



Naturalis Repository

The late Middle Pleistocene *Homo erectus* of the Madura Strait, first hominin fossils from submerged Sundaland

H.W.K. Berghuis, Yousuke Kaifu, Unggul Prasetyo Wibowo, Thijs van Kolfschoten, Indra Sutisna, Sofwan Noerwidi, Shinatria Adhityatama, Gert van den Bergh, Eduard Pop, Rusyad Adi Suriyanto, A. Veldkamp, Josephine C.A. Joordens, Iwan Kurniawan

Downloaded from:

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.qeh.2025.100068>

Article 25fa Dutch Copyright Act (DCA) - End User Rights

This publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act (Auteurswet) with consent from the author. Dutch law entitles the maker of a short scientific work funded either wholly or partially by Dutch public funds to make that work publicly available following a reasonable period after the work was first published, provided that reference is made to the source of the first publication of the work.

This publication is distributed under the Naturalis Biodiversity Center 'Taverne implementation' programme. In this programme, research output of Naturalis researchers and collection managers that complies with the legal requirements of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act is distributed online and free of barriers in the Naturalis institutional repository. Research output is distributed six months after its first online publication in the original published version and with proper attribution to the source of the original publication.

You are permitted to download and use the publication for personal purposes. All rights remain with the author(s) and copyrights owner(s) of this work. Any use of the publication other than authorized under this license or copyright law is prohibited.

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the department of Collection Information know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, Collection Information will make the material inaccessible. Please contact us through email: collectie.informatie@naturalis.nl. We will contact you as soon as possible.



The late Middle Pleistocene *Homo erectus* of the Madura Strait, first hominin fossils from submerged Sundaland

H.W.K. Berghuis^{a,b,*}, Yousuke Kaifu^c, Unggul Prasetyo Wibowo^d, Thijs van Kolfschoten^{a,e,f}, Indra Sutisna^g, Sofwan Noerwidi^h, Shinatria Adhityatamaⁱ, Gert van den Bergh^j, Eduard Pop^{a,k}, Rusyad Adi Suriyanto^l, A. Veldkamp^m, Josephine C.A. Joordens^{a,k,n}, Iwan Kurniawan^o

^a Faculty of Archaeology, Leiden University, P.O. Box 9514, Leiden, the Netherlands

^b Sealand Coastal Consultancy, van Baerlestraat 140, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

^c The University Museum, The University of Tokyo, 3-1-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

^d Geological Museum, Jl. Diponegoro 57, Bandung, Jawa Barat, Bandung 40122, Indonesia

^e Institute of Cultural Heritage, Shandong University, 72 Binhai Highway, Qingdao, Shandong 266237, China

^f Joint International Research Laboratory of Environmental and Social Archaeology, Shandong University, Shandong University, 72 Binhai Highway, Qingdao, Shandong 266237, China

^g Direktorat Promosi Kebudayaan, Direktorat Jenderal Diplomasi, Promosi dan Kerja Sama Kebudayaan, Kementerian Kebudayaan, Jl. Jenderal Sudirman, Senayan, Jakarta 10270, Indonesia

^h Badan Riset dan Inovasi Nasional, Organisasi Riset Arkeologi, Bahasa dan Sastra, Jl. Condet Pejaten No.4, Jakarta 12510, Indonesia

ⁱ Griffith Centre for Social and Cultural Research, Griffith University, Gold Coast, QLD, Australia

^j School of Earth, Atmospheric and Life Sciences, University of Wollongong, Australia

^k Naturalis biodiversity Center, P.O. Box 9517, Leiden, the Netherlands

^l Laboratory of Bioanthropology and Paleoanthropology, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Jl. Bulaksumur, Yogyakarta, Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta 55281, Indonesia

^m Faculty ITC, University of Twente, P.O. Box 217, Enschede 7500 AE, the Netherlands

ⁿ Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, Deutscher Platz 6, Leipzig 04103, Germany

^o Center for Geological Survey of Indonesia, Jl. Diponegoro 57, Bandung, Jawa Barat 40122, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Hominin evolution
Supraorbital torus
Subsea fossil site
Ngandong
MIS6

ABSTRACT

Eastern Asia yielded a rich fossil record of Pleistocene hominins, ranging from *Homo erectus* and the diminutive island species *Homo floresiensis* and *Homo luzonensis*, to post-*erectus* grade late archaic *Homo* (including Denisovans), and finally to anatomically modern humans. The Sunda Shelf played an important role in the dispersal and evolution of hominin populations. The shelf has been widely exposed during most of the Pleistocene, forming a landmass known as Sundaland. Today, the area holds the world's largest shelf sea. Thus far, hominin fossils from submerged Sundaland were not available. Here we report on the finding of two hominin cranial fragments from the submerged Sunda Shelf, retrieved during a dredging work in the Madura Strait, off the Java coast. The specimens derive from the sandy fill of a late Middle Pleistocene submerged valley of the Solo River and consist of a frontal fragment and a parietal fragment. Metric and morphological comparisons with Pleistocene skulls from the Asian mainland, Java and Flores point to a relation with the late *Homo erectus* of Java, in particular with the crania from Sambungmacan. The Madura Strait hominins were probably part of an MIS6 population that lived along the Solo, which in this period continued eastward over the exposed shelf area of the Madura Strait. Probably, the large perennial rivers of Sundaland offered good living conditions for *Homo erectus*, in a late Middle Pleistocene climate setting that was relatively dry.

1. Introduction

Hominin diversity and evolutionary complexity in eastern Asia are

among the recent foci of paleoanthropological research (Kaifu and Athreya, in press; Bae and Wu, 2024; Liu et al., 2022; Ni et al., 2021). *Homo erectus* appeared in the region during the Early Pleistocene, as

* Corresponding author at: Faculty of Archaeology, Leiden University, P.O. Box 9514, Leiden, the Netherlands.

E-mail address: h.w.k.berghuis@arch.leidenuniv.nl (H.W.K. Berghuis).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.qeh.2025.100068>

Received 19 September 2024; Received in revised form 28 April 2025; Accepted 30 April 2025

Available online 15 May 2025

2950-2365/© 2025 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

shown by fossil remains from China and Java. The late Middle Pleistocene populations of the eastern Asian mainland consist of multiple forms of ‘post-*erectus* grade’ archaic *Homo* including Neanderthals and an enigmatic group called ‘Denisovans’ (Liu and Wu, 2022; Athreya and Hopkins, 2021; Athreya and Wu, 2017; Reich et al., 2010). In contrast, the hominin fossil record of Java suggests a persistence of *H. erectus* throughout the Middle Pleistocene (Baab, 2011; Kaifu et al., 2008; Kidder and Durband, 2004; Schwartz and Tattersall, 2003; Antón, 2003) and possibly into the Late Pleistocene (Rizal et al., 2020).

The Sunda Shelf (Fig. 1A) must have played an important role in regional patterns of hominin dispersal, isolation and evolution (Louys and Turner, 2012; Dennell, 2008). The shelf was probably widely exposed during most of the Early and Middle Pleistocene (Husson et al., 2022, 2020), forming an important habitat for vertebrate species and providing a pathway for *Homo erectus* dispersal to Java. Regional subsidence brought the shelf surface within the range of sea-level fluctuations in the course of the Middle Pleistocene, causing intermittent flooding stages during interglacials (Berghuis et al., 2022; Sarr et al.,

2019). The persistence of the Javanese *Homo erectus* lineage throughout the Middle Pleistocene, and possibly into the Late Pleistocene, may relate to this regime of intermittent shelf-submergence, in combination with the remote geographic setting of Java, on the southeastern edge of the Sunda Shelf.

Recent discoveries have brought to light the existence of diminutive Pleistocene hominins on the islands of Luzon and Flores, off the Sunda Shelf, referred to as *Homo luzonensis* and *Homo floresiensis* (Kaifu et al., 2024; Déroit et al., 2019; Brown et al., 2004). Probably, these populations descended from groups of *Homo erectus* who became isolated on these islands and experienced endemic speciation (Kaifu et al., 2024, 2011b; Zanolli et al., 2022).

Meanwhile, genomic studies on extant human populations suggest that there may have been a Late Pleistocene Denisovan population in the Sundaland region, or on Wallacea islands off the Sunda Shelf, prior to the arrival of anatomically modern humans around ~ 50 Ma (Teixeira et al., 2021; Jacobs et al., 2019; Cooper and Stringer, 2013). Thus far, fossil evidence of this population is lacking.




Fig. 1. A: The Sunda Shelf of Southeast Asia, with the Indonesian archipelago. Box indicates the position of map B. B: Eastern Java, the Madura Strait, the Solo River, Ngandong, Sambungmacan, and other hominin sites. Box indicates the position of map C. C: The Madura Strait north of Surabaya, with the sand extraction area and the location of the BMS land-reclamation. Map data: GEBCO and ALOS.

Clearly, our understanding of hominin dispersal and evolution in this region is hampered by the absence of fossils from the submerged Sunda Shelf. In Berghuis et al. (2025a), (2025b) we presented the first subsea vertebrate-fossil assemblage from this area, retrieved during a dredging work in the Madura Strait, close to the Java coast. The fossils date from the late Middle Pleistocene, an age roughly coinciding with the last recorded presence of *Homo erectus* on Java. Hominin presence at the site was inferred from cut-marks and battered ruminant long bones (Berghuis et al., 2025c). Among the Madura Strait fossils are two hominin skull fragments. In this publication, we present a metric and morphological description of the two specimens and compare them with contemporaneous hominin remains from the region.

2. The Madura Strait site

2.1. Dredging and fossil collecting

In 2014 and 2015, around five million m³ of sand was dredged from the Madura Strait seabed and used for the development of an artificial island along the coast of Gresik, west of Surabaya (Fig. 1B and C). The work was assigned by Berlian Manyar Sejahtera (BMS), a local port company that today uses the island for cargo handling. The work was carried out with a trailing suction hopper dredger. The suction pipe was mounted with a drag head, penetrating and loosening the cemented seabed material. When fully loaded, the vessel sailed to the reclamation site and connected to a floating pipeline to discharge its load.

By April 2015 the artificial island had reached its final surface of ~100 ha (Fig. 2) and was left for dewatering. Upon inspection, the site appeared to be strewn with vertebrate fossils. In the following months, the surface of the site was meticulously searched for fossils, collecting a total of 6732 specimens. The fossils were stored in the Geological Museum, Bandung.

2.2. Geology, age of the subsea site and age of the fossils

The subsea sand-extraction area lies in the port of Surabaya. Over the past decades, the port has been subject to geotechnical studies in relation to port construction works. These data, which include a large number of deep drillings, made it possible to reconstruct the geological setting of the underwater sand extraction site (Berghuis et al., 2025a). The seabed in this part of the Madura Strait is dominated by a thick unit of firm marine clay, dating from the Late Pliocene to Early Pleistocene. In the central part of the sea strait, this material is incised by tidal currents. Locally, patches of cemented sand and conglomerates have become exposed, reflecting the position of an ancient valley of the Solo. The valley was cut into the clayey subsoil, down to a depth of ca. 50 m –MSL (= local mean sea level) and has a fill of fluvial sandstones with lenses of fine conglomerate, which change upwards into marine clay-sand alternations with fine shell debris (Fig. 3). The sandy fill



Fig. 2. The BMS island in the Madura Strait shortly after reclamation, June 2015. View to the east, toward Madura. Courtesy of Pelindo III.

follows the standard sequence of transgressively-filled valleys (Bowen and Weimer, 2003). The basal conglomerate forms a fluvial lag that relates to the incision of the valley, during a stage of falling sea level. The overlying sandstones represent fluvial back-filling during the subsequent stage of rising sea level. Occasional conglomerate interbeds reflect a stacking of flow channels during this aggradation stage. The upward change to marine sandstones and clays indicates that eventually the valley drowned and changed into an estuary. Sand excavation proceeded down to a depth of 32 m –MSL, cutting through the marine top of the valley fill and several meters into the underlying fluvial sandstones with occasional conglomerate beds.

On the BMS reclamation site, the facies of the excavated material can be recognized in blocks of uncrushed sediment. These consist of laminated marine sandstones and clays with fine shell debris, or cross-bedded fluvial sandstones and conglomerates. The latter two contain dispersed, fragmented skeletal remains. Two fluvial sandstones samples, collected from the reclamation site, have been OSL-dated. One sample derives from a block of uncrushed fluvial sandstone with adhered vertebrate fossils. The second sample was taken from the sandstone fill of the neural canal of a cervid vertebra. The found OSL-ages, of 162 ± 31 and 119 ± 27 ka, link fluvial backfilling of the paleovalley to the lowstand of MIS6 and the subsequent transgression in the run-up to MIS5 (Berghuis et al., 2025a). Valley incision probably relates to the preceding stage of falling sea-level, prior to peak lowstand conditions of MIS6.

On the reclamation site, occasional blocks can be observed of a marine conglomerate, consisting of rounded gravel and broken shells in a calcite-cemented matrix of volcanic sand and shell debris. Remarkably, this material is exceptionally rich in fragmented skeletal remains. On the core logs of the deep drillings, this marine conglomerate forms a ca. 1 m thick interbed at the boundary between the fluvial and marine valley fill. The material is regarded as a tidal lag deposit, reflecting increased energy conditions during the early drowning stage of the river mouth. The gravel and fossils were probably reworked from the underlying fluvial sediment.

The on-land vertebrate fossil sites of Java often bear evidence of multiple cycles of fluvial erosion and sedimentation, resulting in complex and often mixed-age fossil assemblages, either as a result of reworking or uncontrolled fossil collecting (Pop et al., 2023; Hilgen et al., 2023; Berghuis et al., 2023, 2022, 2021). However, these sites represent upstream fluvial settings, which have been subject to variable and often short-duration fluvial responses to sea-level fluctuations, tectonism or volcanism. It is reasonable to assume that the Sundaland plains offered more stable conditions, with large lowland rivers that responded primarily to sea-level fluctuations. Assuming that the MIS6 to MIS5 stage of rising sea-level offered stable conditions of fluvial back-filling, the skeletal remains in the fluvial sediment may be regarded as a homogeneous fauna, with an age that is contemporary to the age of burial. The age may be narrowed to 146 – 131 ka, which is the overlapping interval of the two OSL-ages and their margins. This ‘most likely age range’ fits well with the proposed model of valley-aggradation and valley drowning, as it overlaps with the stage of rising sea-level between MIS6 and MIS5 (Berghuis et al., 2025c). Concentration of fossils in the marine lag is associated with the subsequent drowning of the valley, which must have slightly predated peak MIS5e highstand conditions of 123 ka. The overlying marine sandstones and clays may be tied to MIS5e.

2.3. The fossil fauna

The vertebrate assemblage from the Madura Strait site covers 36 species, divided over 11 orders (Berghuis et al., 2025b). The assemblage is dominated by remains of the large bovids *Bos palaeosondaicus* and *Bubalus palaeokerabau*, as well as the elephantoids *Stegodon trigonocephalus* and *Elephas* sp. This herbivore-dominated community points to open vegetation conditions and a relatively dry climate, which




Fig. 3. Schematic cross-section of the Madura Strait paleovalley north of Surabaya and depth profile of the dredging work. Cross-section is based on deep drilling data of the Suramadu Bridge. For details, see Berghuis et al. (2025a,c).

is in line with the Middle Pleistocene pollen record of Java (Sémah et al., 2010; Van der Kaars and Dam, 1995). This was probably also the case for the greater Sundaland region, especially during glacial stages (McGrath et al., 2023; Louys and Roberts, 2020; Louys and Meijaard, 2010; Bird et al., 2005). The fossil fauna roughly overlaps with the contemporaneous assemblage of Ngandong (Java). Nevertheless, there are some noteworthy differences. Among the terrestrial herbivores of the Madura Strait, the presence of *Duboisia santeng*, *Epileptobos groeneveldtii* and *Axis lydekkeri* is interesting. These species are unknown from Ngandong and were previously regarded to have gone extinct on Java in the second half of the Middle Pleistocene. Their presence in the Madura Strait indicates that the species persisted on the emerged lowland plains. Another difference is that the Madura Strait assemblage is richer in fluvial reptiles and estuarine and fluvial fish, which marks the difference between a lowland setting (Madura Strait) and an upland setting (Ngandong). Fossils of estuarine species probably derive from the top of valley fill, which is associated with peak MIS5e highstand conditions.

3. Material and methods

We here report two hominin cranial fragments from the Madura Strait fossil assemblage. Madura Strait 1 (MS1; catalogue no. SBY7120; Fig. 4), a right frontal bone fragment, was picked up from the reclamation site in September 2018 by H.B. and was recognized as a hominin frontal fragment at the spot (find coordinates: 7°05'06.82''S / 112°38'42.63''E). Madura Strait 2 (MS2; catalogue no. SBY7211; Fig. 5), a parietal fragment, was picked up from the reclamation site in 2015 by H. B., but was only recognized as a hominin skull fragment during later registration work at the Geological Museum, Bandung. Its exact findspot on the reclamation site is unknown.

High-resolution CT imagery of MS1 was obtained using the micro-focus X-ray CT system TX225-ACTIS (Tesco Co.), at The University Museum, The University of Tokyo, with the following parameters: 130 kV, 0.24 mA, and 35.18524 microns voxel size. At present, MS2 has not been CT-scanned.

Metric data of MS1 and MS2 were taken with a digital calliper and, for the case of MS1, CT data (Table 1). The supraorbital torus thickness

(SOTT) is the superoinferior thickness measured at the free anterior margin of the brow ridge. The thicknesses were measured at mid-orbit (SOTT mid) and at the lateral corner of the orbit (SOTT lat). Supraorbital torus breadth (SOTB) is the maximum transverse breadth of the frontal across the supraorbital tori. Postorbital breadth (POBB) is the least transverse breadth of the frontal squama measured behind the supraorbital torus, in the concavity of the postorbital constriction. One-sided postorbital constriction (POC 1-sided) is a half of the difference between SOTB and POBB.

The comparative materials are the following *Homo erectus* and post-*erectus* grade archaic *Homo* fossils from Java and other insular (Flores) and continental (China and India) parts of eastern Asia:

The late Early Pleistocene H. erectus from Java

This group is represented by the fossils from Sangiran and Trinil. The material may be further divided into two chronological subgroups, referred to as archaic and typical *H. erectus* by Widiyanto and Noerwidi (2023) or as the Sangiran Lower and Upper paleodemes by Kaifu and Athreya (in press). Referring to the most recent chronologies of Matsu'ura et al. (2020) and Hilgen et al. (2023), the paleodemes date to ~1.1–0.9 Ma and 0.9 – 0.773 Ma respectively. The most relevant comparative crania belong to the younger subgroup.

The late Middle Pleistocene H. erectus from Java

This group is represented by fossils from Ngandong, Sambungmacan and Ngawi. The material may be referred to as the late Javanese *Homo erectus* (Antón, 2003), or as progressive *Homo erectus* (Widiyanto and Noerwidi, 2023), or as the Ngandong/Sambungmacan/Ngawi paleodeme (Kaifu and Athreya, in press). The fossils are dominated by cranial remains, found along the middle reach of the Solo. The fossils from Ngandong derive from a fluvial terrace that was dated to 140–92 ka (Rizal et al., 2020), which implies that they may date from the early Late Pleistocene. This, however, is not very likely. The fossil fauna from Ngandong is the last representant of the Middle Pleistocene grassland or open-woodland vertebrate community of Java and most likely pre-dates the forested conditions of MIS5e (Sondaar, 1984). It is therefore plausible to project the Ngandong skulls in the early part of the age range, roughly between 140 and 130 ka, which links the population to the

penultimate glacial period of MIS6. The fossils from Sambungmacan and Ngawi are regarded as roughly contemporaneous with or slightly older than those from Ngandong, based on cranial morphology and limited stratigraphic data (Kaifu et al., 2015; Baba et al., 2003; Antón, 2003).

Homo floresiensis from Flores

This group is represented by early Middle Pleistocene material from Mata Menge and Late Pleistocene material from Liang Bua. From the latter site a relevant comparative skull is available (Kaifu et al., 2011b; Brown et al., 2004).

The early Middle Pleistocene H. erectus from northern China

This group is referred to as the Zhoukoudian paleodeme by Howell (1999) and Kaifu and Athreya (in press) and includes fossils from Zhoukoudian Locality 1, and possibly Nanjing (Tangshan) and several other sites (Xing et al., 2018).

The mid-Middle Pleistocene late archaic Homo from Hexian, northern China

The skull from this site has a unique morphology (Liu et al., 2017; Kaifu, 2017). Its taxonomic placement is controversial (Kaifu and Athreya, in press), which is why the skull is treated separately within the comparative sample of this study.

The late Middle Pleistocene to early Late Pleistocene late archaic Homo from northern and central China

This group, which includes the skulls from Hualongdong, Xuchang, Dali, Jinniushan, and Harbin, may or may not represent multiple species, but we do not separate them because of ongoing controversy (Ni et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2022; Bae and Wu, 2024; Kaifu and Athreya, in

press).

The late Middle Pleistocene archaic Homo from southern China and India

This group consists of the skulls from Maba (Wu et al., 2011) and Narmada (Cameron et al., 2004). They differ from the northern Chinese archaic *Homo* by their facial morphology (Kaifu and Athreya, in press; Howell, 1999).

4. Results

4.1. Descriptions

4.1.1. Madura Strait 1

Madura Strait 1 (Fig. 4) is a frontal fragment, which measures 45 mm mediolaterally and 33 mm anteroposteriorly. It preserves the lateral two-thirds of the dextral supraorbital torus, the supratatorial plane, and the basal part of the frontal squama. The specimen is heavily mineralized, exhibits a dark color, and is free from taphonomic distortion. The outer bone surface is well-preserved, except for some minor erosion pits. The inner bone surfaces, i.e. the orbital roof and endocranial surface, are substantially damaged so that much of the cortical bone was lost. Small patches of matrix, almost indistinguishable from the bone in our micro-CT scan, sporadically remain on the bone surfaces.

The original orientation of MS1 is difficult to determine, as the orientation of this part of the supraorbital region varies in the comparative sample. In Fig. 4A and B, MS1 is oriented with the axis of the torus placed slightly diagonally and in Fig. 4C more horizontally.

In the anterior view, the supraorbital torus of MS1 is relatively




Fig. 4. Madura Strait 1 (MS1): *Homo erectus* frontal fragment with dextral supraorbital torus. **A:** Photographs. **B:** CT-based 3D surface rendered images. Note that the exact orientation of the fragment is unknown. For the anterior view, a slightly diagonal orientation has been assumed. **C:** CT-based 3D surface rendered image of MS1 in a more horizontal orientation, with anatomical indications. **D:** CT-slice.

straight. A weak bulge on the supratral plane forms the lateral end of the glabellar part of the supraorbital torus (or superciliary arch) (Fig. 4C). The medial aspect the supraorbital margin is accentuated by a gentle, but transversely wide bulge, which probably is a form of the supraorbital process. Medial to this supraorbital process, the superior orbital margin curves gently upward, indicating the presence of a shallow supraorbital notch in the missing medial portion of the frontal. Lateral to this supraorbital process, the vertical thickness of the supraorbital torus remains similar throughout, with no marked lateral thickening at its lateral junction with the temporal line.

Viewed superiorly, the anterior orbital margin is gently curved with no marked development of the supraorbital fissure (frontal incisura) between the glabellar and lateral parts of the supraorbital torus. Post-orbital constriction is very weak behind the small zygomatic process. Behind the supratral plane, the frontal squama is weakly inclined posteriorly, forming a gentle angle with the supratral plane. Posterior to the lateral part of the supratral plane and medial to the temporal line that forms the border between the superior and lateral parts of the frontal squama, the anterolateral part of the frontal squama is weakly concave. The CT scan (Fig. 4D) indicates that the preserved lateral portion of the supraorbital torus is dense with no lateral development of the frontal sinus.

4.1.2. Madura Strait 2

Madura Strait 2 (Fig. 5) is a right or left parietal fragment with the middle part of the sagittal suture. The preserved linear suture length is 39 mm. The maximum preserved anteroposterior length of the specimen is 45 mm and the maximum preserved mediolateral width is 34 mm. The specimen is heavily mineralized, exhibits a dark color, and is free from taphonomic distortion. All bone surfaces are well-preserved.

The preserved suture is moderately serrated on the ectocranial side, but is smooth and straight on the endocranial side, as often seen in the mid-sagittal suture of fossil and extant *Homo*. Granular foveolae (arachnoid fovea) are present as some depressions on the endocranial surface. The cranial thickness is 8.4 mm along the suture and 7.3 mm along the lateral fracture surface. Along this fracture surface, the bone is made up of an outer table of ~2.1 mm, an intermediate diploë layer of ~3.5 mm, and an inner table of ~1.7 mm.

4.2. Comparisons

4.2.1. Madura Strait 1

Metric comparisons




Fig. 5. Madura Strait 2 (MS2): *Homo erectus* parietal fragment with sagittal suture. Photographs. The fragment may either derive from the dextral or sinistral parietal. Orientation (ant-post) of the fragment is unknown.

Table 1 provides metric data of MS1 and crania from the comparative groups. The metric data of comparative skulls derive from previous publications, with the addition of new, unpublished measurements.

In the bivariate plot (Fig. 6A) of lateral and midorbit SOT-thicknesses, the late Middle Pleistocene *Homo erectus* from Ngandong stands out by its laterally thickened supraorbital torus, a feature unknown from the earlier *Homo erectus* populations, either from Java or China. The fossils from Sambungmacan and Ngawi exhibit this trend to a lesser extent (together with Ngandong 1). In the late archaic *Homo* from the Asian mainland, the thickness of the supraorbital torus is highly variable. There is some overlap with the Sambungmacan/Ngawi condition, but the lateral thickening such as in the Ngandong crania is absent. MS1 shows a weak lateral thickening of the supraorbital torus, to a condition that is similar to the Sambungmacan/Ngawi crania and Ngandong 1. However, compared to these crania, the torus of MS1 is relatively thin. MS1 also plots relatively close to the Jinnishuan and Xuchang 1 crania, however, as we will see below, these crania strongly differ from MS1 for other metric and morphological aspects.

The bivariate plot of the one-sided postorbital constriction and postorbital breadth (Fig. 6B) shows a metric demarcation of the Pleistocene hominin groups from Asia. The early *Homo erectus* from Java and China have a strong postorbital constriction and a relatively small supraorbital breadth, both of which are most pronounced in the late Early Pleistocene crania from Java. The late Middle Pleistocene *Homo erectus* from Java has a low postorbital constriction and modest postorbital breadth. The late Middle Pleistocene late archaic *Homo* crania from northern/central China exhibit a moderate to strong postorbital constriction together with a relatively large postorbital breadth, which reflects the overall large size of these crania. For the frontal fragment MS1, the full postorbital breadth is unknown. With a preserved postorbital breadth of ~40 mm of the right lateral part of the frontal, this value certainly must have exceeded 80 mm. The one-sided postorbital constriction can be measured. Its value, however, depends on the orientation of the frontal fragment, and falls between 5.2 mm (horizontal orientation) and 3.9 mm (diagonal orientation) (Table 1). In the bivariate plot of Fig. 6B, MS1 again shows a close affinity with the Sambungmacan crania, which are characterized by a very weak postorbital constriction.

Further comparison with the comparative groups from Java

As demonstrated in the above metric comparisons, the late Early Pleistocene *H. erectus* from Java differs from the late Middle Pleistocene *H. erectus* from Java by its strong postorbital constriction and the absence of lateral thickening of the supraorbital torus, although a weak tendency of the latter is observed in Sangiran 17 (Kaifu et al., 2008; Rightmire, 1990; Santa Luca, 1980; Weidenreich, 1951). For both aspects, MS1 is similar to the younger comparative group. Another difference is that the supraorbital torus of the late Early Pleistocene *H. erectus* from Java is mostly arched around the orbits, whereas the torus of the late *H. erectus* from Java is relatively straight, with a rather flat supratral plane. Also for this morphological trait, MS1, with its relatively straight supraorbital torus and flat supratral plane, has a closer affinity with the younger group. Posterior from the supratral plane, the angle formed with the root of the frontal squama is variable both in the late Early Pleistocene and late Middle Pleistocene groups of Javanese *H. erectus*, ranging from weak posterior (e.g., Sangiran 17, Ngandong 1 and 6) to more vertical (e.g., Skull IX, Ngandong 7 and Sambungmacan 3) inclinations. The preserved root of the frontal squama of MS1 is weakly inclined. However, looking at the anterolateral part of the frontal squama, lateral to the bulging central zone of the frontal squama and medial to the thick temporal line, we note a distinct concavity, which is a trait of the younger comparative group, the late Middle Pleistocene *H. erectus* from Java (Figs. 4 and 7).

Thus, MS1 fits comfortably in the comparative group of the late Middle Pleistocene Javanese *H. erectus*. Within this group, the frontal fragment shows a closer affinity with the Sambungmacan crania than

Table 1

Measurements (mm) of the brow region of Madura Strait 1 and comparative Pleistocene crania from Asia. Data in italics are measurements taken from casts. Data in parentheses are estimates.

<i>Specimen</i>	SOTT (mid)	SOTT (lat)	SOTB	POBB	POC 1-sided	<i>data sources</i>
Madura Strait 1	11.5	13			3.9 ^a 5.2 ^b	This study
Sangiran 2	13	12	104	84	10.0	Kaifu et al. (2008)
Sangiran 10	14					Kaifu et al. (2008)
Sangiran 17	16	16	125	101	12.0	Kaifu et al. (2008)
Bukuran	14	12	113	88	12.5	Kaifu et al. (2008)
Sangiran Skull IX	13.8	12.5	111	87	12.0	Kaifu et al. (2011a)
Sambungmacan 1	14	16	118	107	5.5	Kaifu et al. (2008)
Sambungmacan 3	12	15	114	101	6.5	Kaifu et al. (2008)
Sambungmacan 4	14	16	122	116	3.0	Kaifu et al. (2008)
Ngawi 1	13.2	15.9	114	101	6.5	Kaifu et al., (2015)
Ngandong 1 (Solo 1)	12	14		(106)	(7.0)*	Kaifu et al. (2008); Kaifu, unpublished data
Ngandong 5 (Solo 4)	12	16	116	103	6.5	Kaifu et al. (2008)
Ngandong 6 (Solo 5)	14	18	122	108	7.0	Kaifu et al. (2008)
Ngandong 7 (Solo 6)	12	18	121	106	7.5	Kaifu et al. (2008)
Ngandong 10 (Solo 9)	12	18	124	110	7.0	Kaifu et al. (2008)
Ngandong 11 (Solo 10)	12	17	132	114	9.0	Kaifu et al. (2008)
Ngandong 12 (Solo 11)	13	19	124	107	8.5	Kaifu et al. (2008)
Liang Bua 1	6.8	8	88	71	8.5	Kaifu et al. (2011b)
Zhoukoudian Skull 3	10.2	9.1	108	88	10.0	Weidenreich (1943); Kaifu, unpublished data
Zhoukoudian Skull 5	11.6	11.7	119	96.6	11.2	Kaifu, unpublished data
Zhoukoudian Skull 10	13.4	13.1	119	98	10.5	Weidenreich (1943); Kaifu, unpublished data
Zhoukoudian Skull 11	12		113	93	10.0	Weidenreich (1943); Kaifu, unpublished data
Zhoukoudian Skull 12	14.3	12.1	118	95	11.5	Weidenreich (1943); Kaifu, unpublished data
Nangjing	11	9	107.4	90.2	8.6	Kaifu, unpublished data
Hexian	17.2	11.8	114	101	6.5	Kaifu (2017)
Dali	17.1	13.6	125.5	106.4	9.6	Liu et al. (2022); Kaifu, unpublished data
Maba	11.7	11.1	(119)	(102)	(8.5)	Wu and Brauer (1993); Kaifu, unpublished data
Harbin	15	15.5	145.7	125	10.4	Ni et al. (2021); Kaifu and Athreya (in press)
Jinniushan	10.8	13.4	136.5	116	10.3	Ni et al. (2021); Kaifu and Athreya (in press)
Xuchang 1	9.7	12.3	143	125	9.0	Li et al. (2017); Kaifu and Athreya (in press)
Xuchang 4	11.7					Li et al. (2017)
Hualongdong 6	16.5	11.5	(124)	104	10.0	Wu et al. (2021)
Narmada	14.8	15.4	(106)	(94)	6.0	Kaifu, unpublished data

SOTT = Supraorbital torus thickness, measured at midorbit and the lateral corner.

SOTB = Maximum frontal breadth across the supraorbital tori.

POBB = Least frontal breadth measured posterior to the supraorbital torus.

POC 1-sided = One-sided postorbital constriction = (SOTT-POBB)/2. For MS1, two values are shown. ^a: specimen in diagonal orientation and ^b: maximum value with specimen in horizontal orientation.

* : Measured as projected breadth between the landmarks of SOTB and POBB using 3D scan data.

Zhoukoudian 3 and Ngandong 5 are adolescents, all other comparative skulls are adults. Developmental age of MS1 is unknown.

with the Ngandong crania, referring to its modest lateral thickening of the supraorbital torus and its weak postorbital constriction. However, the torus of MS1 is thinner than the ten adult and one adolescent individuals in this comparative group (Fig. 6A, Table 1). Additionally, the gentle but transversely extensive supraorbital process of MS1 seems to be unique to this specimen (Fig. 4C), although the development of this process is variable in the crania from Ngandong, Sambungmacan and Ngawi, from no development to a small but distinct projection (Fig. 7). Unfortunately, the frontal bone breadth of MS1 cannot be estimated with confidence, but the transverse breadth of its preserved part is largely comparable to the equivalent portions of the specimens from this comparative group.

Further comparison with the cranium from Flores

The single existing adult *H. floresiensis* cranium, Liang Bua 1 (LB1), has an arched supraorbital torus (Fig. 7) and a relatively strong postorbital constriction (Fig. 6B). MS1, with its less arched supraorbital torus and a weaker postorbital constriction, differs from LB1 for both traits. The arched torus and strong postorbital constriction of LB1 resembles more closely the condition of the early Javanese *H. erectus* than the condition of the late Javanese *H. erectus* (Kaifu et al., 2011b).

Most of all, compared to LB1, MS1 has a 60–70 % thicker supraorbital torus and a distinctly wider orbit and frontal squama (Figs. 6 and 7). Therefore, the diminutive trend that characterizes *H. floresiensis* is absent in MS1.

Further comparison with the comparative groups from the Asian mainland

As shown in the metric comparisons, the brow region of the early Middle Pleistocene northern Chinese *H. erectus* is characterized by an absence of lateral thickening of the supraorbital torus (Fig. 6A) and a strong postorbital constriction (Fig. 6B). Other relevant traits of this comparative group are an arched supraorbital torus, a distinct supratatorial sulcus, as well as a relatively vertical frontal squama with a convex (non-concave) anterolateral surface. For illustrations, see Weidenreich (1943), Vialet et al. (2010), Liu et al. (2014), (2005). MS1 differs from this group in all these aspects.

The frontal bone of the Hexian cranium (see Liu et al. (2014) and Cui and Wu 2015 for illustrations) is similar to MS1 in showing a weak postorbital constriction (Fig. 6B). However, it is distinct from MS1 by its supraorbital torus, which is markedly thickened above the mid-orbit but thin laterally (Fig. 6A). Moreover, the supratatorial plane of the Hexian cranium is arched above the orbit and, posterior to this supratatorial plane, its frontal squama stands more vertically.

The late Middle and early Late Pleistocene archaic *Homo* crania from northern/central China form a relevant comparative group, by its age overlap with the Madura Strait specimens. For illustrations of these crania, see Ni et al. (2021), Wu et al. (2021), (2019), Li et al. (2017), Liu et al. (2014), and Wu and Athreya (2013). The thickness of the supraorbital torus is variable in this group. In Harbin, Hualongdong 6 and Dali, the torus is rather robust, with the latter two having their greatest




Fig. 6. Bivariate analyses of frontal bone measurements. **A:** Bivariate plot of medial and lateral supraorbital torus thicknesses. **B:** Bivariate plot of one-sided postorbital constriction and postorbital breadth. For details and data sources, see Table 1.

* : Xuchang 1 dates from the early Late Pleistocene (Li et al., 2017) or late Middle Pleistocene (Wang et al., 2022).

thickness above the midorbit. The crania from Xuchang and Jinniushan have more gracile supraorbital tori with a slight lateral thickening, a condition comparable to MS1 (Fig. 6A). However, all crania from this group exhibit a moderate degree of postorbital constriction, which contrasts with the very weak constriction in MS1 (Fig. 6B). Many of the Chinese crania also have very high postorbital breadths, an aspect that unfortunately cannot be compared with the frontal fragment MS1 (Fig. 6B). The late Middle and early Late Pleistocene archaic *Homo* crania from northern/central China are further characterized by a more arched supraorbital torus and a moderately inclined foot of the frontal squama, with a laterally sloping, convex (non-concave) anterolateral part. MS1 differs from these crania for both traits.

The crania from Maba and Narmada (see Liu et al. (2014) and Sonakia 1984 for illustrations) have a variable morphology of the supraorbital torus. Maba does not show a lateral thickening of the torus and exhibits a rather strong postorbital constriction (Wu and Bräuer, 1993). Narmada resembles MS1, in showing a slight lateral thickening of its supraorbital torus, but the entire torus is more robust in Narmada (Fig. 6A). It also resembles MS1 by its weak postorbital constriction (Fig. 6B). However, both crania differ from MS1 by their arched supraorbital torus and a more vertically oriented frontal squama, with a convex anterolateral part.

The frontal sinus

There is substantial variation in the size and shape of frontal sinuses in fossil and extant hominins (Balzeau et al., 2022). In general, sinuses appear to be relatively large in the early Pleistocene *H. erectus* from Java. The late Middle Pleistocene *H. erectus* from Java, represented by the crania from Ngandong and Sambungmacan, tend to have small, centrally restricted frontal sinuses. In this respect, the absence of a frontal

sinus in the preserved part of MS1 (Fig. 4D) matches with this comparative group.

4.2.2. Madura Strait 2

As a mid-parietal fragment, MS2 lacks the strong sagittal flexion as seen in *Homo sapiens* and may in this respect be regarded as morphologically archaic. The specimen is undiagnostic otherwise. The measured vault thickness along this part of the parietal line falls in the lower range of adult *Homo erectus* as well as modern *Homo sapiens* crania (Balzeau, 2006). The open suture and the modest cranial thicknesses indicate that the specimen derives from an individual that had not reached adulthood at the time of death.

5. Discussion and conclusions

5.1. Developmental age

The frontal fragment MS1 has a prominent supraorbital torus and an anteroposteriorly wide supratatorial plane, but the torus thickness is slightly below the range of the known adult crania from Java (Fig. 6A). This suggests that MS1 derives from a gracile adult or otherwise from an adolescent. The specimen does not preserve sutures that could have been indicative for developmental age. However, the available adolescent crania in our comparative sample, i.e. Ngandong 5 and Zhoukoudian 3, indicate that except for a slightly smaller size, their morphological differences from adult individuals from the same paleodeme are limited. Therefore, the uncertain developmental age of MS1 probably has limited impact on our morphological interpretations. The open sutures in the parietal fragment MS2 indicate that this specimen derived from a sub-adult individual.




Fig. 7. Frontal and superior views of selected frontal bones from Indonesia. Data obtained from 3D surface scans and CT scans. Two versions of orientation are indicated for the anterior view of MS1 (the upper with reference to Sambungmacan 4 and the lower with reference to Sambungmacan 1, with the superior view based on the former). The left frontals (Sambungmacan 3 and 4) are flipped for ease of comparison.

5.2. Geography and chronology

The two hominin cranial specimens derive from the MIS6 (late Middle Pleistocene) valley fill of the Solo, which makes them contemporaneous to the late Javanese *H. erectus*, also referred to as the Ngandong/Sambungmacan/Ngawi paleodeme. All of the reported fossils from this hominin group derive from the Solo Valley, ca. 150–200 km upstream of the Madura Strait subsea site. Therefore, in terms of geography and chronology, the two fossils from the Madura Strait may be tied to the Ngandong-Sambungmacan-Ngawi paleodeme.

5.3. Taxonomic affinities

Our comparison with various Asian archaic *Homo* crania indicates that the morphology of the frontal fragment MS1 fits comfortably with the sample of the late Javanese *Homo erectus* (the Ngandong/Sambungmacan/Ngawi paleodeme). It exhibits characteristic features of this group, such as a relatively straight supraorbital torus, a flat supratratoral plane, a weak postorbital constriction, and a concave anterolateral portion of the frontal squama that slopes gently posteriorly. Within this sample, MS1 is most similar to the Sambungmacan crania, showing a very weak postorbital constriction and modest lateral thickening of the supraorbital torus. However, MS1 is somewhat unique compared to our sample of the Ngandong/Sambungmacan/Ngawi paleodeme, in displaying a relatively thin supraorbital torus and a considerable supraorbital process. The limited thickness of the supraorbital torus may reflect a not fully matured individual. However, it is also possible that MS1 was an adult individual, in which case the specimen slightly expands the range of variation of supraorbital torus morphology and thickness of this




Fig. 8. 3D surface images of MS1 and Sambungmacan 3 (anterior view). This comparative skull has the closest metric and morphological match with MS1.

hominin group. MS2, presumably from a subadult individual, shows an archaic character of modest curvature but is otherwise undiagnostic.

Fig. 8 shows MS1 in direct comparison with Sambungmacan 3, the late Middle Pleistocene *H. erectus* cranium from Java that is metrically close to MS1. In this comparative cranium, the supraorbital plane has a rather horizontal orientation. The original orientation of MS1 is unknown.

5.4. The MIS6 population of the Solo Valley

The late Javanese *Homo erectus* may be regarded as an MIS6 population living along the banks of the Solo or in the wider catchment area of the Solo. In the Middle Pleistocene climate of Java, characterized by long dry seasons, large perennial rivers such as the Solo probably offered 'fortunate conditions' (Dennell, 2008) for hominin populations, providing drinking water and aquatic food resources and attracting large herbivores. During the MIS6 glacial conditions, the Solo River proceeded eastwards over the exposed shelf of the present-day Madura Strait (Berghuis et al., 2025a, 2022, 2021).

The Madura Strait skull fragments show that the MIS6 *Homo erectus* that we know from Java also dispersed over the surrounding lowland plains, possibly following the course of the Solo. Other groups may very well have populated different areas, following the banks of other lowland rivers on the exposed Sunda Shelf. This lowland habitat places the assumed isolation of the Javanese *Homo erectus* lineage in a different perspective. At least during MIS6, the geographic range of the species exceeded Java and covered a larger area of Sundaland.

The rich vertebrate assemblage from the Madura Strait shows that the same accounted for most other mammalian species that we know from the Javanese fossil localities. This is also in line with the community structure reflected by the Middle Pleistocene vertebrate assemblages from Java, which represent balanced continental conditions, lack evidence of endemism and record the occasional arrival of new herbivore species such as *Elephas hysudrindicus*, *Rusa* sp., and *Axis javanicus*.

5.5. Subsea zooarchaeology

If *Homo erectus* and other Middle Pleistocene vertebrate species were able to disperse over the lowland plains of this part of Sundaland, why wouldn't contemporaneous hominin populations from the Asian mainland have been able to do the same, dispersing southwards over this landmass? Moreover, genomic studies on extant human populations have suggested the existence of Denisovans, a non-*erectus* group of archaic *Homo*, in the Sundaland region in the Late Pleistocene, prior to the arrival of anatomically modern humans (Teixeira et al., 2021; Jacobs et al., 2019; Cooper and Stringer, 2013). This also points to southward migration of post-*erectus* populations, from the mainland to Sundaland, but where is the fossil evidence?

Madura Strait 1, with its morphological and metric affinity with the late *Homo erectus* of Java, does not provide an answer to these questions. However, the two skull fragments do underline the potential of subsea hominin localities on the Sunda Shelf. The answers may very well be at the bottom of the sea.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Harold Berghuis: Writing – original draft, Visualization, Methodology, Investigation, Funding acquisition, Formal analysis, Conceptualization. **Yousuke Kaifu:** Writing – review & editing, Visualization, Supervision, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis. **Unggul Prasetyo Wibowo:** Data curation. **Thijs van Kolfshoten:** Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Investigation, Formal analysis. **Indra Sutisna:** Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation. **Sofwan Noerwidi:** Formal analysis. **Shinatria Adhityatama:** Resources, Project administration, Funding acquisition. **Gert van den Bergh :** Writing – review & editing. **Eduard Pop:** Writing – review & editing, Visualization. **Rusyd Adi Suriyanto:** Data curation. **A. Veldkamp :** Writing – review & editing, Supervision. **Josephine C.A. Joordens:** Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition. **Kurniawan Iwan:** Writing – review & editing, Data curation.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial

interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work.

Acknowledgements

This study was carried out with permission of the Indonesian Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education (RISTEK research permits: 263/SIP/FRP/ES/Dit. KI/VII/2016 of Josephine Joordens; 284/SIP/FRP/E5/Dit.KI/IX/2018 and 343/E5/E5.4/SIP/2019 of Harold Berghuis) under the project 'Studying Human Origin in East Java', and under the agreement between the Center for Geological Survey, Bandung, and The University Museum of the University of Tokyo. The CT scan in Japan was conducted under the research permits issued from BRIN, 631/SIP/IV/FR/7/2024 for Y.K. and 634/SIP/IV/FR/7/2024 for H.B, with the JSPS KAKENHI (Grant number 23K17404) for Y.K. We thank the dredging contractor PT Van Oord Indonesia and Sealand Consultancy for their cooperation and kind provision of background data of the dredging work. We also thank the port operator PT Pelindo III for their support, logistic assistance and admittance to the port site. Most of all, we thank the owner and developer of the land reclamation, PT Berlian Manyar Sejahtera (BMS) for their permission, cooperation and hospitality. We also thank the Geological Museum in Bandung for its great contributions and hospitality. The study was funded by the Faculty of Archaeology, Leiden University and the Dutch Research Council NWO (Grant number 016.Vidi.171.049).

Data Availability

The specimens are curated in the Geological Museum Bandung and available for further research.

References

- Antón, S.C., 2003. Natural history of *Homo erectus*. *Am. J. Phys. Anthr.* 122, 126–170. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.10399>.
- Athreya, S., Hopkins, A., 2021. Conceptual issues in hominin taxonomy: *Homo heidelbergensis* and an ethnobiological reframing of species. *Am. J. Phys. Anthr.* 175, 4–26. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.24330>.
- Athreya, S., Wu, X., 2017. A multivariate assessment of the Dali hominin cranium from China: morphological affinities and implications for Pleistocene evolution in East Asia. *Am. J. Phys. Anthr.* 164, 679–701. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.23305>.
- Baab, K.L., 2011. Cranial Shape in Asian *Homo erectus*: Geographic, Anagenetic, and Size-Related Variation. In: Norton, C.J., Braun, D.R. (Eds.), *Asian Paleoanthropology, Vertebrate Paleobiology and Paleoanthropology*. Springer, Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp. 57–79. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-9094-2_6.
- Baba, H., Aziz, F., Kaifu, Y., Suwa, G., Kono, R.T., Jacob, T., 2003. *Homo erectus* Calvarium from the Pleistocene of Java. *Science* 299, 1384–1388. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1081676>.
- Bae, C.J., Wu, X., 2024. Making sense of eastern Asian Late Quaternary hominin variability. *Nat. Commun.* 15, 9479.
- Balzeau, A., 2006. Are thickened cranial bones and equal participation of the three structural bone layers autapomorphic traits of *Homo erectus*? *bulletin et mémoires de la Société d'Anthropologie de Paris*. BMSAP 18, 145–163.
- Balzeau, A., Albessard-Ball, L., Kubicka, A.M., Filippo, A., Beaudet, A., Santos, E., Bienvenu, T., Arsuaaga, J.-L., Bartsiakos, A., Berger, L., Bermúdez De Castro, J.M., Brunet, M., Carlson, K.J., Daura, J., Gorgoulis, V.G., Grine, F.E., Harvati, K., Hawks, J., Herries, A., Hublin, J.-J., Hui, J., Ives, R., Joordens, J.A., Kaifu, Y., Kouloukoussa, M., Léger, B., Lordkipanidze, D., Margvelashvili, A., Martin, J., Martínón-Torres, M., May, H., Mounier, A., Du Plessis, A., Rae, T., Röding, C., Sanz, M., Semal, P., Stratford, D., Stringer, C., Tawane, M., Temming, H., Tsoukala, E., Zilhão, J., Zipfel, B., Buck, L.T., 2022. Frontal sinuses and human evolution. *Sci. Adv.* 8, eabp9767. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abp9767>.
- Berghuis, H.W.K., Veldkamp, A., Adhityatama, S., Reimann, T., Versendaal, A., Kurniawan, I., Pop, E., Van Kolfshoten, T., Joordens, J.C.A., 2025a. A late Middle Pleistocene lowland valley of the Solo River on the Madura Strait seabed: geology and age of the first hominin locality of submerged Sundaland. *Quat. Environ. Hum.*
- Berghuis, H.W.K., Van den Bergh, G., Van Kolfshoten, T., Prasetyo Wibowo, U., Kurniawan, I., Adhityatama, S., Sutisna, I., Verheijen, I., Pop, E., Veldkamp, A., Joordens, J.C.A., 2025b. First vertebrate faunal record from submerged Sundaland: the late Middle Pleistocene, hominin-bearing fauna of the Madura Strait. *Quat. Environ. Hum.*
- Berghuis, H.W.K., Van Kolfshoten, T., Prasetyo Wibowo, U., Kurniawan, I., Adhityatama, S., Sutisna, I., Pop, E., Joordens, J.C.A., 2025c. The taphonomy of the Madura Strait fossil assemblage, a record of selective hunting and marrow processing by late Middle Pleistocene Sundaland hominins. *Quat. Environ. Hum.*

- Berghuis, H.W.K., Veldkamp, A., Adhityatama, S., Hilgen, S.L., Sutisna, I., Barianto, D.H., Pop, E.A., Reimann, T., Yurnaldi, D., Ekowati, D.R., 2021. Hominin homelands of East Java: Revised stratigraphy and landscape reconstructions for Plio-Pleistocene Trinil. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 260, 106912.
- Berghuis, H.W.K., Van Kolschoten, T., Adhityatama, S., Troelstra, S.R., Noerwidi, S., Suriyanto, R.A., Wibowo, U.P., Pop, E., Kurniawan, I., Hilgen, S.L., 2022. The eastern Kendeng Hills (Java, Indonesia) and the hominin-bearing beds of Mojokerto, a re-interpretation. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 295, 107692.
- Berghuis, H.W.K., Adhityatama, S., Troelstra, S.R., Noerwidi, S., adi Suriyanto, R., prasetyo Wibowo, U., Pop, E., Kurniawan, I., Veldkamp, A., Joordens, J.C., 2023. Response to the comment by Huffman and Zaim. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 307, 108059.
- Bird, M.I., Taylor, D., Hunt, C., 2005. Palaeoenvironments of insular Southeast Asia during the Last Glacial Period: a savanna corridor in Sundaland? *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 24, 2228–2242.
- Bowen, D.W., Weimer, P., 2003. Regional sequence stratigraphic setting and reservoir geology of Morrow incised-valley sandstones (lower Pennsylvanian), eastern Colorado and western Kansas. *AAPG Bull.* 87, 781–815.
- Brown, P., Sutikna, T., Morwood, M.J., Soejono, R.P., Jatmiko, Wayhu Saptomo, E., Awe Due, R., 2004. A new small-bodied hominin from the Late Pleistocene of Flores, Indonesia. *Nature* 431, 1055–1061.
- Cameron, D., Patnaik, R., Sahni, A., 2004. The phylogenetic significance of the Middle Pleistocene Narmada hominin cranium from central India. *Int. J. Osteoarchaeol.* 14, 419–447. <https://doi.org/10.1002/oa.725>.
- Cooper, A., Stringer, C.B., 2013. Did the Denisovans Cross Wallace's Line. *Science* 342, 321–323. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1244869>.
- Cui, Y., Wu, X., 2015. A geometric morphometric study of a Middle Pleistocene cranium from Hexian, China. *J. Hum. Evol.* 88, 54–69.
- Dennell, R., 2008. *The palaeolithic settlement of Asia*. Cambridge University Press.
- Détroit, F., Mijares, A.S., Corny, J., Daver, G., Zanolli, C., Dizon, E., Robles, E., Grün, R., Piper, P.J., 2019. A new species of Homo from the Late Pleistocene of the Philippines. *Nature* 568, 181–186.
- Hilgen, S.L., Pop, E., Adhityatama, S., Veldkamp, T.A., Berghuis, H.W., Sutisna, I., Yurnaldi, D., Dupont-Nivet, G., Reimann, T., Nowaczyk, N., 2023. Revised age and stratigraphy of the classic Homo erectus-bearing succession at Trinil (Java, Indonesia). *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 301, 107908.
- Howell, F.C., 1999. Paleo-Demes, Species Clades, and Extinctions in the Pleistocene Hominin Record. *J. Anthropol. Res.* 55, 191–243. <https://doi.org/10.1086/jar.55.2.3631209>.
- Husson, L., Boucher, F.C., Sarr, A.-C., Sepulchre, P., Cahyarini, S.Y., 2020. Evidence of Sundaland's subsidence requires revisiting its biogeography. *J. Biogeogr.* 47, 843–853.
- Husson, L., Salles, T., Lebatard, A.-E., Zerathe, S., Braucher, R., Noerwidi, S., Aribowo, S., Mallard, C., Carcaillet, J., Natawidjaja, D.H., 2022. Javanese Homo erectus on the move in SE Asia ca. 8 Ma. *Nat. Sci. Rep.* 1.
- Jacobs, G.S., Hudjashov, G., Saag, L., Kusuma, P., Darusallam, C.C., Lawson, D.J., Mondal, M., Pagani, L., Ricaut, F.-X., Stoneking, M., 2019. Multiple deeply divergent Denisovan ancestries in Papuans. *Cell* 177, 1010–1021.
- Kaifu, Y., 2017. Archaic hominin populations in Asia before the arrival of modern humans: their phylogeny and implications for the "southern denisovans". *Curr. Anthropol.* 58, S418–S433. <https://doi.org/10.1086/694318>.
- Kaifu, Y., Athreya, S., in press. What's in a Name? Late Middle and Early Late Pleistocene Hominin Systematics Diversity and Evolution of Archaic Eastern Asian Hominins: A Synthetic Model of the Fossil and Genetic Records. *PaleoAnthropology*.
- Kaifu, Y., Aziz, F., Indriati, E., Jacob, T., Kurniawan, I., Baba, H., 2008. Cranial morphology of Javanese Homo erectus: new evidence for continuous evolution, specialization, and terminal extinction. *J. Hum. Evol.* 55, 551–580.
- Kaifu, Y., Baba, H., Sutikna, T., Morwood, M.J., Kubo, D., Saptomo, E.W., Awe, R.D., Djubiantono, T., 2011b. Craniofacial morphology of Homo floresiensis: description, taxonomic affinities, and evolutionary implication. *J. Hum. Evol.* 61, 644–682.
- Kaifu, Y., Kurniawan, I., Kubo, D., Sudiyabudi, E., Putro, G.P., Prasanti, E., Aziz, F., Baba, H., 2015. Homo erectus calvaria from Ngawi (Java) and its evolutionary implications. *Anthropol. Sci.* 123, 161–176.
- Kaifu, Y., Kurniawan, I., Mizushima, S., Sawada, J., Lague, M., Setiawan, R., Sutisna, I., Wibowo, U.P., Suwa, G., Kono, R.T., 2024. Early evolution of small body size in Homo floresiensis. *Nat. Commun.* 15, 6381.
- Kidder, J.H., Durband, A.C., 2004. A re-evaluation of the metric diversity within Homo erectus. *J. Hum. Evol.* 46, 297–313.
- Li, Z.-Y., Wu, X.-J., Zhou, L.-P., Liu, W., Gao, X., Nian, X.-M., Trinkaus, E., 2017. Late Pleistocene archaic human crania from Xuchang, China. *Science* 355, 969–972. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aal2482>.
- Liu, W., Wu, X., 2022. Morphological diversities and evolutionary implications of the Late Middle Pleistocene hominins in China. *Acta Anthropol. Sin.* 41, 563.
- Liu, W., Zhang, Y., Wu, X., 2005. Middle Pleistocene human cranium from Tangshan (Nanjing), Southeast China: A new reconstruction and comparisons with Homo erectus from Eurasia and Africa. *Am. J. Phys. Anthr.* 127, 253–262. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.20066>.
- Liu, W., Martínón-Torres, M., Kaifu, Y., Wu, X., Kono, R.T., Chang, C., Wei, P., Xing, S., Huang, W., Bermúdez De Castro, J.M., 2017. A mandible from the Middle Pleistocene Hexian site and its significance in relation to the variability of Asian Homo erectus. *Am. J. Phys. Anthr.* 162, 715–731. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.23162>.
- Liu, W., Athreya, S., Xing, S., Wu, X., 2022. Hominin evolution and diversity: a comparison of earlier-Middle and later-Middle Pleistocene hominin fossil variation in China. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B* 377, 20210040. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2021.0040>.
- Louys, J., Meijaard, E., 2010. Palaeoecology of Southeast Asian megafauna-bearing sites from the Pleistocene and a review of environmental changes in the region. *J. Biogeogr.* 37, 1432–1449. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2010.02297.x>.
- Louys, J., Roberts, P., 2020. Environmental drivers of megafauna and hominin extinction in Southeast Asia. *Nature* 1–5.
- Louys, J., Turner, A., 2012. Environment, preferred habitats and potential refugia for Pleistocene Homo in Southeast Asia. *Comptes Rendus Palevol* 11, 203–211.
- Matsu'ura, S., Kondo, M., Danhara, T., Sakata, S., Iwano, H., Hirata, T., Kurniawan, I., Setiyabudi, E., Takeshita, Y., Hyodo, M., 2020. Age control of the first appearance datum for Javanese Homo erectus in the Sangiran area. *Science* 367, 210–214.
- McGrath, S.M., Clemens, S.C., Huang, Y., 2023. Pleistocene Sunda Shelf submersion-exposure cycles initiate vegetation Walker Circulation feedback. *Geology* 51, 1053–1056.
- Ni, X., Ji, Q., Wu, W., Shao, Q., Ji, Y., Zhang, C., Liang, L., Ge, J., Guo, Z., Li, J., 2021. Massive cranium from Harbin in northeastern China establishes a new Middle Pleistocene human lineage. *Innovation* 2.
- Pop, E., Hilgen, S., Adhityatama, S., Berghuis, H., Veldkamp, T., Vonhof, H., Sutisna, I., Alink, G., Noerwidi, S., Roebroeks, W., 2023. Reconstructing the provenance of the hominin fossils from Trinil (Java, Indonesia) through an integrated analysis of the historical and recent excavations. *J. Hum. Evol.* 176, 103312.
- Reich, D., Green, R.E., Kircher, M., Krause, J., Patterson, N., Durand, E.Y., Viola, B., Briggs, A.W., Stenzel, U., Johnson, P.L., 2010. Genetic history of an archaic hominin group from Denisova Cave in Siberia. *Nature* 468, 1053–1060.
- Rightmire, G.P., 1990. *The evolution of Homo erectus: comparative anatomical studies of an extinct human species*. Cambridge University Press.
- Rizal, Y., Westaway, K.E., Zaim, Y., van den Bergh, G.D., Bettis, E.A., Morwood, M.J., Huffman, O.F., Grün, R., Joannes-Bouay, R., Bailey, R.M., Sidarto, Westaway, M.C., Kurniawan, I., Moore, M.W., Storey, M., Aziz, F., Suminto, Zhao, J., Aswan, Sipola, M.E., Larick, R., Zonneveld, J.-P., Scott, R., Putt, S., Cochon, R.L., 2020. Last appearance of Homo erectus at Ngandong, Java, 117,000–108,000 years ago. *Nature* 577, 381–385. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1863-2>.
- Santa Luca, A.P., 1980. *The Ngandong fossil hominids: a comparative study of a far eastern Homo erectus group*. Yale Peabody Mus.
- Sarr, A.-C., Husson, L., Sepulchre, P., Pastier, A.-M., Podoja, K., Elliot, M., Arias-Ruiz, C., Solihuddin, T., Aribowo, S., Susilohadi, 2019. Subsiding Sundaland. *Geology* 47, 119–122. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G45629.1>.
- Schwartz, J.H., Tattersall, I., 2003. *Craniodental morphology of genus Homo (Africa and Asia)*. New York Wiley.
- Sonakia, A., 1984. The skull cap of early man and associated mammalian fauna from Narmada Valley alluvium, Hoshangabad area, Madhya Pradesh, India. *Rec. Geol. Surv. India* 113, 159–172.
- Teixeira, J.C., Jacobs, G.S., Stringer, C., Tuke, J., Hudjashov, G., Purnomo, G.A., Sudoyo, H., Cox, M.P., Tobler, R., Turney, C.S., 2021. Widespread Denisovan ancestry in Island Southeast Asia but no evidence of substantial super-archaic hominin admixture. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 5, 616–624.
- Violet, A., Guipert, G., Jianing, H., Xiaobo, F., Zune, L., Youping, W., Tianyuan, L., de Lumley, M.-A., de Lumley, H., 2010. Homo erectus from the Yunxian and Nankin Chinese sites: Anthropological insights using 3D virtual imaging techniques. *Comptes Rendus Palevol* 9, 331–339.
- Wang, Z.Li, Tong, T., van Kolschoten, T., 2022. Hominin paleoenvironment in East Asia: The Middle Paleolithic Xuchang-Lingjing (China) mammalian evidence. *Quat. Int.*
- Weidenreich, F., 1943. The skull of Sinanthropus pekinensis; a comparative study on a primitive hominid skull. *Palaentologica Sinica* 10, 1–298.
- Weidenreich, F., 1951. Morphology of Solo man. *Anthropol. Pap. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist.* 43, 205–290.
- Widiantoro, H., Noerwidi, S., 2023. Long journey of Indonesian Homo erectus: Arrival and dispersal in Java Island. *L'Anthropologie* 127, 103167.
- Wu, X., Athreya, S., 2013. A description of the geological context, discrete traits, and linear morphometrics of the Middle Pleistocene hominin from Dali, Shaanxi Province, China. *Am. J. Phys. Anthr.* 150, 141–157. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.22188>.
- Wu, X., Bräuer, G., 1993. Morphological comparison of archaic Homo sapiens crania from China and Africa. *Z. F. ü. Morph. und Anthropol.* 241–259.
- Wu, X., Pei, S., Cai, Y., Tong, H., Xing, S., Jashashvili, T., Carlson, K.J., Liu, W., 2021. Morphological description and evolutionary significance of 300 ka hominin facial bones from Hualongdong, China. *J. Hum. Evol.* 161, 103052.
- Wu, X.-J., Schepartz, L.A., Liu, W., Trinkaus, E., 2011. Antemortem trauma and survival in the late Middle Pleistocene human cranium from Maba, South China. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 108, 19558–19562. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.111713108>.
- Wu, X.-J., Pei, S.-W., Cai, Y.-J., Tong, H.-W., Li, Q., Dong, Z., Sheng, J.-C., Jin, Z.-T., Ma, D.-D., Xing, S., Li, X.-L., Cheng, X., Cheng, H., De La Torre, I., Edwards, R.L., Gong, X.-C., An, Z.-S., Trinkaus, E., Liu, W., 2019. Archaic human remains from Hualongdong, China, and Middle Pleistocene human continuity and variation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 116, 9820–9824. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1902396116>.
- Xing, S., Martínón-Torres, M., Bermúdez de Castro, J.M., 2018. The fossil teeth of the Peking Man. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 2066.
- Zanolli, C., Kaifu, Y., Pan, L., Xing, S., Mijares, A.S., Kullmer, O., Schrenk, F., Corny, J., Dizon, E., Robles, E., 2022. Further analyses of the structural organization of Homo luzonensis teeth: evolutionary implications. *J. Hum. Evol.* 163, 103124.
- Sondaar, P.Y., 1984. Faunal evolution and the mammalian bio stratigraphy of Java. *The Early Evolution of Man with Special Emphasis on Southeast Asia and Africa*. Cour. Forsch. Inst. Senckenberg, Frankfurt am Main 69, 219–235.
- Van der Kaars, W.A., Dam, M.A.C., 1995. A 135,000-year record of vegetational and climatic change from the Bandung area, West-Java, Indonesia. *Palaeoecogr. Palaeclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 117, 55–72.