muscarella tamboensis (Luer & R.Escobar) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 119. 2006.
Bas. *Pleurothallis tamboensis* Luer & R.Escobar, Orquideologia 14: 174. 1981.
Muscarella tempestalis (Luer) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 120. 2006.
Bas. *Pleurothallis tempestalis* Luer, Selbyana 3: 396. 1977.
Muscarella trullifera (Luer & Hirtz) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 120. 2006.
Bas. *Pleurothallis trullifera* Luer & Hirtz, Lindleyana 11: 190. 1996.
Muscarella tsubotae (Luer & R.Escobar) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 121. 2006.
Bas. *Pleurothallis tsubotae* Luer & R.Escobar, Orquideologia 20: 88. 1996.
Muscarella villosilabia (Luer & Hirtz) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 122. 2006.
Bas. *Pleurothallis villosilabia* Luer & Hirtz, Selbyana 23: 41. 2002.
Muscarella werneri Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 122. 2006.
Muscarella xanthella (Luer) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 123. 2006.
Bas. *Pleurothallis xanthella* Luer, Selbyana 1: 300. 1975.
Muscarella xyloura Luer & Hirtz, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 123. 2006.
Muscarella zephyrina (Rchb.f.) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 105: 124. 2006.
Bas. *Pleurothallis zephyrina* Rchb.f., Bonplandia (Hannover) 3: 71. 1855.

The accessions of *Pabstiella parvifolia* Lindl. that were included here showed affinities with *Muscarella* rather than *Pabstiella*. However, the type specimen of *P. parvifolia* is Brazilian and morphologically different from Costa Rican material. We do not venture into making a combination in *Muscarella* because it might well be that the type of *P. parvifolia* is a true *Pabstiella*, whereas what we are calling by that name might be another species.

Platystele Schltr., Repert. Spec. Nov. Regni Veg. 8: 565. 1910. Type: *Platystele bulbinella* Schltr., Repert. Spec. Nov. Regni Veg. 8(191–195): 565. 1910.
Syn. *Rubellia* Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 258. 2004. Bas. *Pleurothallis* subgen. *Rubellia* Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 20: 73. 1986. Type: *Pleurothallis rubella* Luer, Selbyana 3(3–4): 378–379, f. 289. 1977.

Platystele as defined by Luer (1990) and Pridgeon (2005) is retained. As such *Platystele* includes 109 species that are found distributed from Mexico to Brazil and Bolivia, through Central America and the Antilles. Most species diversity is found in the northern Andes, especially Ecuador. *Platystele* species can be recognized by the small plants, the tiny flowers which are frequently flat with free and spreading segments, a simple lip, a short column with an apical anther and stigma. The genus is here modified only by the inclusion of one species and the exclusion of another:

Platystele aurea Garay, Orquideología 8(3): 182. 1973.
Syn. *Pleurothallis rubella* Luer, Selbyana 3(3–4): 378–379, f. 289. 1977. *Rubellia rubella* (Luer) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 95: 258. 2004.

The monospecific genus *Rubellia* was previously unplaced in Pleurothallidinae. In the analyses presented here, its type species is placed sister to *Platystele* (Figs. 2 & 3). Its morphological similarities with species of *Platystele* had already been noted by Garay (1973) when he described *Platystele aurea*, a name frequently placed in synonymy of *Pleurothallis rubellia*. In our view, *Platystele aurea* and *Pleurothallis rubella* might represent two closely related yet different species. However, if considered synonyms, Garay's name has priority.

The genus *Rubellia* could have been kept separate from *Platystele* using the evidence presented here. However, the plants are similar to other members of the genus and the flowers share the apical anther and stigma and the presence of a glenion. Keeping *Rubellia* separate would not present any advantages.

Lepanthopsis hyalina (H.Stenzel) Karremans, **comb. nov.**
Bas. *Platystele hyalina* H.Stenzel, Willdenowia 32: 103. 2002.

When describing *Platystele hyalinia*, Stenzel (2002) recognized that the species had intermediate features between *Platystele* and *Lepanthopsis*, but chose to place it in the first because of the glabrous sheaths on the ramicaul. However, the sheaths are ribbed and thickened like those of any other *Lepanthopsis*. Also consistent with *Lepanthopsis* are the multiple racemes per ramicaul, several simultaneous flowers, very short petals, bilobed stigma and elongated pollinia.

Scaphosepalum Pfitzer, Nat. Pflanzenfam. 2(6): 136, 139. 1889[1888]. Type: *Masdevallia ochthodes* Rchb.f., Bonplandia 3: 70. 1855.

Scaphosepalum as defined by Luer (1988), Pridgeon (2005) and Endara (2011) is retained. We are able to account for 52 species in the genus, with a distribution from Costa Rica to Bolivia and the Guyana Shield, and the highest diversity in the northern Andes of Colombia and Ecuador. They are distinguished especially by the non-resupinate flowers and the lateral sepals forming a basally concave synsepal and that are apically narrowed and thickened, usually with thickened calli on the distal portion.

Teagueia (Luer) Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 39: 140. 1991. Bas. *Platystele* subgen. *Teagueia* Luer, Monogr. Syst. Bot. Missouri Bot. Gard. 15: 45. 1986. Type: *Platystele teagueia* Luer, Selbyana 5: 157. 1979.

Teagueia as defined by Luer (1991a) and Pridgeon (2005) is retained. We are able to account for 14 species in the genus, all endemic to Colombia and Ecuador. They are distinguished by the long-tailed sepals, the inconspicuous petals, the ovoid to suborbicular lips, with a deeply cleft disc, the short column with an apical anther and stigma.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Jorge Warner, former director of Lankester Botanical Garden in Costa Rica and Paul Kessler, director of the Hortus botanicus Leiden, and all their staff for their paramount effort in getting all the collecting and export permits, and cultivating the biological material analyzed in this study. The authors thank Wiel Driessen, Piet Dubbeldam, Jaco Kruizinga, Ton Sijm, Gerd Vierling, Rogier van Vugt and Koos Wubben who were kind enough to allow us to use their personal plant and/or photographic material. The authors thank Jorge "Koki" Cambronero, Jorge de la Cruz, Daniel Jiménez, Jozsef Geml, Giovanni Meza and Gerson Villalobos, who assisted diversely in the field or by providing their own personal plant material. At Lankester Botanical Garden, Melania Fernández and Maricruz Bonilla were essential in the preparation and exchange of plant material. Bert van Zuylen is thanked for his help in accessing some of the literature. At Naturalis Biodiversity Center, several technicians helped in getting our material sequenced, especially Kevin Beentjes. Mark Whitten was kind enough to aid in providing some of the DNA extractions here presented. Hagen Stenzel is thanked for letting us use some of the DNA data produced in his unpublished dissertation. Norris H. Williams and Rodolfo Solano are thanked for reviewing an earlier version of this manuscript. Miriam Contreras, gracias por la paciencia y apoyo. The authors thank the Costa Rican Ministry of Environment and Energy (MINAE) for issuing the research permits. We are in debt with the Vice-Presidency of Research of the University of Costa Rica for providing support through the projects "Filogenia molecular de las especies de Orchidaceae endémicas de Costa Rica" (814-B1-239) and "Taxonomía, filogenia molecular, aislamiento reproductivo y diferenciación de

nichos de *Specklinia endotrachys*" (814-B3-075). The Alberta Mennega foundation financially assisted the first author (AK) in several stages of this research, and played a significant role in financing field trips and lab work.

References

Ames, O. (1908) *Stelis compacta*. *Orchidaceae* 3: 76–78.

Ames, O. (1922) Descriptions of new orchids from tropical America with nomenclatural changes. *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* 35: 81–88.

Archila, F. (1999) Genero Novarum. *Revista Guatemalensis* 2: 31–43.

Barbosa Rodrigues, J. (1877) *Genera et species orchidearum novarum, I.* Sebastianopolis, Brazil, 209 pp. http://dx.doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.585

Barbosa Rodrigues, J. (1882) *Genera et species orchidearum novarum, II*. Sebastianopolis, Brazil, 295 pp.

Barros, F. (1984) Flora fanerogâmica da reserva do parque estadual das Fontes do Ipiranga (São Paulo, Brasil). *Hoehnea* 10: 74–124.

Barros, F. & Rodrigues, V.T. (2009) Nomenclatural notes and new combinations on *Acianthera* Scheidw. and *Specklinia* Lindl. (Orchidaceae). *Bradea* 14: 21–26.

Bellone, R. & Archila, F. (2013) Una nouvelle espèce de *Specklinia* (Orchidaceae) de Guyane française. *Richardiana* 14: 63–87.

Bogarín, D., Karremans, A.P., Rincón, R. & Gravendeel, B. (2013) A new *Specklinia* (Orchidaceae: Pleurothallidinae) from Costa Rica and Panama. *Phytotaxa* 115 (2): 31–41. http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.115.2.1

Bogarín, D., Serracín, Z. & Zamudio, Z. (2014a) Illustrations and studies in Neotropical Orchidaceae. The *Specklinia condylata* group (Orchidaceae) in Costa Rica and Panama. *Lankesteriana* 13: 185–206.

Bogarín, D., Serracín, Z., Zamudio, Z., Rincón, R. & Pupulin, F. (2014b) An updated checklist of the Orchidaceae of Panama. *Lankesteriana* 14 (1): 135–364.

Brieger, F. (1975) 286. *Trigonanthe*. *Orchideen (Schlechter)* 7: 448.

Brieger, F. & Senghas, K. (1976) *Pabstiella*, eine neue Orchideengattung aus Brasilien. *Die Orchidee* 27: 193–196.

Brown, R. (1813) *Pleurothallis*. *In:* Aiton, W.T. (Ed.) *Hortus Kewensis*, (2nd ed.) 5. Taylor, London, p. 211.

Chiron, G.R., Guiard, J. & van den Berg, C. (2012) Phylogenetic relationships in Brazilian *Pleurothallis sensu lato* (Pleurothallidinae, Orchidaceae): evidence from nuclear ITS rDNA sequences. *Phytotaxa* 46 (1): 34–58. http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.46.1.5

Chiron, G.R., Karremans, A.P. & van den Berg, C. (2016) Nomenclatural notes in the Pleurothallidinae (Orchidaceae): *Phloeophila. Phytotaxa* 270: 56–62. http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.270.1.6

Drummond, A.J. & Rambaut, A. (2007) BEAST: Bayesian evolutionary analysis by sampling trees. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 7: 214. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-7-214

Edwards, S.V., Liu L. & Pearl, D.K. (2007) High-resolution species trees without concatenation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 104: 5936–5941. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0607004104

Endara L., Williams, N.H & Whitten, W.M. (2011) Filogenia molecular preliminar de *Scaphosepalum* (Orchidaceae: Pleurothallidinae). *Lankesteriana* 11: 245–252. http://dx.doi.org/10.15517/lank.v11i3.18279

Fernández, M., Bogarín, D., Karremans, A.P. & Jiménez, D. (2014) New species and records of Orchidaceae from Costa Rica III. *Lankesteriana* 13: 259–282. http://dx.doi.org/10.15517/lank.v13i3.14363

Garay, L.A. (1973) Orquídeas Colombianas Nuevas o Críticas - Decena XI. *Orquideología* 8: 179–188.

Garay, L.A. (1974) *Acostaea* y los géneros del complejo *Pleurothallis*. *Orquideología* 9: 103–124.

Hoehne, F.C. & Schlechter, R. (1926) Contribução ao conhecimento das Orchidaceas. *Archivos de Botânica do Estado de São Paulo* 1: 165–349.

Hooker, W.J. (1839) 1. *Pleurothallis aristata*. *Annals of Natural History* 2: 329–330. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00222933909512394

Humphreys, A.M. & Linder, P. (2009) Concept versus data in delimitation of plant genera. *Taxon* 58: 1054–1074.

Karremans, A.P. (2010) *Phylogenetics of Stelis (Orchidaceae: Pleurothallidinae) and closely related genera, based on molecular data, morphological characteristics and geographical distribution in the Central American and Andean Cordilleras.* M.Sc. dissertation,

Wageningen University, the Netherlands, 128 pp.
Karremans, A.P. (2014) *Lankesteriana*, a new genus in the Pleurothallidinae (Orchidaceae). *Lankesteriana* 13: 319–332. http://dx.doi.org/10.15517/lank.v13i3.14368
Karremans, A.P., Pupulin, F. & Gravendeel, B. (2013a) Taxonomy, molecular phylogenetics, reproductive isolation, and niche differentiation of the *Specklinia endotrachys* species complex (Orchidaceae: Pleurothallidinae). *Lankesteriana* 13: 132–133. http://dx.doi.org/10.15517/lank.v0i0.11556
Karremans, A.P., Bakker, F.T., Pupulin, F., Solano-Gómez, R. & Smulders, M.J.M. (2013b) Phylogenetics of *Stelis* and closely related genera (Orchidaceae: Pleurothallidinae). *Plant Systematics and Evolution* 29: 69–86. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00606-012-0712-7
Karremans, A.P., Bogarín, D., Pupulin, F., Luer, C.A. & Gravendeel, B. (2015a) The glandulous *Specklinia*: morphological convergence versus phylogenetic divergence. *Phytotaxa* 218 (2): 101–127. http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.218.2.1
Karremans, A.P., Pupulin, F., Grimaldi, D., Beentjes, K.K., Butot, R., Fazzi, G.E., Kaspers, K., Kruizinga, J., Roessingh, P., Smets, E.F. & Gravendeel, B. (2015b) Pollination of *Specklinia* by nectar-feeding *Drosophila*: the first reported case of a deceptive syndrome employing aggregation pheromones in Orchidaceae. *Annals of Botany* 116: 437–455. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcv086
Königer, W. (1991) *Masdevallia hornii* - eine neue Art aus Bolivien. *Die Orchidee* 42: 190–192.
Kubatko, L.S. & Degnan, J.H. (2007) Inconsistency of phylogenetic estimates from concatenated data under coalescence. *Systems Biology* 56 (1): 17–24. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10635150601146041
Kränzlin, F.W.L. (1899) Orchidaceae Lehmannianae in Guatemala, Costarica, Columbia et Ecuador collectae, quas determinavit et descripsit. *Botanische Jahrbücher für Systematik* 26: 437–502.
Kränzlin, F.W.L. (1925) New species of *Masdevallia* and allied genera. *Bulletin of Miscellaneous Information, Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew,* 1925: 97–117. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/4118655
Lindley, J. (1830) *The genera and species of orchidaceous plants 8*. Asher, London. xvii, 554 pp.
Lindley, J. (1835) *Pleurothallis grobyi*. *Edwards's Botanical Register* 21: t.1797.
Lindley, J. (1836) Notes on some genera and species of American Orchidaceae. *Companion to the Botanical Magazine* 2: 353–357.
Luer, C.A. (1976) Miscellaneous species of *Pleurothallis*. *Selbyana* 3: 38–201.
Luer, C.A. (1977) Icones Pleurothallidinarum (Orchidaceae), miscellaneous species in the Pleurothallidinae. *Selbyana* 3: 203–407.
Luer, C.A. (1978) *Dryadella*, a new genus in the Pleurothallidinae. *Selbyana* 2: 207–209.
Luer, C.A. (1979) *Pleurothallis cucumeris*. *Selbyana* 5: 162–163.
Luer, C.A. (1982) *Ophidion* and *Zootrophion*, two new genera in the Pleurothallidinae (Orchidaceae). *Selbyana* 7: 79–87.
Luer, C.A. (1983) *Trichosalpinx*, a new genus in the Pleurothallidinae. *Phytologia* 54: 393–398. http://dx.doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.14427
Luer, C.A. (1984) Miscellaneous new species in the Pleurothallidinae (Orchidaceae). *Phytologia* 55: 175–203.
Luer, CA. (1986) Systematics of the genus *Pleurothallis* (Orchidaceae). *Monographs in Systematic Botany from the Missouri Botanical Garden* 20: 1–107.
Luer, C.A. (1988) Icones Pleurothallidinarum V. Systematics of *Dresslerella* and *Scaphosepalum*. Addenda to *Porroglossum* (Orchidaceae). *Monographs in Systematic Botany from the Missouri Botanical Garden* 26: 1–107.
Luer, C.A. (1990) Icones Pleurothallidinarum VII. Systematics of *Platystele*. *Monographs in Systematic Botany from the Missouri Botanical Garden* 38: 1–115.
Luer, C.A. (1991a) Icones Pleurothallidinarum VIII. Systematics of *Lepanthopsis*, *Octomeria* subgenus *Pleurothallopsis*, *Restrepiella*, *Restrepiopsis*, *Salpistele* and *Teagueia*. Addenda to *Platystele*, *Porroglossum* and *Scaphosepalum* (Orchidaceae). *Monographs in Systematic Botany from the Missouri Botanical Garden* 39: 1–146.
Luer, C.A (1991b) New species of *Pleurothallis* and a new combination. *Lindleyana* 6: 94–108.
Luer, C.A. (1996) New species in the Pleurothallidinae (Orchidaceae) from Costa rica. *Lindleyana* 11: 54–113.
Luer, C.A. (1999) Icones Pleurothallidinarum XVIII: Systematics of *Pleurothallis* subgen. *Pleurothallis*, sect. *Pleurothallis*, subsect. *Antenniferae*, subsect. *Longiracemosae*, subsect. *Macrophyllae-Racemosae*, subsect. *Perplexae*, subgen. *Pseudostelis*, subgen. *Acuminatia*. *Monographs in Systematic Botany from the Missouri Botanical Garden* 76: 1–182.
Luer, C.A. (2000a) Icones Pleurothallidinarum. XX. Systematics of *Jostia*, *Andinia*, *Barbosella*, *Barbrodia*, *Pleurothallis* subgen. *Antilla*, subgen. *Effusia*, subgen. *Restrepioidia*. Addenda to Lepanithes, *Masdevallia*, *Platystele*, *Pleurothallis*, *Restrepiopsis*, *Scaphosepalum*, and *Teagueia*. *Monographs in Systematic Botany from the Missouri Botanical Garden* 79.
Luer, C.A. (2004) Icones Pleurothallidinarum XXVI *Pleurothallis* subgenus *Acianthera* and three allied subgenera. A second century of

new species of *Stelis* of Ecuador. *Epibator*, *Ophidion*, *Zootrophion*. *Monographs in Systematic Botany from the Missouri Botanical Garden* 95: 1–265.

Luer, C.A. (2005) Icones Pleurothallidinarum XXVII. *Dryadella* and *Acronia* sect. *Macrophyllaceae-Fasciculatae*. *Monographs in Systematic Botany from the Missouri Botanical Garden* 103.

Luer, C.A. (2006) Icones Pleurothallidinarum XXVIII Reconsideration of *Masdevallia*, and the Systematics of *Specklinia* and vegetatively similar genera (Orchidaceae). *Monographs in Systematic Botany from the Missouri Botanical Garden* 105: 1–274.

Luer, C.A. (2007) Icones Pleurothallidinarum XXIX. A third century of *Stelis* of Ecuador; Systematics of *Apoda-Prorepentia*; Systematics of Miscellaneous small genera; Addenda: new genera, species, and combinations (Orchidaceae). *Monographs in Systematic Botany from the Missouri Botanical Garden* 112: 1–130.

Luer, C.A. (2009) Icones Pleurothallidinarum XXX. *Lepanthes* of Jamaica and Systematics of *Stelis*, *Stelis* of Ecuador, part four and addenda: systematics of *Masdevallia*, new species of *Lepanthes* from Ecuador, and miscellaneous new combinations. *Monographs in Systematic Botany from the Missouri Botanical Garden* 115.

Maddison, W.P. & Maddison, D.R. (2007) *Mesquite: a modular system for evolutionary analysis*. Mesquite v. 2.72. Available from: http://mesquiteproject.org (accessed 1 August 2016)

Miller, M.A., Pfeiffer, W. & Schwartz, T. (2010) *Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for inference of large phylogenetic trees.* New Orleans: Proceedings of the Gateway Computing Environments Workshop (GCE), pp. 1–8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/GCE.2010.5676129

Pfitzer, E.H.H. (1888) Monandrae-Pleurothallidinae. *In:* Pfitzer, E.H.H. (Ed.) *Natürlichen Pflanzenfamilien nebst ihren Gattungen und wichtigsten Arten, II*. Teil, 6. Abteilung (Orchidaceae). pp. 135–140.

Posada, D. & Crandall, K.A. (1998) Modeltest: testing the model of DNA substitution. *Bioinformatics* 14: 817–818. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/14.9.817

Pridgeon, A.M. & Chase, M.W. (2001) A phylogenetic reclassification of Pleurothallidinae (Orchidaceae). *Lindleyana* 16: 235–271.

Pridgeon, A.M. & Chase, M.W. (2002) Nomenclatural notes on Pleurothallidinae (Orchidaceae). *Lindleyana* 17: 98–101.

Pridgeon, A.M., Solano-Gómez R., Chase, M.W. (2001) Phylogenetic relationships in Pleurothallidinae (Orchidaceae): combined evidence from nuclear and plastid DNA sequences. *American Journal of Botany* 88: 2286–2308. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/3558390

Pridgeon, A.M. (2005) Subtribe Pleurothallidinae. *In*: Pridgeon, A.M., Cribb, P.J., Chase, M.W. & Rasmussen, F.N. (Eds.) *Genera Orchidacearum. Volume 4 Epidendroideae (Part One)*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 405–412.

Pupulin, F., Karremans, A.P. & Gravendeel, B. (2012) A reconsideration of the empusellous species of *Specklinia* (Orchidaceae: Pleurothallidinae) in Costa Rica. *Phytotaxa* 63 (1): 1–20. http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.63.1.1

Rambaut, A. (2009) *FigTree* v1.3.1. Available from: http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/ (accessed 1 August 2016)

Reichenbach, H.G. (1849) Die Orchideen, nach den Sammlungen der Herren Blanchet, C. Ehrenberg, Funk und Schlim, Hostmann, Jürgensen, Kappler, Leibold, Luschnath, Moritz, Otto, Philippi, Regnell, Rich, Schomburgk, unter Zuziehung älterer Sammlungen, besonders der Herren A. v. Humboldt und Bonpland, Berlandier, Galeotti, Hartweg, Karwinski, Linden, Pöppig, Rob, Schomburgk, bearbeitet in de Jahren 1846, 1848, 1849 (Beiträge zu einer Aequinoccialflora Amerika's von Dr. F. Klotzsch). *Linnaea* 22: 809–885.

Reichenbach, H.G. (1855) Wagener's Orchideen aus Ocaña. *Bomplandia* 3: 65–73.

Reichenbach, H.G. (1872) *Pleurothallis lateritia*. *The Gardeners' Chronicle & Agricultural Gazette* 731: 1872.

Reichenbach, H.G. (1875a) New garden plants: *Bollea patinii*, *Masdevallia simula*. *Gardeners' Chronicle, n.s.* 3: 8–9.

Reichenbach, H.G. (1875b) New garden plants. *Gardeners' Chronicle, n.s.* 4: 516.

Reichenbach, H.G. (1876) Orchidiographische Beiträge. *Linnaea* 41: 17–98.

Rolfe, R.A. (1917) New orchids. Decade XLV. *Bulletin of Miscellaneous Information, Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew* 1917: 80–84. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/4113400

Schlechter, F.R.R. (1910) Orchidaceae novae et criticae. Decas XIV–XV. *Repertorium Specierum Novarum Regni Vegetabilis* 8: 561. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/fedr.19100083507

Schlechter, F.R.R. (1923) Beiträge zur Orchideenkunde von Zentralamerika, II. Additamenta ad Orchideologiam Costaricensem, IV. Orchidaceae novae et rariores collectorum variorum in Costa Rica collectae. *Repertorium Specierum Novarum Regni Vegetabilis Beihefte* 19: 270–307.

Schlechter, F.R.R. (1925) Die Orchideenflora von Rio Grande do Sul. *Repertorium Specierum Novarum Regni Vegetabilis* 35: 1–108.

Scopece, G., Cozzolino, S. & Bateman, R.M. (2010) Just what is a genus? Comparing levels of postzygotic isolation to test alternative taxonomic hypotheses in Orchidaceae subtribe Orchidinae. *Taxon* 59 (6): 1754–1764.

Sprengel, C.P.J. (1826) *Caroli Linnaei systema vegetabilium. Editio decima sexta. Volumen III. Classis* 16–23. Sumtibus Librarariae Deieterichianae. Gottingen, 936 pp.

Staden, R., Judge, D.P. & Bonfield, J.K. (2003) Analysing Sequences using the Staden Package and EMBOSS. *In:* Krawetz, S.A. & Womble, D.D. (Eds.) *introduction to Bioinformatics.* A Theoretical and Practical Approach. Human Press, Totawa, 746 pp.

Stamatakis, A. (2006) RAxML-VI-HPC: Maximum Likelihood-based Phylogenetic Analyses with Thousands of Taxa and Mixed Models. *Bioinformatics* 22 (21): 2688–2690.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl446

Stamatakis, A. (2014) RAxML Version 8: A tool for Phylogenetic Analysis and Post-Analysis of Large Phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033
http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033

Stenzel, H. (2002) New species of *Platystele* and *Pleurothallis* (Orchidaceae) from Cuba. *Willdenowia* 32: 99–104.
http://dx.doi.org/10.3372/wi.32.32110

Stenzel, H. (2004) *Systematics and evolution of the genus Pleurothallis R.Br. (Orchidaceae) in the Greater Antilles*. Ph.D. dissertation, Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Germany, 178 pp.

Sun, Y., Skinner, D.Z., Liang, G.H. & Hulbert, H. (1994) Phylogenetic analysis of *Sorghum* and related taxa using internal transcribed spacers of nuclear ribosomal DNA. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 89: 26–32.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00226978

Swartz, O. (1788) *Nova Genera Species Plantarum seu Prodromus descriptionum Vegetabilium maximam partem incognitorum quae sub itinere indiam Occidentalem annis* 1783–1787. Acad. M. Swederi, Stockholm, 152 pp.
http://dx.doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.4400

Swartz, O. (1799) Dianome epidendri generis Linn. *Journal für die Botanik* 2: 201–244.

Wiens, J.J. (2006) Missing data and the design of phylogenetic analyses. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics* 39: 34–42.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2005.04.001