Botanical dispersal pathways into Malesia: The Philippines – Southeast Asian connection

P.C. van Welzen^{1,2,*}, S.J. Hilgen¹, J.M.A. Klink¹, R.-Y. Yu¹, M. van 't Zelfde^{1,3}

Key words

dated phylogenies migration routes **Philippines** plants SE Asia mainland species distribution modelling **Abstract** The MalayArchipelago, also known as Malesia, the triangle Malay Peninsula–Philippines–New Guinea, encompassing Indonesia, is tectonically one of the world's most active areas with an intricate history of amalgamation of terranes. For plants it means that ancestral species must have dispersed to the area, which presently holds about 70 % of endemic species with an estimated total of up to 45000 species. One of the possible dispersal routes mentioned runs from the SEAsian mainland via the Philippines. This was considered likely for montane species and for species that prefer a yearly dry monsoon period. However, comparisons of numbers of species, dated phylogenies with a clade on the mainland and another in the Philippines and Species Distribution Modelling all show that most likely only few species dispersed via this northern route. Chance long distance dispersal, especially for montane species, is always possible, but for the dry monsoon preferring species, for which the Philippine connection was mentioned once as a pathway, the savannah corridor during glacial periods, running from the Malay Peninsula to Java, was likely a far more important dispersal route.

Citation: Van Welzen PC, Hilgen SJ, Klink JMA, et al. 2024. Botanical dispersal pathways into Malesia: The Philippines – Southeast Asian connection. Blumea 69 (2): 171–195. https://doi.org/10.3767/blumea.2024.69.02.06. Effectively published online: 28 October 2024.

INTRODUCTION

Malesia, also indicated as the Malay Archipelago, was defined as phytogeographical area by Van Steenis (1950; see also Raes & Van Welzen 2009 for earlier definitions) based on demarcations in generic distributions at the Thai–Malay border, between Taiwan and the Philippines, and between New Guinea and Australia. It is roughly formed by the triangle Malay Peninsula–Philippines–New Guinea and encompasses Indonesia as largest country in the region. Van Welzen et al. (2005) showed in a different way that Malesia is a well-described phytogeographical area as in their sample of 6066 indigenous species (out of an estimated 42000 (Roos 1992) to 45000 (Corlett & Primack 2011) species), 70 % were endemic (Van Welzen et al. 2005).

The geological history of the region is extremely complicated (Hall 2009, 2012). Most of Malesia (and parts of the SE Asian mainland) broke off from the Australian part of Gondwana as small terranes and arrived in various waves at their present position. By the late Cretaceous W Malesia was more or less in its present position and c. 45 Ma Australia, New Guinea, and the east Malesian terranes started to move north. The Philippines is a conglomerate of various areas. Part of it originated in situ, more or less SSE of the present position, due to submarine volcanic activity that created islands (c. 50–45 Ma), in this case at the edge of the Philippine Sea Plate. Palawan, and a few islands north and south of it, detached from the Chinese part

* corresponging author e-mail[:](mailto:peter.vanwelzen@naturalis.nl) peter.vanwelzen@naturalis.nl

of Laurasia and started to rift eastwards to the Philippines at c. 32 Ma. Due to the northern movement of Australia and New Guinea, W Malesia turned slightly anti-clockwise and the Philippines moved north to their present position, south of Taiwan (in all reconstructions Taiwan is Laurasian and was always in its present position). The latest addition to the Philippines were islands in the Philippine–Halmahera Island arc (Hall 2009, 2012), which formed 45–25 Ma. De Boer (1995) stretches this arc even further to the east, to terranes beyond New Guinea and named it the Outer Melanesian Arc.

Hall (2009) considered that most terranes rifted completely to mainly submersed and thus had limited possibilities to migrate floral and faunal elements. This means that most of the flora must have arrived by dispersal. There are various pathways by which plants could have reached Malesia. The most important one is from the SE Asian mainland via the Malay Peninsula (e.g., Crayn et al. 2014; Fig. 1 purple arrow), either from continental Asia (e.g., Atkins et al. 2019) or rafting from Africa via India (e.g., Morley 2000). The second most important is from the east, with Australia and/or New Guinea as source (e.g., Morley 2003, Crain et al. 2014; Fig. 1 blue arrow). A third one to be investigated is a dry savannah link between Australia and the Lesser Sunda Islands/S Moluccas (Van Steenis 1979; Fig. 1 white arrow), while the fourth is a link via the Philippines (Fig. 1 yellow arrow). Within Malesia, the Philippines are part of the Wallacean area (Van Welzen et al. 2011), and often harbour species that are part of a dry monsoon period distribution pattern (e.g., Van Steenis 1979: f. 13). In this pattern, the everwet Malay Peninsula, Sumatra, and Borneo are evaded (Van Steenis 1979: f. 4, 5) and plants show distributions from the SE Asian mainland to Wallacea (Java, Philippines, Sulawesi, Lesser Sunda Islands, and Mollucas) and even Australia. Van Steenis considered the connection SE Asian mainland–Philip-

You may not use this work for commercial purposes.

¹ Naturalis Biodiversity Center, P.O. Box 9517, 2300 RALeiden, The Netherlands.

² Institute of Biology Leiden, Leiden University, P.O. Box 9505, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

³ Institute of Environmental Sciences, Leiden University, P.O. Box 9518, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

^{© 2024} Naturalis Biodiversity Center

You are free to share - to copy, distribute and transmit the work, under the following conditions
Affribution: You must affribute the work in the manner specified by the author or lice Attribution: You must attribute the work in the manner specified by the author or licensor (but not in any way that suggests that they endorse you or your use of the work)
Non-commercial: You may not use this work for comm

No derivative works: You may not alter, transform, or build upon this work.

For any reuse or distribution, you must make clear to others the license terms of this work, which can be found at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/. Any of the above conditions can be waived
if you get pe

Fig. 1   Study area (0–30° latitude and 100–130° longitude) is outlined by the dashed line and consists of partly China, Taiwan, Vietnam, Cambodia, Phillippines. Palawan is located near the middle (solid black outline). Also indicated are the various (possible) pathways as discussed in the introduction (purple: SE Asia mainland via Malay Peninsula, blue: from NE Australia and New Guinea; white: Australia via S Moluccas and SE Lesser Sunda Islands; yellow: SE Asia mainland to Philippines).

pines important for dispersal of montane plants (Van Steenis 1964: f. 11) and those that prefer a dry monsoon (Van Steenis 1979: f. 4). The Philippines can be the first stepping stone into Malesia, either via rafting on Palawan, and/or dispersal via Taiwan. During the geologically recent glacial periods, with a drop of –120 m in sea levels, the connectivity between N Borneo and Palawan and NE Borneo and Mindanao increased (Morley & Flenley 1987, Hall 2013: f. 13), but also volcanic islands north of Luzon provided higher dispersal possibilities with Taiwan (Hall 2013: f. 13). One of the first to mention a potential organismal dispersal route to the Philippines from the north was Dickerson (1928). Animal evidence for the northern routes exists, for example shrew dispersal from Taiwan to Luzon via the islands of Batan and Sabtang (Esselstyn & Oliveros 2010). However, records of plant dispersal via the northern routes are relatively scarce. Wu et al. (2009) suggest that Long Distance Dispersal (LDD)-events in the genus *Euphrasia* L. (*Orobanchaceae*) could explain dispersal from the Asian mainland to Taiwan and consequently to the island of Luzon, possibly via typhoons, winter monsoons or for instance via birds. Matuszak et al. (2016) illustrate examples of possible colonization of Wallacea via Taiwan and the Philippines, although no direct evidence could be provided.

Species Distribution Modelling (SDM) has been increasingly used to model the possible viable habitat for species to better comprehend species distribution ranges (Araújo & Peterson 2012). This tool enables both the reconstruction of the historic habitat range for species and can predict future shifts in the ranges of suitable habitats. Occurrence data of species are correlated to current day climatic and soil conditions; these form the boundaries of the environmental parameters within which species can live. The areas in which species could be sustained, historically and in the future, are then conceived by projecting these parameters on the relevant estimated environmental conditions of the specified time periods.

For the historic reconstructions, species distributions are modelled for the Last Glacial Maximum, about 22000 years ago, and for the relatively warm period of the Mid-Holocene, about 6000 years ago. These two periods cover the most extreme climatic conditions throughout recent geological history and for which palaeodata were available. Modelling future distributions will be based on two climate scenarios, denoted as the Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) 2.6 and RCP 8.5. These implemented scenarios are recognized by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC 2022) and are based on different levels of emitted greenhouse gasses, which are related to the extent of implemented sustainable policies by governments and institutions on a global scale. The RCP2.6 scenario is the most positive and most sustainable development scenario, often considered to still be reversible, whilst RCP8.5 concerns the most negative scenario, with the greatest global impact and likely to be largely irreversible.

The aim of this paper is to investigate the possibility that a floral migration path was present between the SE Asian mainland and the Philippines, whereby three lines of evidence will be followed: A numerical comparison between both areas of species occurrences (present/absent) to see if taxa likely took this route, dated phylogenies with a clade in the SE Asian mainland and a clade on the Philippines to see when dispersal might have happened, and species distribution modelling to investigate if species could and can be present on both sides, in the past, present and future. The latter is added to see if former pathways remain intact as they will still be available under the influence of overpopulation and the related climate change (IPCC 2022). Shifts in plant species' ranges, both in altitude and latitude, are already discerned as a response to changes in regional climates (Walther et al. 2002, Scherrer et al. 2017, Rumpf et al. 2019).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Several databases and data sets were prepared. All species names were checked against Plants of the World Online (POWO 2022) and for distribution modelling with its predecessor The [Plant](http://www.theplantlist.org/">(<Ahref="http://www.theplantlist.org/">http://www.theplantlist.org/)viaamodule) List [\(http://www.theplantlist.org/](http://www.theplantlist.org/)) via a [module](http://www.theplantlist.org/">(<Ahref="http://www.theplantlist.org/">http://www.theplantlist.org/)viaamodule) in R. Infraspecific taxa were reduced to the species. Only accepted names were included, while authors were adjusted where necessary. The family classification follows APG IV (APG IV 2016). The distributions were also taken from POWO (POWO 2022). Therefore, unresolved species (for which no distribution was mentioned) were deleted. Areas where the plants were introduced or where their presence was doubtful were ignored in the databases (as there is no easy way to tell when the introduction took place and if the plants were feral).

General data

The main data set (Table S1) contains all species present in the specimen database of Naturalis (L, U, WAG; for the acronyms see Thiers continuously updated) either in the Philippines or in the SE Asian mainland (here defined as the region comprising China, Vietnam, Taiwan, and Cambodia), respectively; whereby species only present in China N of c. 35° N or only W of c. 105° E were excluded (Fig. 1 dashed line) to prevent the influence of desert- and temperate species, all absent in the Malesian area. The lines also more or less coincide (therefore the use of c.) with several provinces shown in POWO (POWO 2022) as the most northern and western distributions for the species of our interest. Only the coastal countries were used as they are the most likely source of migrating species (and as there was only limited time for georeferencing. The latter is also the reason why the Ryukyu Islands were not included in the Species Distribution Modelling). Table S1 is no (complete) checklists for the area, it is only used to provide some simple overview statistics. A check of the Global Biodiversity Information [Facility](http://www.gbif.org/"><Ahref="https://www.gbif.org/">https://www.gbif.org/) (GBIF; <https://www.gbif.org/>) for the area 0–30° N

Fig. 2 A. Divergence times for various taxa indicated by a red dot and, when available, 95 %-confidence intervals with yellow beams. Species with distributions ranging further than the Philippines are indicated with a red dot. Different eras are alternately coloured, left to right: Miocene (until 5.33 Mya), Pliocene (5.33–2.58 Mya), Pleistocene (2.58–0.0117 Mya) and Holocene (0.0117–0 Mya); B. fluctuations in eustatic sea level during Pliocence, Pleistocene and Holocen; C. idem for the continental temperature on the Northern Hemisphere (data for B and C from De Boer et al. 2014).

and 100–130° E resulted in slightly more than 3 million herbarium records of flowering plants. Many of these proved to represent duplicates of material available at L, in some cases with an identification different from the one at L. Sorting out all duplicates and identifications proved too large to handle, even when pruning it down to the families treated in Flora Malesiana (Van Steenis 1950) (see Species Distribution Modelling below). We judged that in the vast majority of cases, the identification at L would be the correct one, regarding its very actively curated SE Asian collections. Furthermore, we judged the absence of a part of the specimen data would not seriously influence the outcomes related to the main dispersal patterns.

Phylogenetic data

The phylogenetic part of the study was executed mostly according to the method as used in Van den Ende et al. (2017). The species listed in Table S1 were used. Online scientific search engines were utilized to find dated molecular phylogenies containing the Philippines species/genera from the list, like Google Scholar (<https://scholar.google.nl/>). Used keywords were 'Species/Genus name', 'Dated Molecular Phylogeny', 'Philippines', 'Southeast Asia', and 'Taiwan'. Divergence times were noted in the list and distributional data were added when absent in the articles. Only the phylogenies containing Philippine species with a sister taxon/clade on the Asian mainland or Taiwan were kept. Species distributed over most of Malesia were excluded to eliminate species with a possibly internal-Malesian dispersal route to the Philippines; for instance if the Malay Peninsula, New Guinea, or Moluccas are inhabited too, then it is not obvious from where the ancestral species migrated. The remaining list of species was uploaded to Rstudio version 4.0.2 (Rstudio Team 2020) to plot in a Gantt chart. This Gantt chart showed the different species on the y-axis accompanied with their mean divergence times and 95 %-confidence intervals. To make the plot, the packages readxl, car, ggplot2, and tidyverse were used. The plot (Fig. 2A) was compared with the data on eustatic sea levels (Fig. 2B) and continental temperature (Fig. 2C) of the northern hemisphere based on 53000 unique datapoints per parameter from De Boer et al. (2014) for the Pliocene (5.33–2.58 Ma) and Pleistocene (2.58–0.017 Ma) (Holocene 0.017 Ma till present added; the Miocene (to

Fig. 3   Research area with specimen records shown in green and red dots; after modelling the species represented by the red dots did not deviate significantly from random or contained too few occurrences (less than 5) and were thus discarded.

Table 1 All environmental variables used in this study as abbreviation and a description of the variable. Blue rows: climatic variables; green row: elevation; yellow rows: edaphic variables. In black: all variables excluded based upon the correlation matrix or their variance inflation factor (VIF). In red: all variables included into the modelling of the species distribution.

5.33 Ma) was ignored as it had no datapoints). A trendline was added to the climatic graphs by using the function stat smooth() in ggplot2 (red lines in Fig. 2B, C). The option 'se' was set to 'FALSE' because of the large amount of datapoints.

Species Distribution Modelling

The research area ranges between $0-30^\circ$ latitude and 100–130° longitude (Fig. 1, dashed line; slightly different from General Data as no outlines of provinces had to be followed). Occurrence data of plant species of families revised for the Flora Malesiana Project (Van Steenis 1950), thus ensuring the largest set of well-identified specimens from especially Malesia, were retrieved from the specimen database of Naturalis Biodiversity Center (L, U, WAG) for Cambodia, partially China, the Philippines, Taiwan, and Vietnam. Species names were checked against POWO (2022, see above) and with the R package Taxonstand (Cayuela et al. 2021) against Th[ePlantList](http://www.theplantlist.org/">(<Ahref="http://www.theplantlist.org/">http://www.theplantlist.org/;supersededby<Ahref="https://www.worldfloraonline.org/">https://<Ahref="https://www.worldfloraonline.org/">www.worldfloraonline.org/) [\(http://www.theplantlist.org/](http://www.theplantlist.org/); [superseded](http://www.theplantlist.org/">(<Ahref="http://www.theplantlist.org/">http://www.theplantlist.org/;supersededby<Ahref="https://www.worldfloraonline.org/">https://<Ahref="https://www.worldfloraonline.org/">www.worldfloraonline.org/) by [https://](https://www.worldfloraonline.org/) [www.worldfloraonline.org/\).](https://www.worldfloraonline.org/)

The occurrence data were georeferenced in part with the cooperation of local researchers in the region (see acknowledge-

Table 2   Pearson's correlation values of all the variables (Table 1). The variables that were used in modelling are dark red, the correlations that exceeded the bounds of -0.7 and 0.7 are marked in light red; one of these was excluded. The bioclimatic variables are in blue, elevation in green and the edaphic variables in yellow.

	ALSA	BSAT	BULK	CECC	CECS	CFRA G	CLPC	CNRT	ECEC	ELCO	ESP	GYPS	PHAQ	SDTO	STPC	TAW c	TCEO	TOTC	TOTN	
Bio01		-0.395	0.388	-0.287	-0.345	-0.356	-0.029	0.243	-0.319	-0.155	0.226	-0.087	0.090	0.556	0.029	0.152	-0.364	-0.164	-0.120	ALSA
Bio02	-0.138		0.597	0.839	0.464	-0.583	0.565	0.510	0.652	0.726	0.247	0.421	0.837	0.265	0.724	0.408	0.590	0.068	0.214	BSAT
Bio03	0.683	0.161		0.490	0.137	-0.969	0.613	0.792	0.351	0.480	0.510	0.293	0.929	0.842	0.691	0.494	0.098	-0.059	0.137	BULK
Bio04	-0.786	-0.131	-0.936		0.366	-0.472	0.516	0.301	0.81	0.513	0.169	0.366	0.697	0.119	0.663	0.368	0.357	-0.152	-0.020	CECC
Bio05	0.726	-0.206	0.100	-0.177		-0.316	0.69	0.598	0.443	0.279	0.060	0.207	0.350	-0.185	0.525	0.719	0.161	0.818	0.860	CECS
Bio06	0.960	-0.170	0.824	-0.898	0.534		-0.701	-0.886	-0.356	-0.447	-0.507	-0.284	-0.920	-0.787	-0.762	-0.668	-0.044	-0.146	-0.338	CFRA G
Bio07	-0.788	0.104	-0.915	0.967	-0.165	-0.922		0.715	0.688	0.339	0.337	0.274	0.690	0.164	0.704	0.661	0.019	0.334	0.486	CLPC
Bio08	0.879	-0.255	0.379	-0.513	0.785	0.773	-0.542		0.247	0.443	0.457	0.252	0.792	0.634	0.705	0.756	0.106	0.543	0.689	CNRT
Bio09	0.969	-0.067	0.813	-0.897	0.562	0.986	-0.893	0.768		0.361	0.325	0.300	0.523	-0.124	0.585	0.354	0.205	-0.102	0.014	ECEC
Bio01 $\mathbf{0}$	0.768	-0.376	0.126	-0.211	0.972	0.597	-0.252	0.844	0.606		0.468	0.762	0.661	0.287	0.566	0.263	0.785	0.070	0.185	ELCO
Bio11	0.963	-0.036	0.835	-0.923	0.530	0.989	-0.912	0.765	0.993	0.570		0.586	0.456	0.436	0.370	0.286	0.040	0.001	0.098	ESP
Bio12	0.537	-0.270	0.676	-0.607	0.157	0.651	-0.688	0.320	0.616	0.241	0.604		0.400	0.135	0.343	0.196	0.298	0.029	0.098	GYPS
Bio13	0.443	-0.209	0.322	-0.417	0.197	0.473	-0.462	0.328	0.469	0.265	0.456	0.703		0.662	0.827	0.562	0.340	0.053	0.250	PHAQ
Bio14	0.333	-0.185	0.667	-0.463	0.010	0.473	-0.547	0.122	0.428	0.077	0.417	0.803	0.217		0.311	0.238	-0.017	-0.112	0.022	SDTO
Bio15	-0.226	0.272	-0.505	0.263	-0.079	-0.337	0.358	-0.045	-0.288	-0.126	-0.268	-0.550	0.127	-0.865		0.794	0.317	0.254	0.419	STPC
Bio16	0.458	-0.231	0.357	-0.442	0.186	0.498	-0.496	0.344	0.487	0.263	0.477	0.762	0.979	0.275	0.071		-0.019	0.621	0.725	TAW C
Bio17	0.342	-0.194	0.667	-0.462	0.024	0.479	-0.548	0.124	0.436	0.091	0.423	0.813	0.228	0.996	-0.872	0.285		0.030	0.074	TCEQ
Bio18	-0.032	-0.194	0.039	-0.032	-0.223	0.017	-0.122	0.067	-0.015	-0.112	-0.012	0.454	0.435	0.278	-0.037	0.489	0.276		0.976	TOTC
Bio19	0.420	-0.195	0.689	-0.523	0.082	0.550	-0.605	0.185	0.515	0.150	0.497	0.816	0.375	0.893	-0.740	0.409	0.900	0.156		TOTN
Elev	-0.746	0.504	-0.181	0.219	-0.917	-0.615	0.298	0.793	-0.604	-0.967	-0.563	-0.361	-0.290	-0.224	0.292	-0.297	-0.241	0.044	-0.283	
	Bio01	Bio02	Bio03	Bio04	Bio05	Bio06	Bio07	Bio08	Bio09	Bio01 $\mathbf{0}$	Bio11	Bio12	Bio13	Bio14	Bio15	Bio16	Bio17	Bio18	Bio19	Elev

ments) and by searching the gazetteer [GeoNames](http://www.geonames.org/">(<Ahref="https://www.geonames.org/">https://www.<Ahref="https://www.geonames.org/">geonames.org/) [\(https://www.](https://www.geonames.org/) [geonames.org/\);](https://www.geonames.org/) while Google Maps [\(https://www.google.nl/](https://www.google.nl/maps) [maps](https://www.google.nl/maps)) was primarily used to identify any [discrepancies](https://www.google.nl/maps) in the spelling, but also as the second source of coordinates when GeoNames did not contain a location. Records that could not be georeferenced (either because the locality could not be traced, or the area indicated was too large (more than c. 10 by 10 km, see below), or when the locality name occurred many times within the region) were discarded, just as records that were placed outside the borders of the selected countries. Lists of georeferenced data are available via the authors.

The species occurrence data were linked to five arc-minute grid cells (c. 10 by 10 km) and subsequently reduced to one record per species per grid cell, resulting in a grid of presence/ absence data. The R package 'raster' (version 3.3-13; Karney 2013) was used to perform this transformation (all scripts used can be found in the Appendix). After cleaning the data, all species present in less than five grid cells were excluded from the analysis (Sheldeman & Van Zonenveld 2010; Van Proosdij et al. 2016 indicate a range of 3–13 cells depending on the extend of the distribution). The species in the final selection were divided into three categories; solely occurring on the Philippines, solely occurring in Southeast Asia, and those shared between the two regions (called shared species). The location of the records for all modelled species are shown in Fig. 3.

Table 3 The Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) for each variable (Table 1) retained after processing the correlation matrix, and the subsequent VIF for the variables before and after the removal of the variable with the highest VIF. Red outlines provide which variable were excluded based on their VIF.

a		h		c			
Variable	VIF.	Variable	VIF	Variable	VIF.		
Bio01	3.207	Bio01	3.203	Bio01	3.091		
Bio02	1.723	Bio02	1.723	Bio02	1.72		
Bio03	2.989	Bio03	2.971	Bio ₀₃	2.937		
Bio13	1.579	Bio13	1.578	Bio13	1.577		
Bio17	1.938	Bio17	1.933	Bio17	1.923		
Bio18	5.231	Bio18	5.230	Bio18	5.19		
ALSA	4.136	ALSA	3.946	ALSA	2.135		
BSAT	12.513	BSAT	12.437	CECS	1.396		
CECS	15.343	CECS	1.774	ECEC	1.691		
CNRT	22.592	ECEC	4.715	ESP	2.326		
ECEC	4.725	ESP	3.065	GYPS	1.834		
ESP	3.458	GYPS	2.170	SDTO	2.08		
GYPS	2.306	SDTO	7.560	TCEQ	1.499		
SDTO	18.535	TCEQ	2.648				
TCEQ	2.769						

Table 4   Numbers of families, genera and species present in SE Asian mainland (part of China, Vietnam, Taiwan, and Cambodia) and the Philippines. Unique means absent in the other area (e.g., thus unique to Philippines is not present in SE Asian mainland), it does not indicate endemism. The totals (last column) were used to calculate the percentages (between brackets).

Table 5   The 95th highestAUC values of the ninety-nine replicates. ThisAUC level was the resulting threshold that was required for models to surpass in order to significantly deviate from random.

I Records	AUC	Records	AUC	Records	AUC
5	0.920	17	0.855	30	0.796
6	0.901	18	0.852	31	0.799
$\overline{7}$	0.879	19	0.847	32	0.793
8	0.846	20	0.836	33	0.783
9	0.801	21	0.849	34	0.787
10	0.829	22	0.827	36	0.790
11	0.835	23	0.826	37	0.786
12	0.815	24	0.819	38	0.780
13	0.817	25	0.824	39	0.781
14	0.807	26	0.803	40	0.766
15	0.848	27	0.807	46	0.764
16	0.871	29	0.799	56	0.738

The current, the reconstructed historical and the predicted future climatic conditions were retrieved from WorldClim v. 2.1, the his[torical](http://www.worldclim.org">(<Ahref="http://www.worldclim.org">www.worldclim.org<Ahref="http://www.worldclim.org">;Table1).Edaphic) ones from v. 1.4 [\(www.worldclim.org;](http://www.worldclim.org) Table 1). [Edaphic](http://www.worldclim.org">(<Ahref="http://www.worldclim.org">www.worldclim.org<Ahref="http://www.worldclim.org">;Table1).Edaphic) soil data were obtained from ISRIC ([www.isric.](http://www.isric.org)org; Batjes 2012; Table 1).All data were either retrieved or transformed into a five arc-minute raster and cropped to the research area.

Many of the climatic and soil variables are derived from each other and thus highly correlated. In order to reduce overfitting (Peterson et al. 2007, Raes et al. 2014) a correlation matrix was constructed using R (Rteam*), based on which highly correlating variables were excluded, only retaining those with correlation values between -0.7 and 0.7 (Table 2). In case of ambiguity exclusions were based on the comparable work of Rutgrink et al. (2018). For the remaining variables, the variance inflation factor (VIF) was calculated. Those variables with the highest VIF were also excluded until all variables showed a VIF value below 10 (Table 3). For included and excluded variables see Table 4.

Modelling of historic conditions was done for the Mid-Holocene, about 6000 years ago (ya), and the Last Glacial Maximum, about 22 000 ya; both with a spatial resolution of five arc minutes. The original climatic data was from CMIP5 (Coupled Model Intercomparison Project 5; Taylor et al. 2012) and was downscaled and calibrated using the WorldClim 1.4 'current' climate as a baseline. Due to the previous format of WorldClim 1.4, the degrees in temperature are stored as numbers a tenfold higher than data from WorldClim 2.1, representing an additional decimal number. Therefore, 'Annual mean temperature' and 'Mean diurnal range' were divided by ten to align with the present climatic data. Since 'Isothermality' concerns a ratio, rather than a measurement of temperature, it did not require any transformations. Future climatic conditions were produced using the climatic dataset from CMIP6 (O'Neill et al. 2016), which were downscaled and calibrated using WorldClim v. 2.1 'current' climate as a baseline. In this paper we implement the RCP2.6 and RCP8.5 pathways (IPCC 2013), the most optimistic scenario and the most extreme scenario, respectively.

The [distribution](https://biodiversityinformatics.amnh.org/open_source/maxent/) models were made in MaxEnt v. 3.3.3k ([https://](https://biodiversityinformatics.amnh.org/open_source/maxent/) [biodiversityinformatics.amnh.org/open_source/maxent/;](https://biodiversityinformatics.amnh.org/open_source/maxent/) [Phillips](https://biodiversityinformatics.amnh.org/open_source/maxent/) et al. 2005, 2006, Phillips 2006) with default settings except for: using five replicates, the addition of creating response curves, using the jackknife method for calculating the importance

95th highest AUC value of the null models

Fig. 4   The 95th highestAUC value of the ninety-nine replicates, per unique number of records, of the null models. The drop of AUC values between five and fifteen records has been well documented and methodically dealt with as described by Raes & Ter Steege (2007).

of the variables, and finally setting the threshold rule for the ten-percentile training presence. MaxEnt was selected as it had the best performance with presence-only data (Elith et al. 2010), outperforms other algorithms (Hernandez et al. 2006, Aguirre-Gutiérrez et al. 2013) and is less effected by georeference errors (Graham et al. 2008) and few presence records (Wisz et al. 2008).

The modelled species distributions were tested against random distributions via a bias-corrected null-model (Raes & Ter Steege 2007). For each number of grid cells occupied by a distribution (ranging from 5 to 56 records) 99 random distributions were made (thus each containing the collection biases) and analysed with MaxEnt under the same conditions. This resulted in 99 null-distributions per number of occupied grid cells (thus one for 5 occupied cells, one for 6, up to 56). Species deviated from random if their AUC values were in the top 5 % of the null model AUC values (Table 5; Fig. 4).

The non-random distribution models were transformed from the ascii files produced by MaxEnt into .TIFF files with ArcMap ModelBuilder in ArcGIS v. 10.6.1 (ESRI 2018). These files were turned into binary maps using the ten-percentile training presence via Python v. 2.7.1 (Van Rossum & Drake Jr 1995). InArcMap the resulting binary maps per species were summed per region and were totalled for an overview of all species.

In order to decide whether species occurred or could occur in both areas, the number of Philippine species that were modelled to occur in grid cells on the mainland were counted and likewise for the mainland species (possibly) occurring in the Philippines. If large numbers of species have suitable habitat in the other region, yet they do not occur there, then migration is likely hindered and thus limited between the regions.

To visualize the projections into the past effectively, the rasters of the two historic species distributions were subtracted from present day distributions. The resulting areas show where the suitable habitat has decreased for a multitude of species since the historic setting in red, whilst the habitat suitability in green areas has increased. For the projections into the future, the present-day species distribution maps were subtracted from

the future distribution maps, showing the change in habitat suitability for the species that is projected to occur.

RESULTS

General data

Based on the data in Table S1 it is obvious that the SE Asian mainland and the Philippines share far more taxa at family level (75 %; Table S3) than at genus (45 %; Table S4) or species level (11 %) (Table 4; Table S1, S3). In the data set (Table S1) 20 % of the listed species only occur in the Philippines (2444 out of 12164 species; for exact numbers of endemic species in the Philippines see [https://www.philippineplants.org/General/](https://www.philippineplants.org/General/CDFPStats.html) [CDFPStats.html\)](https://www.philippineplants.org/General/CDFPStats.html). On the other hand, regarding species absent from the Malay Peninsula (the more usual dispersal route to Malesia), 181 species are widespread from the SE Asian mainland to New Guinea and/or Australia including the Philippines (highlighted brown in Table S1). 444 Species (highlighted yellow in Table S1) are present in the SE Asian mainland and the Philippines, but not in the Malay Peninsula, 76 of them without a distinct pattern in Malesia. Other species, 92, show a pattern evading the everwet areas (highlighted blue in Table S1), thus are present in areas with a yearly dry monsoon. 276 Species are present in the SE Asian mainland and the Philippines only (of which 96 with a distribution of Taiwan and the Philippines; Table S2). This leaves 765 species present in the SE Asian mainland, the Philippines and at least the Malay Peninsula. It is obvious that species can extend their distribution over the SE Asian mainland and the Philippines, but they are not numerous.

Phylogenetic data

Of the list of species (Table S1) ten unique records remained. Some of these species were not on the original herbarium list, but were retrieved from dated molecular phylogenies. For details about, e.g., the publications, clades, their distributions, see Table 6. The mean divergence times, together with the 95 %-confidence intervals are visualised in Fig. 2A. Note that three of the ten taxa did not have 95 %-confidence intervals and reached further into Malesia than only the Philippines. These three taxa are therefore not visualized in the figure. Six of the ten mean divergence times date back to the middle Pliocene, two of the ten species have a mean divergence time around the Pliocene-Pleistocene border, and two species have a mean divergence time in the middle Pleistocene (n.b. some of the found species have 95 %-confidence intervals reaching deep into the Miocene). Most mean divergence times coincided with the higher eustatic sea levels (Fig. 2B) and continental temperatures (Fig. 2C).

The added climatic graphs from De Boer et al. (2014) show an overall decrease in northern hemisphere continental temperature and eustatic sea level from the middle Pliocene and onwards.

Many of the sister taxa of the selected species have relatively large distributions (the distributions of all species were added as no historical biogeographical analysis was available with node distribution reconstructions). Therefore, it is harder to interpret how these species have dispersed. Per species the potential pathway to the Philippines is discussed; these are also summarized in Table 6. Three species had a broader distribution than solely the Philippines, Taiwan and/or the SE Asian mainland and ranged to Wallacea (central Malesia). In all phylogenies used the taxa underneath the split were from the SE Asian mainland, which we translated as migration from the SE Asian mainland to the Philippines.

Lysimachia decurrens G.Forst.

Lysimachia decurrens (*Primulaceae*; Yan et al. 2018) is compared with its sister taxon *L. silvestrii* (Pamp.) Hand.-Mazz. *Lysimachia decurrens* has a wide distribution, from SE Asia mainland and some of the Malesian islands ranging from the Philippines, downwards in southeastern direction, towards New Caledonia. *Lysimachia silvestrii* occurs in North-Central China, South-Central China and Southeast China. Presumably, *L. decurrens* originated on the Asian mainland and reached a wide distribution before spreading to the Philippines (either directly or via Taiwan) and consequently downwards to more southeastern islands. The islands where *L. decurrens* occurs all have a tropical to monsoon climate, possibly explaining the distribution of the species (Van Steenis 1979, Kottek et al. 2006).

Stemona tuberosa Lour.

Stemona tuberosa (*Stemonaceae*; Chen et al. 2021) has a sister clade of four species, *S. japonica* (Blume) Miq., *S. mairei* (H.Lév.) K.Krause, *S. parviflora* C.H.Wright, and *S. sessilifolia* (Miq.) Miq., which all occur in South-Central China, North-Central China, Southeast China and Hainan. *Stemona tuberosa* is a widespread species ranging from India and Sri Lanka to SE Asian mainland, the Philippines, Sulawesi, the Lesser Sunda Islands and New Guinea. Most probably the species has spread from the Asian mainland towards Taiwan and the Philippines, either independently or via Taiwan to the Philippines, and from there on further towards the southeast. According to Chen et al. (2021), *Stemona tuberosa* prefers a monsoon climate. This could explain the current distribution pattern towards Sulawesi, New Guinea, and the Lesser Sunda Islands, as all of these regions contain areas with a monsoon climate (Van Steenis 1979, Kottek et al. 2006).

Lysimachia capillipes Hemsl.

Lysimachia capillipes (*Primulaceae*; Yan et al. 2018) has as sister taxon *L. insignis* Hemsl., a species that occurs in South-Central China, Southeast China and Vietnam. *Lysimachia capillipes* has a distribution ranging from South-Central China and Southeast China to Taiwan, the Philippines and even further southeastwards to New Guinea. Probably, dispersal towards Taiwan and the Philippines has taken place independently or dispersal towards the Philippine islands took place via Taiwan, with a further dispersal to New Guinea.

Viburnum luzonicum Rolfe

Viburnum luzonicum (*Viburnaceae*; Landis et al. 2021) has as direct sister taxon *V. tashiroi* Nakai*.* A somewhat more ancestrally diverged sister clade consists of *V. fordiae* Hance and *V. formosanum* (Hance) Hayata and ancestrally to these is *V. longiradiatum* P.S.Hsu. *Viburnum longiradiatum* is limited to South-Central China, whereas *V. formosanum* is limited to Taiwan. *Viburnum fordiae* occurs in South-Central China and Southeast China and *V. tashiroi* occurs only on the Ryukyu islands (Nansei-shoto). *Viburnum luzonicum* can be found in Vietnam, South-Central China, Southeast China, the Philippines and Taiwan. Based on these distributions, *V. luzonicum* most probably dispersed from theAsian mainland (either from China or from Vietnam) to the Philippines and Taiwan independently or indirectly via Taiwan to the Philippines. Dispersal via the Ryukyu islands could also be possible (directly to the Philippines or indirectly via Taiwan). It should be noted that the found posterior probabilities were relatively low (Landis et al. 2021).

Sedum formosanum N.E.Br.

Sedum formosanum (*Crassulaceae*; Ito et al. 2017) has as sister taxa *S. sekiteiense* Yamam. and *S. tricarpum* Makino, which occur on Taiwan and Japan, respectively. *Sedum formosanum* occurs in Taiwan, the Philippines (Batan islands) and Japan. Ito et al. (2017) suggest that dispersal may have taken place from the Asian mainland to the Ryukyu islands (Nansei-shoto) and subsequently to Taiwan, the Philippines, and Japan.

The majority of species in the used tree have a distribution limited to only Taiwan. This could imply that dispersal to the Philippines (Batan) has taken place by dispersal via Taiwan. Ito et al. (2017) state that no prominent correlation could be found between geographical distance and genetical distance between different *S. formosanum* populations, indicating that recent dispersal between the populations could have taken place.Also possible is a dispersal event from the Ryukyu islands (Nansei-shoto) to the Philippines.

Chloranthus henryi Hemsl.

Chloranthus henryi (*Chloranthaceae*; Zhang et al. 2011) has as sister taxon *C. serratus* (Thunb.) Roem. & Schult., which has a wide distribution, ranging from Vietnam in the south to Korea and the Kuril islands in the north. *Chloranthus henryi*, however, is limited to North- and South-Central China, the islands of Taiwan, Hainan and Luzon (n.b. Co's digital Flora of the Philippines (CDFP continuously updated) indicates that *C. henryi* perhaps does not appear in the Philippines, but that it is a wrong interpretation of *C. oldhamii* Solms; POWO (2022) accepts both species and lists as distributions China, Taiwan and the Philippines for *C. henryi* and Taiwan and the Philippines for *C. oldhamii*). Dispersal to Luzon has probably taken place directly from the Asian mainland or from the island of Hainan. It is also possible that indirect dispersal towards Luzon via Taiwan has taken place.

Lysimachia mauritiana Lam.

Lysimachia mauritiana (*Primulaceae*; Yan et al. 2018) has as sister taxon *L. heterogenea* Klatt, which is limited to North-Central China and South-Central China, whereas *L. mauritiana* is found on Réunion, in North-Central China and Southeast China, Manchuria, Korea and the Kazan-Retto Islands. The species is also present on Taiwan and the Batan Islands (Philippines). Presumably, *L. mauritiana* has reached the Batan islands directly from the Asian mainland or indirectly via Taiwan or the Japanese Kazan-Retto islands. However, the latter option seems unlikely given the relatively remote location of the Kazan-Retto island group.

Liriope graminifolia (L.) Baker

Liriope graminifolia (*Asparagaceae*; Wang & Yang 2018) has as sister taxa *L. platyphylla* F.T.Wang & Tang (= *L. muscari* (Decne.) L.H.Bailey) and *L. spicata* Lour. These sister taxa not only occur in countries on the Asian mainland such as Vietnam, Cambodia, Laos and Korea, but also on Taiwan, the main islands of Japan and the Ryukyu islands. *Liriope graminifolia* has more or less the same distribution but also occurs in the northern Philippines. Distribution range expansion probably occurred either directly from the Asian mainland to the northern Philippines, or indirectly via Taiwan or the Ryukyu islands.

Chloranthus oldhamii Solms

Chloranthus oldhamii (*Chloranthaceae*; Zhang et al. 2011) is sister to *C. sessilifolius* K.F.Wu, which only occurs on mainland China. *Chloranthus oldhamii* only occurs on Taiwan and the Philippines. The two most likely explanations for the distributions are dispersal directly to Taiwan and the Philippines or indirect dispersal to the Philippines via Taiwan.

Ophiopogon japonicus (Thunb.) Ker Gawl.

Ophiopogon japonicus (*Asparagaceae*; Wang & Yang 2018). The sister clade contains the species *O. bockianus* Diels,

O. bockianus var. *angustifoliatus* F.T.Wang & Tang (= *O. angustifoliatus* (F.T.Wang & Tang) S.C.Chen), *O. lancangensis* H.Li & Y.P. Yang (= *O. tienensis* F.T.Wang & Tang), *O. longibracteatus* H.Li & Y.P.Yang (= *O. intermedius* D.Don) and *O. reversus* C.C.Huang. All of these species occur on the Asian mainland with *O. intermedius* as the species with the widest distribution, ranging to India and Hainan. Of the five sister taxa, only *O. reversus* occurs on Taiwan. *Ophiopogon japonicus* occurs on the Asian mainland, Japan, Taiwan, and the Philippines. Presumably, *O. japonicus* reached the Philippines either from the Asian mainland directly, or indirectly via Taiwan or the Ryukyu islands.

SPECIES DISTRIBUTION MODELLING

Data selection

After georeferencing, validifying the nomenclature of the species with POWO and The Plant List, and excluding species that occurred in less than five grid cells, 924 species were retained representing 8891 records. These were modelled with MaxEnt and later analysed using the null models (see next subchapter). The number of environmental variables was reduced to fifteen based on the correlation matrix (Table 2). Subsequently, the VIF factors (Table 3) resulted in also discarding 'CNRT', the carbon/ nitrogen ratio (Table 3A, VIF = 22.592), and 'BSAT', the base saturation as percentage of effective cation exchange capacity (Table 3B, VIF = 12.437).Adescription of all the environmental variables is given in Table 1.

Null models

The null models provided anAUC value per number of records (Table 5; Fig. 4). Only 284 species from 175 genera passed the null test and were finally included as their AUC value deviated significantly from random (ending in at least the top 5 of the null models). Of these 284 species (Table 7), 79 only occurred in the Philippines, 84 only in mainland Asia and 121 in both regions (check the discussion under Species Distribution Modelling below).

Present day projections

All compiled projections of species distributions, thus for present, past and future scenarios, are shown in Fig. 5. These are the summed binary maps with the presence/absence of all species based on the ten-percentile threshold as provided by MaxEnt. The colours in Fig. 5 show intervals of twenty species, except for the first 10 species, up to 90 species per grid cell to provide the clearest picture; n.b. the last class with all species combined (column 4 in Fig. 5) extends further than a 110 species. For the present-day distributions, it is noteworthy that species from the Philippines and the SE Asian mainland are indeed projected to have suitable habitats across the South China Sea. In particular, but poorly visible in the figures due to scaling, the most northern islands of the Philippines have a suitable habitat for species from the mainland (Fig. 5:A3). Still, the overall suitability is limited to several dozens of species (31–50 in some places, to 51–70 in other areas), and since Palawan and a small part of the Philippine coast seem solely suitable for mainland species, it might likewise be the species living there, which could endure the environmental conditions on the mainland. This could mean that part of these species cannot migrate via Taiwan into the suitable habitat and was subject to rare long-distance dispersal events.

An overview of how many species were projected with a suitable habitat in the opposing region can be found in Table 8. Since the difference is highly significant, we can conclude that many species from both groups have a sustainable habitat in the opposing region, but that for many species that distribution was never realised.

Table 6 Selected species together with their tree specifications, mean divergence times, 95 %-confidence intervals when known and the native distributions of the species and their sister taxon/clade. Mean divergence times 95 %-confidence intervals with a ± were determined by measurined by measuring the branches. Divergence times and 95 %-confidence intervals without a ± were already mentioned in the tree in the original publication. An aste

Table 7 List of all 284 species included in this research for which the calculated AUC value (Area Under the Curve; last column) was significantly better than table The table is all 201 species included in this recoduct for this rimber the calculated ACO value (Ned Onder the Carte; the cordinity made ognificantly better than
the relevant null model. The table provides the specie mainland species (see Materials and Methods for definition of SE Asia mainland), PM = shared species; in yellow species that are more widespread in the models) and the AUC value of each species. **The Will Fecolus, the region (FF = Frimppine species, Any = Southeast Ask**

Mainland species				
Species	Filled grid cells	Region(s)	Region(s) modelled	AUC
Acalypha australis		5 _M A	MA	0.992
Acer albopurpurascens		6 MA	PM	0.984
Afzelia xylocarpa	7	MA	PM	0.912
		9 MA	PM	0.861
Alangium handelii Antidesma fordii		5 MA	PM	0.977
Ardisia cornudentata		5 MA	PM	0.947
Ardisia quinquegona		7 MA	PM	0.932
Asparagus cochinchinens		5 MA	MA	0.976
Bauhinia cardinalis		5 MA	PM	0.953
Bridelia balansae		9 MA	PM	0.911
Callicarpa pedunculata		5MA	PM	0.985
Calycopteris floribunda		5 MA	PM	0.979
Canarium album	7	MA	PM	0.916
Canarium subulatum		6 MA	PM	0.922
Capparis acutifolia		8 MA	PM	0.857
Capparis cantoniensis		5 MA	PM	0.938
		9 MA	PM	
Castanopsis indica				0.872
Celtis formosana		5 MA	MA	0.938
Chaetocarpus castanocar	7	MA	PM	0.909
Clerodendrum cyrtophyllu.		8 MA	PM	0.922
Clinopodium umbrosum		5 MA	MA	0.944
Cnestis palala		6 MA	PM	0.913
Coccinia grandis		8 MA	PM	0.863
Combretum quadrangular		6 MA	PM	0.965
Combretum trifoliatum		6 _{MA}	PM	0.917
Corydalis tashiroi		5 MA	MA	0.951
Dillenia hookeri		6 MA	PM	0.916
Dipterocarpus intricatus		6 MA	PM	0.907
Elaeagnus oldhamii		7 MA	PM	0.924
Eriobotrya bengalensis		12 MA	PM	0.884
				0.985
Eurya gnaphalocarpa		5 MA	MA	
Ficus hispida		13 MA	PM	0.859
Ficus ischnopoda		6 MA	PM	0.961
Holarrhena pubescens		10 MA	PM	0.866
Hopea odorata		7 _{MA}	PM	0.904
Hymenocardia punctata		6 MA	PM	0.963
Kibatalia macrophylla		5 MA	MA	0.941
Korthalsella japonica		5 MA	PM	0.956
Lagerstroemia floribunda		8 MA	PM	0.932
Maesa japonica		7 MA	PM	0.944
Maesa tenera		7 MA	MA	0.935
Magnolia compressa		5 MA	MA	0.963
Mallotus apelta		10 MA	PM	0.834
Mallotus barbatus		9 MA	PM	0.902
Mallotus metcalfianus		6 _{MA}	PM	0.973
Microtropis fokienensis		6 MA	PM	0.940
Ochna integerrima		10 MA	PM	0.880
Pantadenia adenanthera		7 MA	PM	0.889
Peltophorum dasyrachis		14 MA	PM	0.885
Pilogyne bodinieri		9 MA	PM	0.872
Prunus fordiana		6 MA	PM	0.926
Prunus phaeosticta		6 MA	PM	0.968
Pterocarpus macrocarpus		12 MA	РM	0.931
Quercus glauca		5 MA	MA	0.955
Rhynchospora longisetis		5 _{MA}	PM	0.938
Rosa laevigata		5 MA	PM	0.954
Rubus alceaefolius		6 MA	PM	0.918
Rubus cochinchinensis		8 MA	PM	0.861
Rubus ellipticus		6 MA	PM	0.957
Rubus leucanthus		9 MA	PM	0.866
Saraca declinata		7 MA	PM	0.955
Saurauia tristyla		5 MA	PM	0.920
Schleichera oleosa	7	MA 5 MA	PM MA	0.980
Scolopia oldhamii Shorea roxburghii		6 MA	PM	0.982 0.951
Shorea siamensis		7 MA	PM	0.954
Shorea thorelii		6 MA	PM	0.911
Sindora siamensis		5 MA	PM	0.935
Sorbus corymbifera		8 MA	PM	0.883
Spatholobus parviflorus		8 MA	PM	0.948
Strychnos nux-vomica		9 MA	PM	0.930
Styrax formosanus		5 MA	MA	0.977
Styrax suberifolius		6 MA	PM	0.927
Suregada multiflora		11 MA	PM	0.912
Symplocos anomala		8 MA	PM	0.872
Symplocos paniculata		7 MA	PM	0.885
Tabernaemontana bovina		10 MA	PM	0.847
Terminalia alata		6 MA	PM	0.945
Terminalia chebula		8 MA	PM	0.874
Terminalia corticosa		5 MA	PM	0.935
Torilis japonica		5 MA	PM	0.969
Triadica sebifera		9 MA	PM	0.941
Walsura robusta		7 MA	PM	0.926
Willughbeia edulis		9 MA	PM	0.862

Hypolytrum nemorum 20 PM PM 0.909 *Hyptis capitata* 9 PM PM 0.818

Leea philippinensis 13 PM PM 0.848

Historic projections

From the Last Glacial Maximum (Fig. 6 row 4) to the present, the suitability of habitat greatly increases (green) for the modelled species. The decrease (red) of habitat suitability mostly reflects the loss of land area due to rising sea levels, but additionally there is a distinct decrease of habitat suitability in the centre of Borneo and the Malay Peninsula. This change primarily affects the species shared between the mainland and the Philippines, whilst this effect is discernible to a lesser extent for species from the Philippines.

Since the Mid-Holocene (Fig. 6 row 3), there is another visible decrease of habitat suitability on Borneo and to its east for shared species mostly, yet in this projection, the decrease envelops the whole island. There are many other patches of decreasing habitat suitability; for shared species and those from the mainland, there is a visible decrease along the Chinese

coast; the habitat suitability for shared species additionally decreases in the southern part of Cambodia and Vietnam; and finally, the northern part of the Philippines shows a decrease for Philippine and shared species.

Future projections

Overall, the future projections (Fig. 6 row 1 & 2) show that, concerning plant species, climate change tends to increase the area suitable to harbour a larger number of species. This increase is mainly found on Borneo and Mainland Southeast Asia. The group that is most negatively affected are the species shared between the Philippines and the mainland. For these species, there is a decrease in habitat suitability both in southern Cambodia, near the coast of southeast Vietnam, and the central to northern part of the Philippines including Palawan. Yet, this is only clearly visible in the more extreme RCP8.5 scenario.

Table 9 Contributions of the variables per regional group to the contemporary distribution models: the Kruskal-Wallis comparison (Kruskal & Wallis 1952) with the Bonferroni (1936) adjustment for multiple group comparison; MA-PM: comparison between species from the SE Asian mainland and the shared species group; MA-PP: comparison between species from the mainland and species from the Philippines; PM-PP: Comparison between species from the Philippines and the shared species group. Blue variables are the bioclimatic variables, yellow variables the edaphic variables. Green cells indicate a significant difference, while red cells indicate a non-significant difference.

Fig. 6   Changes in stacked modelled species distributions in comparison to the contemporary models (Fig. 5, central row). In the future distributions green represents an increase and red a decrease in habitat suitability for species, for the past, red signifies areas which have decreased in habitat suitability for a multitude of species since then and green represents areas which have increased in habitat suitability. Row 1: Future projection using the environmental conditions based on the 8.5 Representative Concentration Pathway. Row 2: Future projection using the environmental conditions based on the 2.6 Representative Concentration Pathway. Row 3: Projection of change in habitat suitability relative to the Mid-Holocene period (± 6.000 BC). Row 4: Projection of change in habitat suitability relative to the Last Glacial Maximum (± 20.000 BC). Column A: Species only on Mainland Southeast Asia. Column B: Species in both regions. Column C: Species only found on the Philippines. Column D: All species.

Fig. 7   The average contribution per variable for modelling the species of each regional group in percentages. A. The average contribution for all variables concerning species only occurring on Mainland Southeast Asia; B. the average contribution for all variables concerning species only occurring in the Philippines; C. the average contribution for all variables concerning species both occurring on the mainland and in the Philippines; D. the contributions as stacked percentages for all three regional groups; ma = SE Asian mainland species, pm = shared species, pp = Philippine species.

Influence of the variables

The results from MaxEnt show that the contribution of the climatic variables in the species distribution models outweigh those of the edaphic variables (Fig. 7). For all species distribution models, the climatic variables contribute to 79.097 % of the models, whilst the edaphic variables contribute only 20.903 % to the distributions. In the overall modelling, it is solely the 'Exchangeable aluminium' that has a similar importance comparable to the lower contributing climatic variables, namely the 'Precipitation of the wettest month' and 'Precipitation of warmest quarter'. For region specific groups, 'Sand mass' and 'Carbonate content' outweigh some climatic variables, yet their contributions are less than 5 % and 10 %, respectively, across the regions.

Table 9 shows the results of the Kruskal-Wallis method (Kruskal & Wallis 1952) with the Bonferroni adjustment (Bonferroni 1936), which was used to discern any significant differences between the contributions of each variable between the regions. The most interesting results are the relatively small number of significant differences between species that are shared between the mainland and the Philippines, and those only occurring in the Philippines. Notable is also the fact that the 'Annual Mean Temperature' does not differ greatly in its contribution to modelling the species distributions, but it is the second most important factor for explaining the distributions.

DISCUSSION

The distribution of the records are uneven (Fig. 3) as China shows a very limited number of records compared to the other countries. This bias results in distributions being more dominated by the Philippine data, because the Philippines are smaller in surface area and more homogeneous climatologically and because of the many more records held in L. The same applies to Mindanao, the southernmost Philippine island of the larger islands, rich in vegetation. Here less collecting occurred due to religious uproar, hence, less dark green colouring in Fig. 5. The bias in collections has certainly influenced the modelling, showing less possibilities for SE Asian mainland species in the Philippines (degree of influence unknown because including the GBIF data set, see Material and Methods, was too complicated), but with the general data, which uses POWO (POWO 2022) distributions, it is obvious that not many species occur on the SE Asian mainland and the Philippines.

General data

Table S1 provides a rough overview of the flora of the SE Asian mainland (Cambodia, part of China, Taiwan, Vietnam) and of the flora of the Philippines. The purpose is to see if it is likely that taxa dispersed from the SE Asian mainland to the Philippines and from there further into Malesia. The higher resemblance in families and genera (Table 4) shows that most migration occurred on ancestral levels and thus not recently. This is supported by the high numbers of endemic species

(here simply regarded as newly evolved species, but they can also be relics of wider distributions) in the Philippines, which show that a high level of local speciation was present. There are not many species distributions with a SE Asian mainland– Philippine connection without presence in the Malay Peninsula, 625 species, of which 181 are widespread up to New Guinea/ Australia and may even have originated there. The remaining 444 may indicate a pathway between the SE Asian mainland and the Philippines (both ways), though many are restricted to Taiwan and the Philippines. The latter show that a floral exchange between these two areas occurred regularly, but it is unknown in which period(s). There are species distributions with presence in the SE Asian mainland, the Malay Peninsula and the Philippines (no other areas); it may then well be that these species dispersed to the Philippines and the Malay Peninsula independently (or if originating in either of the two areas, spread via the SE Asian mainland to the other area). The southern route, via the Malay Peninsula (Fig. 1 purple arrow), is more likely than via the Philippines, as there are more similar climates, either everwet or the savannah corridor (see below), then between the Philippines and the often colder and drier SE Asian mainland.

Phylogenetic data

Of all thousands of species checked, only ten species (as part of dated phylogenies) remained that showed a northern route towards the Philippines. More than 100 records (not shown), which also contained Philippine species, did not show evidence for a northern route, but likely showed a southern dispersal route to the Philippines via other Malesian islands. It is obvious that many more phylogenetic and dated biogeographic analyses are needed. Unfortunately, due to the low number of cases no general conclusion can be drawn, but a few possibilities can be discussed.

All mean divergence times for the species are of an age of 4.5 My or younger (Table 6; Fig. 2A). Therefore, dispersal via rafting on Palawan is unlikely as Palawan reached close proximity to Borneo and the rest of the Philippines around 15 Ma (Blackburn et al. 2010, and references therein; Brown et al. 2013, 2016).

Most mean divergence times were in the Pliocene during high water levels (Fig. 2B), only the species at the boundary of the Pliocene-Pleistocene and during the Pleistocene may have profited from lower sea levels in their dispersal, because the sea barriers were narrower. Nonetheless, sea barriers always had to be crossed (Heany 1985), likely via stepping stones, for instance the Batan and Babuyan Islands between Taiwan and the Philippines. The winter monsoon that comes from the northeast brings relatively dry and cool air towards the Philippines annually and can potentially transport diaspores over large distances (Wu et al. 2009). Additionally, Passey et al. (2009) suggest that the winter monsoon was stronger between 4 and 2 Mya, which coincides with the majority of the found mean divergence times.

Table 6 also shows the various fruit types and fruit colours. The fruits of most species are small drupes and likely eaten and transported over perhaps longer distances by birds and bats (Dardick & Callahan 2014). The bird dispersal syndrome (Van der Pijl 1982) shows that birds prefer fruits with red colours (often contrasting with dark seeds) and they may eat the fruits of *Viburnum* and the bat dispersal syndrome (Van der Pijl 1982) indicates that bats may like the white fruits of *Chloranthus* (contrasting with the dark night), which leaves a question mark for *Liriope* and *Ophiopogon* (black and blue, respectively). The arillate seeds of *Stemona tuberosa* are known to be dispersed by hornets. After the capsule has dehisced, seeds remain connected to the plant until hornets bite them off and transport

them to another location (Chen et al. 2017). Although it seems unlikely that hornets have dispersed seeds directly across the Luzon strait, potentially they could have used the islands within the strait as stepping-stones. Most of the species occur on higher altitudes (Table 6), making wind, stronger on higher altitudes, more likely as a means of long distance dispersal, conforming to the montane plant dispersal route via Taiwan, Luzon and Mindoro as mentioned in Van Steenis (1964: one of the tracks in f. 11). Also, the Batan and Babuyan Islands are volcanic islands and have high peaks (up to 1000 and 1100 m, respectively). Thus, chance long distance dispersal is always a possibility in especially the dispersal of montane species.

Ito et al. (2017) suggest that *Sedum*, growing on the seacoast, can be dispersed via sea currents, only the current in the Luzon strait is westwards directed (making dispersal from the SE Asian mainland and Taiwan unlikely), while the Kuroshio sea current moves north towards the Ryukyu islands, away from the Philippines. The Kuroshi sea current gained its present position c. 3 Ma (Gallagher et al. 2015), which is twice as old as the divergence moment in the *Sedum* phylogeny (Table 6).

Species Distribution Modelling

SDMs only indicate where the abiotic conditions are suitable for species. The influence of biotic factors (e.g., diaspore type and size, herbivores, pollinators, dispersers) and especially human land use pose their restrictions on the distributions and especially on the unlimited dispersal that modelling software allows. Thus the actual distributions are likely smaller and the chances of dispersal are much lower and/or over shorter distances due to human-made obstacles (e.g., roads), and will continue to decrease with increasing human influences. Overestimations in the models become more relevant when projecting species distributions in the past or future, then changes in the distributions, especially extensions of areas, are likely exaggerated, and can even hide actual decreases in distributions. The fact that especially climate influences the models, and far less the soil variables (Fig. 7), justifies the predictions for future and past models with adjusted climate variables.

The large number of records will incorporate several errors, either in the georeferenced locations or in the taxonomic nomenclature, moreover many of the records are slightly antiquated. Similar with Table 7, due to the inability to find coordinates for all specimens, it happens that species are not listed for areas where they occur (e.g., *Acalypha australis* L. absent from the Philippines). The large numbers of taxa and the ten-percentile threshold as it is included in MaxEnt, and as used in this study, should reduce the impact of these artefacts on the final distributions. Also, the stacking of the individual species distributions should mitigate the occasional incorrect data.

Currently, there is a large debate on whether research should standardize the use of ensemble model algorithms rather than single model methods as used here. Though ensemble models tend to be slightly more reliable, the quality difference in results seems limited (Kaky et al. 2020). Moreover, Kamworapan & Surussavadee (2019) show that large ensembles of algorithms could actually be worse due to the inclusion of less accurate models. Therefore, it was opted to solely implement MaxEnt, which is generally considered the best program for presenceonly data (Elith et al. 2006).

For the species endemic to the Philippines (Fig. 5: C3) there are also suitable habitats in the more coastal areas of southern Vietnam and Cambodia, areas the plants never dispersed too. Likewise, the plants endemic to SE Asian mainland (Fig. 5: A3) could have found suitable habitats on Palawan and SW Luzon. All of these are the more everwet areas. Table 7, and tested significantly different in Table 8, shows that most of these

endemic species get models in which they occur at both sides of the South China Sea. Thus, many species cannot easily disperse over the South China Sea, also not during glacial periods (Fig. 6, row 5) when landmasses were at a maximum. Only the species present at both sides of the South China Sea (Fig. 5: B3) colour more areas dark green, also on Taiwan; these are likely the species that are adapted to a yearly dry monsoon.

The General Data showed that there are a few species shared between Taiwan and the Philippines. For the endemic Philippines species Taiwan is generally not part of the model (Fig. 5: C3) except for the southernmost point of it (where they do not occur in reality). For species endemic to the mainland, Taiwan is more often part of the model, shared with the wetter southern areas of the mainland. The models of the widespread species most often include Taiwan, something which is likely true for the species distributions in reality (see Table *S*1). During the glacial maximum, when distances across water were shortest, the species modelled barely showed any overlap between the northern Philippines and Taiwan; seemingly the climatic conditions for dispersal were too poor (Fig. 7, 8; bottom rows).

In the future, higher temperatures and more rain are expected, which will create an everwet climate in more northern regions. As a result models based on RCP 2.6 and 8.5 mainly indicate an increase in biodiversity, especially on the mainland (Fig. 8: D1, D2) with an exception for a few small areas in southern Vietnam. The highest losses in biodiversity will be in the species at both sides of the China Sea (shared species), under RCP 2.6 mainly on Luzon, the most northern large island of the Philippines, and under RCP 8.5 on Luzon and Palawan in the Philippines, and along the coast of S Vietnam and Cambodia. Under both scenarios it seems likely that many species will migrate northwards. However, this will be a slow process and likely increased human land use may have a more direct impact on species distributions, thus regional efforts might be best directed to counter this threat first.

Van Steenis (1979) considered the SEAsian mainland–Philippine connection especially important for dispersal of species preferring a yearly dry monsoon. However, all data above show that some species may have dispersed from SE Asian mainland to the Philippines (or vice versa), but not particularly all species that prefer a dry monsoon. Unknown to Van Steenis then, is the present knowledge of the occurrence of a savannah corridor, running from the Gulf of Thailand to the Java Sea during glacial periods when the Sunda Shelf became dry (thus uniting the Malay Peninsula, Sumatra, Borneo and NE Java into one area). This corridor with a much dryer climate is the direct result of this large land mass, comparable with Australia, dry in the middle, wet at the edges. The savannah corridor was likely a better dispersal route to the south for taxa occurring north of the Malay-Thai border (border of the Malesian area) at present and used to a dry period per year (prolonging with increasing latitudes), as no sea barriers had to be crossed and because it had an excellent climate for periodically dry monsoon loving species (e.g., see Cannon et al. 2009, Slik et al. 2011 for reconstructions).

CONCLUSIONS

Van Steenis (1964, 1979) expected that the Philippines would be a gateway for montane plants and for species preferring a yearly dry monsoon to disperse from the SE Asian mainland to Malesia. However, the patterns found indicate that it seems to have happened only in a limited number of cases. The dispersal of species in the first group could have occurred, as Van Steenis (1964) described, by long-distance dispersal between Asia and the Philippines. Research on the origin of Mt Kinabalu

(N Borneo; Merckx et al. 2015) confirms this (next to recruitment from local lowland ancestral species). For dispersal of the latter group, the savannah corridor during glacial periods was likely far more important as no sea barriers had to be crossed.

In the future, especially under the worst climate scenario, RCP 8.5, the coastal areas of China and Taiwan will become more suitable for many species due to more rain and higher temperatures, but as the weather will become extremer and human influence is still increasing, it is unlikely that plant species will find a suitable (long distance) dispersal pathway between the Philippines and the SE Asian mainland.

Acknowledgements   We thank Sophie Querido, Hoang Van Sam, and Maofang Luo for aiding in georeferencing localities in Cambodia, Vietnam, and China. Niels Raes and Hans ter Steege are thanked for help with Species Distribution Modelling and statistical analyses. The two reviewers, Pieter Pelser and Francis Nge, are thanked for their constructive remarks that improved the manuscript. The first author thanks the Treub Maatschappij, the Society for the Advancement of Research in the Tropics, for supporting the Ornstein university chair in Tropical Plant Biogeography.

REFERENCES

- Aguirre-Gutiérrez J, Carvalheiro LG, Polce C, et al. 2013. Fit-for-purpose: species distribution model performance depends on evaluation criteria – Dutch [hoverflies](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal) as case study. PloS ONE 8(5): 363708. [https://doi:10.1371/](https://doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063708) [journal.pone.006370](https://doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063708)[8.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal)
- APG IV. 2016. An update of the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group classification for the orders and families of flowering plants: APG IV. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society 181(1): 1–20. [https://doi.org/10.1111/boj.12385.](https://doi.org/10.1111/boj.12385)
- Araújo MB, Peterson AT. 2012. Uses and misuses of bioclimatic envelope modelling. Ecology 93: 1527–1539.
- Atkins HJ, Bramley GLC, Johnson MA, et al. 2019.A molecular phylogeny of SoutheastAsian Cyrtandra (Gesneriaceae) supports an emerging paradigm for Malesian plant biogeography. Frontiers of Biogeography 12(1): e44814.
- Batjes NH. 2012. ISRIC-WISE derived soil properties on a 5 by 5 arc-minutes global grid (ver. 1.2). ISRIC report 2012/01. ISRIC-World Soil Information, Wageningen.
- Bentvelzen PAJ. 1960. Primulaceae. In: Van Steenis CGGJ (ed), Flora Malesiana Ser. I, 6: 173–192. Noordhoff-Kolff, Batavia.
- Blackburn DC, Bickford DP, Diesmos AC, et al. 2010. An ancient origin for the enigmatic flat-headed frogs (Bombinatoridae: Barbourula) from the Islands of Southeast Asia. PLoS ONE 5: e12090.
- Bonferroni CE. 1936. Teoria statistica delle classi e calcolo delle probabilit. Pubblicazioni del R Istituto Superiore di Scienze Economiche e Commerciali di Firenze 8: 3–62.
- Broussard MC. 2007. A horticultural study of Liriope and Ophiopogon: nomenclature, morphology, and culture. Louisiana State University Doctoral [Dissertations,](https://digitalcommons.lsu.edu/gradschool_dissertations/4002) 4002. [https://digitalcommons.lsu.edu/gradschool_disserta](https://digitalcommons.lsu.edu/gradschool_dissertations/4002)[tions/4002](https://digitalcommons.lsu.edu/gradschool_dissertations/4002) [last [checked](https://digitalcommons.lsu.edu/gradschool_dissertations/4002) Aug. 2022].
- Brown RM, Siler CD, Oliveros CH, et al. 2013. Evolutionary processes of diversification in a model island archipelago. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 44: 411–435.
- Brown RM, Su Y-C, Barger B, et al. 2016. Phylogeny of the island archipelago frog genus Sanguirana: Another endemic Philippine radiation that diversified 'Out-of-Palawan'. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 94: 531–536.
- Cannon CH, Morley RJ, Bush ABG. 2009. The current ferugial rainforests of Sundaland are unrepresentative of their biogeographic past and highly vulnerable to disturbance. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 106(27): 11188–11193.
- Cayuela L, Macarro I, Stein A, et al. 2021. Automated standardization of taxonomic names and removal of orthographic errors in plant species names using 'The Plant List' website. [Package](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=Taxonstand) version 2.4. [https://CRAN.R-project.](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=Taxonstand) [org/package=Taxonstand;](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=Taxonstand) succeeded by [WorldFlora](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=Taxonstand) package.
- Chen G, Wang Z-W, Qin Y, et al. 2017. Seed dispersal by hornets: An insectplant interaction. Journal of Integrative Plant Biology 59: 792–796.
- Chen Y-S, Zeng C-X, Muellner-Riehl AN, et al. 2021. Invertebrate-mediated dispersal plays an important role in shaping the current distribution of a herbaceous monocot. Journal of Biogeography 48: 1101–1111.
- Choi SS, Kim J, Kim CH. 2020. The taxonomic entity and distribution of Korean Sedum formosanum (Crassulaceae) revealed in 133 years. Korean Journal of Plant Taxonomy 50: 377–384.
- Co's digital Flora of the Philippines (CDFP). Continuously updated. [https://](https://www.philippineplants.org/index.html) www.philippineplants.org/index.html [last [checked](https://www.philippineplants.org/index.html) 7 Aug. 2023].

Corlett RT, Primack RB. 2011. Tropical rain forests: An ecological and biogeographical comparison, ed. 2. Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester.

- Crayn DM, Costion C, Harrington MG. 2014. The Sahul-Sunda floristic exchange: dated molecular phylogenies document Cenozoic intercontinental dispersal dynamics. Journal of Biogeography 42: 11–24. [https://](https://doi. org/10.1111/jbi.12405) doi. [org/10.1111/jbi.12405](https://doi. org/10.1111/jbi.12405).
- Cullen J. 2013. 757. Chloranthus oldhamii, Chloranthaceae. Curtis's Botanical Magazine 30: 68–75.
- Dardick C, Callahan AM. 2014. Evolution of the fruit endocarp: molecular mechanisms underlying adaptions in seed protection and dispersal strategies. Frontiers in Plant Science 5: 284
- De Boer AJ. 1995. Island and cicadas adrift in the West Pacific. Biogeographic patterns related to plate tectonics. Tijdschrift voor Entomologie 138: 169–244.
- De Boer B, Lourens LJ, Van de Wal RSW. 2014. Persistent 400,000-year variability of Antarctic ice volume and the carbon cycle is revealed throughout the plio-pleistocene. Nature communications 5: 2999.
- Dickerson RE. 1928. Distribution of life in the Philippines. Monographs of the Bureau of Science, Manila 2: 1–322.
- Duyfjes BEE. 1993. Stemonaceae. In: De Wilde WJJO (ed), Flora Malesiana Ser. I, 11: 399–409. Rijksherbarium/Hortus Botanicus, Leiden.
- Elith J, Graham CH, Anderson RP, et al. 2006. Novel methods improve prediction of species' distributions from occurrence data. Ecography [29:129–151.](http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/external-references?article=0906-7590()29L.129[aid=7619697])
- Elith J, Phillips SJ, Hastie T, et al. 2010. A statistical explanation of MaxEnt for ecologists. Diversity and Distributions 7: 43–57.
- ESRI. 2018. ArcGIS Desktop: Release 10.6.1. Environmental Systems Research Institute, [Redlands,](http://www.esri.com/en-us/arcgis/products/arcgis-pro/overview">(<Ahref="https://www.esri.com/en-us/arcgis/products/arcgis-pro/overview">https://www.esri.com/en-us/arcgis/) California [\(https://www.esri.com/en-us/arcgis/](https://www.esri.com/en-us/arcgis/products/arcgis-pro/overview) [products/arcgis-pro/overview](https://www.esri.com/en-us/arcgis/products/arcgis-pro/overview) [last [checked](https://www.esri.com/en-us/arcgis/products/arcgis-pro/overview) Sept. 2022].
- Esselstyn JA, Oliveros CH. 2010. Colonization of the Philippines from Taiwan: a multi-locus test of the biogeographic and phylogenetic relationships of isolated populations of shrews. Journal of Biogeography 37: 1504–1514.
- Gallagher SJ, Kitamura A, Iryu Y, et al. 2015. The Pliocene to recent history of the Kuroshio and Tsushima currents: a multi-proxy approach. Progress in Earth and Planetary Science 2(17): 1–23.
- Graham CH, Elith J, Hijmans RJ, et al. 2008. The influence of spatial errors in species occurrence data used in distribution models. Journal of Applied Ecology 45: 239–247.
- Hall R. 2009. Southeast Asia's changing palaeogeography. Blumea 54: 148–161. <https://doi.org/10.3767/000651909X475941>.
- Hall R. 2012. Sundaland and Wallacea: Geology, plate tectonics and palaeogeography. In: Gower D, Johnson K, Richardson J, et al. (eds), Biotic Evolution and Environmental Change in Southeast Asia (Systematics Association Special Volume Serie): 32–78. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Hall R. 2013. The palaeogeography of Sundaland and Wallacea since the Later Jurassic. Journal of Limnology 72(s2): 117.
- Heaney LR. 1985. Zoogeographic evidence for middle and late Pleistocene land bridges to the Philippine islands. Modern Quaternary Research in Southeast Asia 9: 127–143.
- Hernandez PA, Graham CH, Master LL, et al. 2006. The effect of sample size and species characteristics on performance of different species distribution modeling methods. [Ecography](http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/external-references?article=0906-7590()29L.773[aid=9070094]) 29: 773–785.
- Inthachub P, Vajrodaya S, Duyfjes BEE. 2010. Census of Stemona (Stemonaceae) in Thailand. Blumea 55: [143–152.](http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/external-references?article=0006-5196()55L.143[aid=11603546])
- IPCC Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. 2013. Contribution of working group I to the fifth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. In: Stocker TF, Qin D, Plattner GK, et al. (eds), Climate Change 2013. The Physical Science Basis. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- IPCC Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. 2022. In: Pörtner H-O, Roberts DC, Tignor, et al. (eds), Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, [Cambridge,](https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009325844) New York. [https://doi.org/10.1017/](https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009325844) [9781009325844.](https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009325844) <https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg2/> [last visited Aug. 2022].
- Ito T, Yu C-C, Nakamura K, et al. 2017. Unique parallel radiations of highmountainous species of the genus Sedum (Crassulaceae) on the continental island of Taiwan. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 113: 9–22.
- Jacobs B, Donoghue MJ, Bouman F, et al. 2008. Evolution and phylogenetic importance of endocarp and seed characters in Viburnum (Adoxaceae). International Journal of Plant Sciences 169: 409–431.
- Kaky E, Nolana V, Alatawia A, et al. 2020. A comparison between Ensemble and MaxEnt species distribution modelling approaches for conservation: A case study with Egyptian medicinal plants. Ecological Informatics 60: 101150.
- Kamworapan S, Surussavadee C. 2019. Evaluation of CMIP5 Global Climate Models for simulating climatological temperature and precipitation for Southeast Asia. Advances in Meteorology 2019: 1067365.
- climate classification updated. [Meteorologische](http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/external-references?article=0941-2948()15L.259[aid=8010089]) Zeitschrift 15: 259–263. Kruskal WH, Wallis WA. 1952. Use of ranks in one-criterion variance analysis.
- Journal of the American Statistical Association 47: 583–621. Landis MJ, Eaton DAR, Clement WL, et al. 2021. Joint phylogenetic es-
- timation of geographic movements and biome shifts during the global diversification of Viburnum. Systems Biology 70: 67–85.
- Matuszak S, Muellner-RiehlAN, Sun H, et al. 2016. Dispersal routes between biodiversity hotspots in Asia: the case of the mountain genus Tripterospermum (Gentianinae, [Gentianaceae\)](http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/external-references?article=0305-0270()43L.580[aid=10753891]) and its close relatives. Journal of [Biogeography](http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/external-references?article=0305-0270()43L.580[aid=10753891]) 43: 580–590.
- Merckx VSFT, Hendriks KP, Beentjes KK, et al. 2015. Evolution of endemism on a young tropical mountain. Nature 524: 347–350.
- Morley RJ. 2000. Origin and evolution of tropical rain forests. Wiley, Chichester, New York.
- Morley RJ. 2003. Interplate disperdal paths for megathermal angiosperms. Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics 6(1–2): 5–20.
- Morley RJ, Flenley JR. 1987. Late Cainozoic vegetational and environmental changes in the Malay Archipelago. In: Whitmore TC (ed), Biogeographical evolution of the Malay Archipelago: 50–59. Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- Nesom GL. 2010. Overview of Liriope and Ophiopogon (Ruscaceae) naturalized and commonly cultivated in the USA. Phytoneuron 56: 1–31.
- O'Neill BC, Tebaldi C, Van Vuuren DP, et al. 2016. The scenario model intercomparison project (ScenarioMIP) for CMIP6. Geoscientific Model Development 9: 3461–3482.
- Oh I-C, Anderberg A-I, Schönenberger J, et al. 2008. Comparative seed morphology and character evolution in the genus Lysimachia (Myrsinaceae) and related taxa. Plant Systematics and Evolutions 271: 177–197.
- Passey BH, Ayliffe LK, Kaakinen A, et al. 2009. Strengthened East Asian summer monsoons during a period of high-latitude warmth? Isotopic evidence from Mio-Pliocene fossil mammals and soil carbonates from northern China. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 277: 443–452.
- Peterson AT, Papeş M, Eaton M. 2007. Transferability and model evaluation in ecological niche modelling: a [comparison](http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/external-references?article=0906-7590()30L.550[aid=10532957]) of GARP and Maxent. [Ecography](http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/external-references?article=0906-7590()30L.550[aid=10532957]) 30: 550–560.
- Phillips SJ. 2006. A brief tutorial on Maxent. AT&T [Research](https://biodiversityinformatics.amnh.org/open_source/maxent/Maxent_tutorial2017.pdf) ([https://biodi](https://biodiversityinformatics.amnh.org/open_source/maxent/Maxent_tutorial2017.pdf)[versityinformatics.amnh.org/open_source/maxent/Maxent_tutorial2017.](https://biodiversityinformatics.amnh.org/open_source/maxent/Maxent_tutorial2017.pdf) [pdf](https://biodiversityinformatics.amnh.org/open_source/maxent/Maxent_tutorial2017.pdf) [last [checked](https://biodiversityinformatics.amnh.org/open_source/maxent/Maxent_tutorial2017.pdf) Sept. 2022).
- Phillips SJ, Anderson RP, Schapire RE. 2006. Maximum entropy modeling of species geographic [distributions.](http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/external-references?article=0304-3800()190L.231[aid=7619736]) Ecological Modelling 190: 231–259.
- Phillips SJ, Dudik M, Schapire RE. 2005. Maxent software for species distribution [modelling.](http://www.cs.princeton.edu/~schapire/maxent/"><Ahref="http://www.cs.princeton.edu/~schapire/maxent/">http://www.cs.princeton.edu/~schapire/maxent/) <http://www.cs.princeton.edu/~schapire/maxent/>, nowadays: <http://rob.schapire.net/> [checked Feb. 2023]
- POWO Plants of the World Online. 2022. Facilitated by the Royal Botanic [Gardens,](http://www.plantsoftheworldonline.org/"><Ahref="http://www.plantsoftheworldonline.org/">http://www.plantsoftheworldonline.org/) Kew. <http://www.plantsoftheworldonline.org/> [last checked March 2022].
- Raes N, Cannon CH, Hijmans RJ, et al. 2014. Historical distribution of Sundaland's Dipterocarp rainforests at Quaternary glacial maxima. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 111: 16790–16795.
- Raes N, Ter Steege H. 2007. A null-model for significance testing of presence-only species [distribution](http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/external-references?article=0906-7590()30L.727[aid=8955296]) models. Ecography 30: 727–736.
- Raes N, Van Welzen PC. 2009. The demarcation and internal division of Flora [Malesiana:](http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/external-references?article=0006-5196()54L.6[aid=9419741]) 1857 – present. Blumea 54: 6–8. [https://doi.org/10.3767/](https://doi.org/10.3767/000651909X475888) [000651909X475888](https://doi.org/10.3767/000651909X475888).
- Roos MC. 1992. State of affairs regarding Flora Malesiana: progress in revision work and publication schedule. Flora Malesiana Bulletin 11: 133–142.
- Rstudio Team. 2020. RStudio: Integrated Development for R. RStudio, PBC, [Boston,](https://posit.co/download/rstudio-desktop/) MA. <https://posit.co/download/rstudio-desktop/> [last checkedAug. 2022].
- Rumpf SB, Hülber K, Zimmermann NE, et al. 2019. Elevational rear edges shifted at least as much as leading edges over the last century. Global Ecology and Biogeography 28: 533–543.
- RutgrinkAL, Visser M, Van Welzen PC. 2018. Differences between the floras of the North and South Moluccas (Indonesia). Journal of Systematics and Evolution 56: 652–662.
- Scherrer D, Massy S, Meier S, et al. 2017. Assessing and predicting shifts in mountain forest composition across 25 years of climate change. Diversity and Distributions 23: 517–528.
- Sheldeman X, Van Zonneveld M. 2010. Training manual on spatial analysis of plant diversity and distribution. Biodiversity International, Rome.
- Slik JWF, Aiba SI, Bastian M, et al. 2011. Soils on exposed Sunda Shelf shaped biogeographic patterns in the equatorial forests of Southeast Asia. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 108(30): 12343–12347.
- Subilla MS, Baoanan ZG. 2021. Viburnum luzonicum Rolfe Viburnaceae Raf. In: Franco FM (ed), Ethnobotany of the Mountain Regions of Southeast Asia: 1107–1113. Springer Nature Switzerland AG, Cham.

Taylor KE, Stouffer RJ, Meehl GA. 2012. An overview of CMIP5 and the experiment design. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society 93: 485–498

- Thiers B. Continuously updated. Index Herbariorum: A global directory of public Herbaria and associated Staff. New York Botanical Garden's Virtual Herbarium, New [York.Available](http://sweetgum.nybg.org/science/ih/"><Ahref="http://sweetgum.nybg.org/science/ih/">http://sweetgum.nybg.org/science/ih/) from <http://sweetgum.nybg.org/science/ih/> [last checked 22 Aug. 2022].
- Van den Ende C, White LT, Van Welzen PC. 2017. The existence and breakup of the Antarctic land bridge as indicated by both amphi-Pacific distributions and tectonics. Gondwana Research 44: 219–227.
- Van der Pijl L. 1982. Principles of dispersal in higher plants. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York.
- Van Proosdij ASJ, Sosef MSM, Wieringa JJ, et al. 2016. Minimum required number of specimen records to develop accurate species distribution models. Ecography 39: 542–552.
- Van Rossum G, Drake Jr FL. 1995. Python reference manual. Centrum voor Wiskunde en Informatica Amsterdam.
- Van Steenis CGGJ. 1950. The delimitation of Malaysia and its main plant geographical divisions. In: Van Steenis CGGJ (ed), Flora Malesiana Ser. I, 1: lxx–lxxv. Noordhoff Kolff n.v., Djakarta.
- Van Steenis CGGJ. 1964. Plant geography of the Mountain Flora of Mt Kinabalu. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Ser. B, Biological Sciences 161: 7–38.
- Van Steenis CGGJ. 1979. Plant-geography of east Malesia. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society 79: 97–178.
- Van Steenis CGGJ. 1982. Addenda, corrigenda et emendanda. In: Van Steenis CGGJ (ed), Flora Malesiana Ser. I, 9: 553–573. Nijhoff/Junk, The Hague.
- Van Welzen PC, Parnell JAN, Slik JWF. 2011. Wallace's line and plant distributions: two or three phytogeographical areas and where to group Java? Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 103: 531–545.
- Van Welzen PC, Slik JWF, Alahuhta J. 2005. Plant distribution patterns and plate tectonics in Malesia. In: Fries I, Balslev H (eds), Plant diversity and complexity patterns: Local, regional and global dimensions. Biologiske Skrifter 55: 199–217.
- Verdcourt B. 1984. Chloranthaceae. In: Van Steenis CGGJ, De Wilde WJJO (eds), Flora Malesiana Ser. I, 10: 123–144. Noordhoff-Kolff, Batavia.
- Walther GR, Post E, Convey P, et al. 2002. Ecological responses to recent climate change. Nature 416: [389–395.](http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/external-references?article=0028-0836()416L.389[aid=3066884])
- Wang G-Y, Yang Y-P. 2018. Hypothesizing the origin, migration routes, and distribution patterns of Ophiopogon (Asparagaceae) in East and Southeast Asia. Journal of Systematics and Evolution 56: 194–201.
- Wilkinson AM. 1948. Floral anatomy and morphology of some species of the genus Viburnum of the Caprifoliaceae. American Journal of Botany 35: 455–465.
- Wisz MS, Hijmans RJ, Li J, et al. 2008. Effects of sample size on the performance of species distribution models. Diversity and Distributions 14: 763–773.
- Wu M-J, Huang T-C, Huang S-F. 2009. Phylogenetic biogeography of Euphrasia section Malesianae [\(Orobanchaceae\)](http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/external-references?article=0006-5196()54L.242[aid=11603549]) in Taiwan and Malesia. Blumea 54: [242–247.](http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/external-references?article=0006-5196()54L.242[aid=11603549])
- Yan H-F, Zhang C-Y, Anderberg AA, et al. 2018. What explains high plant richness in East Asia? Time and diversification in the tribe Lysimachieae (Primulaceae). New Phytologist 219: 436–448.
- Zhang Q, Antonelli A, Feild TS, et al. 2011. Revisiting taxonomy, morphological evolution, and fosil calibration strategies in Chloranthaceae. Journal of Systematics and Evolution 49: 315–329.

Supplementary material

All to be found on [https://repository.naturalis.nl/pub/800821.](https://repository.naturalis.nl/pub/800821)

Table S1 List of all species (checked against POWO 2022) present in L, U, WAG in SE Asia mainland (part of China, Vietnam, Taiwan, Cambodia) and the Philippines.

Table S2 List of all species (checked against POWO 2022) present in L, U, WAG in SE Asia mainland (part of China, Vietnam, Taiwan, Cambodia) and only the Philippines (no other Malesian areas).

Table S3   List of all families (checked against APG IV 2016) present in L, U, WAG in SE Asia mainland (part of China, Vietnam, Taiwan, Cambodia) and the Philippines.

Table S4   List of all genera (checked against POWO 2022) present in L, U, WAG in SE Asia mainland (part of China, Vietnam, Taiwan, Cambodia) and the Philippines.

Appendix   Scripts used during Species Distribution Modelling.

1. Cropping and selecting the environmental variables in R

bioclimatic variable selection

setwd("D:/Universiteit_Leiden/Stage_Naturalis/Data") getwd()

#install.packages("sp") #install.packages("rgdal") #install.packages("raster") #install.packages("sf") #install.packages("usdm")

library(sp) library(rgdal) library(raster) library(biomod2) library(sf) library(usdm)

Loading present data

rst.namesB <- list.files ("./expl_var/present/wc2.1_5m_bio/", all.files = FALSE) rst.fldB <- list.files("./expl_var/present/wc2.1_5m_bio/", all.files = FALSE, full. names $= T$) rst.fldB

stk.presentB <- stack(rst.fldB)

rst.namesE <- list.files ("./expl_var/present/wc2.1_5m_elev/", all.files = FALSE) rst.fldE <- list.files("./expl_var/present/wc2.1_5m_elev/", all.files = FALSE, full. names = T)

rst.fldE

stk.presentE <- stack(rst.fldE)

stk.present <- raster::stack(stk.presentB, stk.presentE)

processing present data

names(stk.present)

names(stk.present) <- c("Bio01", "Bio02","Bio03","Bio04", "Bio05","Bio06", "Bio07","Bio08","Bio09",

 "Bio010","Bio11","Bio12","Bio13", "Bio14","Bio15","Bio16", "Bio17","Bio18", "Bio19", "Elev")

res(stk.present)

Loading future data

#> r = raster("./GcrfPicture.tif")

#als je een stacked tiff wil binnenhalen dan doe je dit met Stack(name)

path 2.6

stk.future26 = stack("./expl_var/future/wc2.1_5m_bioc_CNRM-CM6-1_ ssp126_2081-2100/wc2.1_5m_bioc_CNRM-CM6-1_ssp126_2081-2100.tif") stk.future26

#class : RasterStack

#dimensions : 720, 960, 691200, 4 (nrow, ncol, ncell, nlayers)

stk.fut.26 <- raster::stack(stk.future26, stk.presentE)

names(stk.fut.26)

names(stk.fut.26) <- c("Bio01", "Bio02","Bio03","Bio04", "Bio05","Bio06", "Bio07","Bio08","Bio09",

 "Bio010","Bio11","Bio12","Bio13", "Bio14","Bio15","Bio16", "Bio17","Bio18", "Bio19", "Elev")

path 8.5

stk.future85 = stack("./expl_var/future/wc2.1_5m_bioc_CNRM-CM6-1_ ssp585_2081-2100/wc2.1_5m_bioc_CNRM-CM6-1_ssp585_2081-2100.tif") stk.future85

#class : RasterStack

#dimensions : 720, 960, 691200, 4 (nrow, ncol, ncell, nlayers)

stk.fut.85 <- raster::stack(stk.future85, stk.presentE)

names(stk.fut.85)

names(stk.fut.85) <- c("Bio01", "Bio02","Bio03","Bio04", "Bio05","Bio06", "Bio07","Bio08","Bio09",

 "Bio010","Bio11","Bio12","Bio13", "Bio14","Bio15","Bio16", "Bio17","Bio18", "Bio19", "Elev")

Loading past data

Holocene

stk.past.holo <- list.files ("./expl_var/past/cnrm-cm5_midholocone_5m/", all. files = FALSE)

rst.fld.holo <- list.files("./expl_var/past/cnrm-cm5_midholocone_5m/", all.

files = FALSE, full.names = T)[$c(1, 12:19, 2:11)$] rst.fld.holo stk.past.holo <- stack(rst.fld.holo) stk.past.holo stk.past.E <- stk.presentE stk.past.E <- crop(stk.past.E,extent(stk.past.holo)) stk.past.holoE <- raster::stack(stk.past.holo, stk.past.E) stk.past.holoE names(stk.past.holoE) names(stk.past.holoE) <- c("Bio01", "Bio02","Bio03","Bio04", "Bio05","Bio06", "Bio07","Bio08","Bio09", "Bio010","Bio11","Bio12","Bio13", "Bio14","Bio15","Bio16", "Bio17","Bio18", "Bio19", "Elev") # Glacial maximum stk.past.glac <- list.files ("./expl_var/past/ccsm4_glacialmaximum_5m/", all. files = FALSE) rst.fld.glac <- list.files("./expl_var/past/ccsm4_glacialmaximum_5m/", all. files = FALSE, full.names = T $[c(1, 12:19, 2:11)]$ rst.fld.glac stk.past.glac <- stack(rst.fld.glac) stk.past.glacE <- raster::stack(stk.past.glac, stk.past.E) stk.past.glacE names(stk.past.glacE) names(stk.past.glacE) <- c("Bio01", "Bio02","Bio03","Bio04", "Bio05","Bio06", "Bio07","Bio08","Bio09", "Bio010","Bio11","Bio12","Bio13", "Bio14","Bio15","Bio16", "Bio17","Bio18", "Bio19", "Elev")

Loading soil data

Loading soil data rst.names_edaphic <- list.files ("./expl_var/present/soil_layers/tiff_layers/", all. files = FALSE) rst.fld_edaphic<- list.files("./expl_var/present/soil_layers/tiff_layers/", all. $files = FALSE, full.names = T$ rst.fld_edaphic stk.edaphic <- stack(rst.fld_edaphic) # processing soil data names(stk.edaphic) res(stk.edaphic) plot(stk.edaphic)

Bbox with coordinates file

range \leq - read.csv("./range.csv", header = T, sep = ";") range_shp <- range coordinates(range_shp) <- ~longitude+latitude rr <- raster(range_shp) crs(rr) $crs(rr) \leq$ "+proj = longlat +ellps = WGS84 +datum = WGS84 +no defs" crs(rr) w <- wkt (rr) w cat(w,"/n") bbox <- extent(range_shp) plot(stk.present\$Bio01, ext = bbox) sp_shp <- plot(bbox, col = 'blue', $add = T$) PLOT <- plot(sp_shp, add = T, pch  = 19, col = 'red',) grid(PLOT, nx = NULL, col =  "lightgray") # cropping present data stk.present.AOI.crop <- crop(stk.present,bbox) par(mfrow = $c(1,2)$) #sets plotting area to a 1 line 2 columns set up plot(stk.present\$Bio01,main = "Original extent") plot(stk.present.AOI.crop\$Bio01, main  = "Cropped extent") $par(mfrow = c(1,1))$

cropping future data

stk.fut.26.AOI.crop <- crop(stk.fut.26,bbox) par(mfrow = $c(1,2)$) #sets plotting area to a 1 line 2 columns set up plot(stk.fut.26\$Bio01,main = "Original extent 26") plot(stk.fut.26.AOI.crop\$Bio01, main  = "Cropped extent 26") $par(mfrow = c(1,1))$

stk.fut.85.AOI.crop <- crop(stk.fut.85,bbox) par(mfrow = $c(1,2)$) #sets plotting area to a 1 line 2 columns set up plot(stk.fut.85\$Bio01,main = "Original extent 85") plot(stk.fut.85.AOI.crop\$Bio01, main  = "Cropped extent 85") $par(mfrow = c(1,1))$

cropping past data

stk.past.holo.AOI.crop <- crop(stk.past.holoE,bbox) par(mfrow = $c(1,2)$) #sets plotting area to a 1 line 2 columns set up plot(stk.past.holoE\$Bio01,main = "Original extent H") plot(stk.past.holo.AOI.crop\$Bio01, main  = "Cropped extent Holo") $par(mfrow = c(1,1))$

stk.past.glac.AOI.crop <- crop(stk.past.glacE,bbox) par(mfrow = $c(1,2)$) #sets plotting area to a 1 line 2 columns set up plot(stk.past.glacE\$Bio01,main = "Original extent") plot(stk.past.glac.AOI.crop\$Bio01, main  = "Cropped extent Glac") $par(mfrow = c(1,1))$

cropping edaphic data

stk.edaphic.AOI.crop <- crop(stk.edaphic,bbox) par(mfrow = $c(1,2)$) #sets plotting area to a 1 line 2 columns set up plot(stk.edaphic\$gyps,main = "Original extent") plot(stk.edaphic.AOI.crop\$gyps, main  = "Cropped extent") $par(mfrow = c(1,1))$

Autocorrelation testing

Pairwise testing #convert cropped raster to a dataframe stk.present_bee_cor <- na.omit(as.data.frame(stk.present_bee))

store Pearson correlation in a matrix cor.tab <- cor(stk.present_bee_cor) # make csv

write.csv2(cor.tab,"CorrelationTable_AOI_bee.csv")

VIF test for multicollinearity

#edited data files

head(stk.present_bee_cor)

stk.present_bee_cor_sel <- stk.present_bee_cor[,c("Bio01", "Bio05", "Bio09","Bio010", "Bio12", "Bio13", "alsa", "bsat", "cecs", "cnrt", "ecec", "esp", "gyps", "SDTO", "tceq")]

vifcor(stk.present_bee_cor_sel, maxobservations = nrow(stk.present_bee_ cor_sel))

#var large: bsat, cecs, cnrt, sdto are large (cnrt largest removal)

stk.present_bee_cor_sel2 <- stk.present_bee_cor[,c("Bio01", "Bio05", "Bio09","Bio010", "Bio12", "Bio13", "alsa", "bsat", "cecs", "ecec", "esp", "gyps", "SDTO", "tceq")]

vifcor(stk.present_bee_cor_sel2, maxobservations = nrow(stk.present_bee_ cor_sel2))

var bsat >10 (removal)

stk.present_bee_cor_sel3 <- stk.present_bee_cor[,c("Bio01", "Bio05", "Bio09","Bio010", "Bio12", "Bio13", "alsa", "cecs", "ecec", "esp", "gyps", "SDTO", "tceq")]

vifcor(stk.present_bee_cor_sel3, maxobservations = nrow(stk.present_bee_ cor_sel3))

final naming

stk.present_bee_sel <- stk.present_bee_cor[,c("Bio01", "Bio05", "Bio09","Bio010", "Bio12", "Bio13", "alsa", "cecs", "ecec", "esp", "gyps", "SDTO", "tceq")]

Stack AOI bio files with AOI soil (based on variables after multicollinearity testing plus VIF)

present

2. Creating binary maps with the ten percentile threshold in Python

import sys, os, string

os.chdir("C:\\Program Files (x86)\\ArcGIS\\Desktop10.6\\arcpy\\arcpy") print(sys.path)

sys.path.append("C:\\Program Files (x86)\\ArcGIS\\Desktop10.6\\arcpy") sys.path.append("C:\\Program Files (x86)\\ArcGIS\\Desktop10.6\\ArcToolbox\\ Scripts")

sys.path.append("C:\\Program Files (x86)\\ArcGIS\\Desktop10.6\\bin") print(sys.path)

stk.present_bee <- raster::stack(stk.present.AOI.crop, stk.edaphic.AOI.crop) names(stk.present_bee)

future

stk.fut.26_bee <- raster::stack(stk.fut.26.AOI.crop, stk.edaphic.AOI.crop) names(stk.fut.26_bee) stk.fut.26 bee cor <- na.omit(as.data.frame(stk.fut.26 bee)) stk.fut.26_bee_sel <- stk.fut.26_bee_cor[,c("Bio01", "Bio05", "Bio09","Bio010", "Bio12", "Bio13", "alsa", "cecs", "ecec", "esp", "gyps", "SDTO", "tceq")] stk.fut.85_bee <- raster::stack(stk.fut.85.AOI.crop, stk.edaphic.AOI.crop) names(stk.fut.26_bee) stk.fut.85 bee cor <- na.omit(as.data.frame(stk.fut.85 bee)) stk.fut.85_bee_sel <- stk.fut.85_bee_cor[,c("Bio01", "Bio05", "Bio09","Bio010", "Bio12", "Bio13", "alsa", "cecs", "ecec", "esp", "gyps", "SDTO", "tceq")] # past stk.past.holo_bee <- raster::stack(stk.past.holo.AOI.crop, stk.edaphic.AOI.crop) names(stk.past.holo_bee) stk.past.holo_bee_cor <- na.omit(as.data.frame(stk.past.holo_bee)) stk.past.holo_bee_sel <- stk.past.holo_bee_cor[,c("Bio01", "Bio05", "Bio09","Bio010", "Bio12", "Bio13", "alsa", "cecs", "ecec", "esp", "gyps", "SDTO", "tceq")] stk.past.glac_bee <- raster::stack(stk.past.glac.AOI.crop, stk.edaphic.AOI.crop)

names(stk.past.glac_bee)

stk.past.glac_bee_cor <- na.omit(as.data.frame(stk.past.glac_bee)) stk.past.glac_bee_sel <- stk.past.glac_bee_cor[,c("Bio01", "Bio05", "Bio09","Bio010", "Bio12", "Bio13", "alsa", "cecs", "ecec", "esp", "gyps", "SDTO", "tceq")]

dataframes into rasters

stk.present_bee_sel <- as.raster(stk.present_bee_sel) stk.fut.26_bee_sel stk.fut.85_bee_sel stk.past.holo_bee_sel stk.past.glac_bee_sel

save files

writeRaster(stk.present_bee, "./expl_var/maxent_ascii/present_ascii/stk.present_bee_sel.asc", $overline{r} = T$

bylayer = T,

 s uffix = "names")

#future

writeRaster(stk.fut.26_bee,

```
 "./expl_var/maxent_ascii/future_ascii/26_ascii/stk.fut.26_bee_sel.asc",
overwrite = T,
```

```
 bylayer = T,
```
 $suffix = "names")$

writeRaster(stk.fut.85_bee,

 "./expl_var/maxent_ascii//future_ascii/85_ascii//stk.fut.85_bee_sel.asc", overwrite = T, bylayer = T,

suffix = "names")

#past

writeRaster(stk.past.holo_bee,

 "./expl_var/maxent_ascii/past_ascii/holo_ascii/stk.past.holo_bee_sel.asc", overwrite = T, bylayer = T,

suffix = "names")

writeRaster(stk.past.glac_bee,

 "./expl_var/maxent_ascii/past_ascii/glac_ascii/stk.past.glac_bee_sel.asc", overwrite = T, bylayer = T,

suffix = "names")

import arcpy

Load species_threshold file

report = open('D:\\Universiteit_Leiden\\Stage_naturalis\\Data\\maxent_results\\ results_tiffs_regions\\ma_tifs\\reports\\report_ma_present.txt', 'w') #rapport van handelingen in het script plus errors

############

species_threshold = open ("D:\\Universiteit_Leiden\\Stage_Naturalis\\Data\\ maxent_results\\results_tiffs_regions\\ma_tifs\\ma_thresholds_edit.txt", 'r') # vervangen met species_threshold en dus overige namen ############

try:

 # Check out Spatial Analyst extension license arcpy.CheckOutExtension("Spatial")

Load required toolboxes...

arcpy.AddToolbox("C:\\Program Files (x86)\\ArcGIS\\Desktop10.6\\ArcToolbox\\ Toolboxes\\Spatial Analyst Tools.tbx") #adjust file location***

arcpy.AddToolbox("C:\\Program Files (x86)\\ArcGIS\\Desktop10.6\\ArcToolbox\\ Toolboxes\\Conversion Tools.tbx")

 TrueValue = 1 # start optellen van binary maps FalseValue = 0

SomExpressionAll_A1 = ""

 $NAI = 0$

 OutRasterSomAll_A1 = "D:\\Universiteit_Leiden\\Stage_naturalis\\Data\\maxent_results\\results_tiffs_regions\\ma_tifs\\sum_maps\\ma_present_sum.tif" # wegschrijven van binary optelsom

###########

 for Record in species_threshold.readlines(): # inlezen soort voor soort N All = N All + 1

 print Record #print van resultaten naar scherm Recordvar = Record.split(";") #opdelen tot array Random = Recordvar [0] SpeciesId = Recordvar[1] #benoemen van kolommen ScientificName = Recordvar[2] # Threshold =  Recordvar[3] Region = Recordvar [4] ############

print SpeciesId, ScientificName, Threshold

report.write("\n" + SpeciesId + " - " + ScientificName + " - " + Threshold + "\n")

Set local variables # aanpassen van file path (_avg.tif)

InRasterA1 = "D:\\Universiteit_Leiden\\Stage_naturalis\\Data\\maxent_results\\results_tiffs_regions\\ma_tifs\\present\\" + str(ScientificName) + "_avg.tif" # opslaan tif file voor gebruik (input)

############

OutRasterA1 = "D:\\Universiteit_Leiden\\Stage_naturalis\\Data\\maxent_results\\results_tiffs_regions\\ma_tifs\\bin_maps\\present\\" + str(ScientificName) + "_bin.tif" # output tif file (individuele binaire kaarten)

############

if NAII $=$ $=$ 1.

SomExpressionAll_A1 = SomExpressionAll_A1 + "D:\\Universiteit_Leiden\\ Stage_naturalis\\Data\\maxent_results\\results_tiffs_regions\\ma_tifs\\bin_maps\\ present\\" + str(ScientificName) + "_bin.tif" ############

else:

3. Processing MaxEnt results in R

statistical analysis maxent results

setwd("D:/Universiteit_Leiden/Stage_Naturalis/Data/maxent_results") getwd()

#install.packages("ggfortify") library(devtools) #install_github("vqv/ggbiplot") library(ggbiplot) library(ggplot2) library(ggfortify) library(dplyr) library(mvnormtest) library(raster) library(tidyr) library(ggpubr) library(tidyverse)

masterfile <- read.csv("masterfile_avg_with_region.csv", sep = ";")

master_edit <- masterfile %>% rename(Annual.mean.temperature = Bio01.contribution , Mean.diurnal.range = Bio02.contribution, Isothermality = Bio03.contribution, Precipitation.of.wettest.month = Bio13.contribution, Precipitation.of.driest.quarter = Bio17.contribution, Precipitation.of.warmest.quarter =  Bio18.contribution, Exchangeable.aluminium = alsa.contribution,

Cation.exchange.capacity = cecs.contribution,

SomExpressionAll_A1 = SomExpressionAll_A1 + " + " + "D:\\Universiteit_Leiden\\Stage_naturalis\\Data\\maxent_results\\results_tiffs_regions\\ ma_tifs\\bin_maps\\present\\" + str(ScientificName) + "_bin.tif" ############ arcpy.rasterStatistics = "STATISTICS 1 1" print (TrueValue) print(FalseValue) print(Threshold) print(InRasterA1) # Alternative way of con # cell>threshold $inExpressionA1 = "con(" + InRasterA1 + ' > ' + str(Threshold) + ', ' +$ str(TrueValue) + ', ' + str(FalseValue) + ")" print inExpressionA1 report.write(inExpressionA1 + "\n") report.write(InRasterA1 + "\n") report.write(OutRasterA1 + "\n") arcpy.SingleOutputMapAlgebra_sa(inExpressionA1, OutRasterA1) # kan aangepast zijn ondertussen # de werkelijke binaire kaart # Volgende soort aanroepen bovenin # map om output weg te schrijven (workspace geeft de folder aan) gesommeerde kaarten arcpy.env.Workspace = "D:\\Universiteit_Leiden\\Stage_naturalis\\Data\\maxent_results\\results_tiffs_regions\\ma_tifs\\bin_maps\\present\\" ############ print (SomExpressionAll_A1) print (OutRasterSomAll_A1) arcpy.SingleOutputMapAlgebra_sa(SomExpressionAll_A1, OutRaster-SomAll_A1) print NAll report.write("\n" + str(NAll) + " species\n") print NAII, " species' report.write("\nBoolean van planten verliep zonder problemen ") print "Klaar" report.close() species_threshold.close() except:

If an error occurred while running a tool, then print the messages. print arcpy.GetMessages() report.write("Errormessages: " + "\\n") report.write(arcpy.GetMessages(2)) report.write("\\n") report.close() species_threshold.close()

 Effective.cation.exchange.capacity = ecec.contribution, Exchangeable.sodium.percentage = esp.contribution, Gypsum.content = gyps.contribution, Sand.mass = sdto.contribution, Carbonate.content = tceq.contribution)

order <- c("Annual.mean.temperature","Mean.diurnal.range" ,"Isothermality" ,"Precipitation.of.wettest.month" ,

 "Precipitation.of.driest.quarter","Precipitation.of.warmest.quarter" ,"Exchangeable.aluminium","Cation.exchange.capacity" ,

 "Effective.cation.exchange.capacity","Exchangeable.sodium.percentage" ,"Gypsum.content","Sand.mass","Carbonate.content")

Kruskal.Wallis

kruskal.test(Annual.mean.temperature \sim Region, data = master edit) pairwise.wilcox.test(master_edit\$Annual.mean.temperature, master_ edit\$Region,

p.adjust.method = "BH")

kruskal.test(Mean.diurnal.range ~ Region, data = master_edit)

pairwise.wilcox.test(master_edit\$Mean.diurnal.range, master_edit\$Region, p.adjust.method = "BH")

kruskal.test(Isothermality ~ Region, data = master_edit)

pairwise.wilcox.test(master_edit\$Isothermality, master_edit\$Region, p.adjust.method = "BH")

kruskal.test(Precipitation.of.wettest.month ~ Region, data = master_edit) pairwise.wilcox.test(master_edit\$Precipitation.of.wettest.month, master_ edit\$Region,

p.adjust.method = "BH")

kruskal.test(Precipitation.of.driest.quarter \sim Region, data = master_edit)

pairwise.wilcox.test(master_edit\$Precipitation.of.driest.quarter, master edit\$Region,

p.adjust.method = "BH")

kruskal.test(Precipitation.of.warmest.quarter \sim Region, data = master_edit) pairwise.wilcox.test(master_edit\$Precipitation.of.warmest.quarter, master_ edit\$Region,

p.adjust.method = "BH")

kruskal.test(Exchangeable.aluminium ~ Region, data = master_edit)

pairwise.wilcox.test(master_edit\$Exchangeable.aluminium, master_edit\$Region, p.adjust.method = "BH")

kruskal.test(Cation.exchange.capacity \sim Region, data = master_edit)

pairwise.wilcox.test(master_edit\$Cation.exchange.capacity, master_edit\$Region, p.adjust.method = "BH")

kruskal.test(Effective.cation.exchange.capacity ~ Region, data = master_edit) pairwise.wilcox.test(master_edit\$Effective.cation.exchange.capacity, master_edit\$Region,

p.adjust.method = "BH")

kruskal.test(Exchangeable.sodium.percentage ~ Region, data = master_edit) pairwise.wilcox.test(master_edit\$Exchangeable.sodium.percentage, master_edit\$Region.

p.adjust.method = "BH")

kruskal.test(Gypsum.content ~ Region, data = master_edit)

pairwise.wilcox.test(master_edit\$Gypsum.content, master_edit\$Region, p.adjust.method = "BH")

kruskal.test(Sand.mass ~ Region, data = master_edit)

pairwise.wilcox.test(master_edit\$Sand.mass, master_edit\$Region, p.adjust.method = "BH")

load mean contribution file

mean_contr <- read.csv("contr_means.csv", sep = ";")

replace names in column

mean_contr\$variable <- gsub("Bio01.contribution", "Annual.mean.temperature", mean_contr\$variable)

mean_contr\$variable <- gsub("Bio02.contribution", "Mean.diurnal.range", mean_contr\$variable)

mean_contr\$variable <- gsub("Bio03.contribution", "Isothermality", mean_ contr\$variable)

mean_contr\$variable <- gsub("Bio13.contribution", "Precipitation.of.wettest. month", mean_contr\$variable)

mean_contr\$variable <- gsub("Bio17.contribution", "Precipitation.of.driest.quarter", mean_contr\$variable)

mean_contr\$variable <- gsub("Bio18.contribution", "Precipitation.of.warmest. quarter", mean_contr\$variable)

mean_contr\$variable <- gsub("alsa.contribution", "Exchangeable.aluminium", mean_contr\$variable)

mean_contr\$variable <- gsub("cecs.contribution", "Cation.exchange.capacity", mean_contr\$variable)

mean_contr\$variable <- gsub("ecec.contribution", "Effective.cation.exchange. capacity", mean_contr\$variable)

mean_contr\$variable <- gsub("esp.contribution", "Exchangeable.sodium.percentage", mean_contr\$variable)

mean_contr\$variable <- gsub("gyps.contribution", "Gypsum.content", mean_ contr\$variable)

mean_contr\$variable <- gsub("sdto.contribution", "Sand.mass", mean_ contr\$variable)

mean_contr\$variable <- gsub("tceq.contribution", "Carbonate.content", mean_ contr\$variable)

order2 <- c("Annual.mean.temperature","Mean.diurnal.range" ,"Isothermality" ,"Precipitation.of.wettest.month",

 "Precipitation.of.driest.quarter","Precipitation.of.warmest.quarter" ,"Exchangeable.aluminium","Cation.exchange.capacity",

 "Effective.cation.exchange.capacity","Exchangeable.sodium.percentage" ,"Gypsum.content","Sand.mass","Carbonate.content",

 "Annual.mean.temperature","Mean.diurnal.range" ,"Isothermality" ,"Precipitation.of.wettest.month" ,

 "Precipitation.of.driest.quarter","Precipitation.of.warmest.quarter" ,"Exchangeable.aluminium","Cation.exchange.capacity",

 "Effective.cation.exchange.capacity","Exchangeable.sodium.percentage" ,"Gypsum.content","Sand.mass","Carbonate.content",

 "Annual.mean.temperature","Mean.diurnal.range" ,"Isothermality" ,"Precipitation.of.wettest.month",

 "Precipitation.of.driest.quarter","Precipitation.of.warmest.quarter" ,"Exchangeable.aluminium","Cation.exchange.capacity",

 "Effective.cation.exchange.capacity","Exchangeable.sodium.percentage" ,"Gypsum.content","Sand.mass","Carbonate.content")

change to percentage contribution

mean_contr\$mean <- mean_contr\$mean/10000

mean_contr\$variable <- factor(mean_contr\$variable, levels = c("Annual.mean.temperature","Mean.diurnal.range" ,"Iso-

thermality" ,"Precipitation.of.wettest.month", "Precipitation.of.driest.quarter","Precipitation.of.warmest.

quarter" ,"Exchangeable.aluminium","Cation.exchange.capacity",

 "Effective.cation.exchange.capacity","Exchangeable.sodium. percentage" ,"Gypsum.content","Sand.mass","Carbonate.content"),

ordered = TRUE)

 $ggplot(data = mean_{contr, aes(x = region, y = mean, fill = variable)) +$ geom_bar(stat = "identity") +

 scale_fill_manual(values = c("Annual.mean.temperature" = "#CC0033","Mean. diurnal.range" = "#993366","Isothermality" = "#9966CC"

 "Precipitation.of.wettest.month"  = "#6699CC","Precipitation. of.driest.quarter" $=$ "#336699",

 "Precipitation.of.warmest.quarter"  = "#003366","Exchangeable. aluminium" = "#996633",

 "Cation.exchange.capacity"  = "#CC9933","Effective.cation. exchange.capacity" = "#CCCC33",

 "Exchangeable.sodium.percentage" = "#99CC33" ,"Gypsum. content" = "#99CC66","Sand.mass"  = "#339966",

 "Carbonate.content"  = "#336633"), breaks = c("Annual.mean. temperature","Mean.diurnal.range" ,"Isothermality",

 "Precipitation.of.wettest.month" ,"Precipitation.of.driest. quarter","Precipitation.of.warmest.quarter",

 "Exchangeable.aluminium","Cation.exchange.capacity" ,"Effective.cation.exchange.capacity",

 "Exchangeable.sodium.percentage" ,"Gypsum.content","Sand. mass","Carbonate.content")) +

 labs(title = "Contribution in Percentage per Region",x = "Region", y = "Percentage $(\%)$ ", fill = "Variables") +

theme_minimal() +

theme(text = element_text(size = 16)) +

theme(axis.text.x = element_text(angle = 45 , hjust = 1),

axis.text.y = element_text(angle = 45))

all regions plot <- ggplot(data = mean contr, $aes(x = region, y = mean, fill = vari$ able)) +

geom_bar(stat = "identity", position = position_dodge())+

 scale_fill_manual(values = c("Annual.mean.temperature" = "#CC0033","Mean. diurnal.range" = "#993366", "Isothermality" = "#9966CC",

 "Precipitation.of.wettest.month"  = "#6699CC","Precipitation. of.driest.quarter"  = "#336699",

 "Precipitation.of.warmest.quarter"  = "#003366","Exchangeable. aluminium" = "#996633",

 "Cation.exchange.capacity"  = "#CC9933","Effective.cation. exchange.capacity" = "#CCCC33",

 "Exchangeable.sodium.percentage" = "#99CC33" ,"Gypsum. content" = "#99CC66","Sand.mass"  = "#339966",

 "Carbonate.content"  = "#336633"), breaks = c("Annual.mean. temperature","Mean.diurnal.range" ,"Isothermality",

 "Precipitation.of.wettest.month" ,"Precipitation.of.driest. quarter","Precipitation.of.warmest.quarter" ,

"Exchangeable.aluminium","Cation.exchange.capacity" ,"Ef-

fective.cation.exchange.capacity", "Exchangeable.sodium.percentage" ,"Gypsum.content","Sand. mass","Carbonate.content")) +

 labs(title = "Contribution in Percentage per Region",x = "Region", y = "Percentage (%)")+ theme_minimal()

all_regions_plot

Seperate plots for percentages

mean_contr_pp <- subset(mean_contr, region  = =  "pp")

variables_pp <- ggplot(data = mean_contr_pp, $aes(x = variable, y = mean,$ $fill = variable)$) +

ylim(0,25) +

scale_x_discrete(limits = order) +

geom_bar(stat = "identity", position = position_dodge())+

 scale_fill_manual(values = c("Annual.mean.temperature" = "#CC0033","Mean. diurnal.range"  = "#993366","Isothermality"  = "#9966CC",

 "Precipitation.of.wettest.month"  = "#6699CC","Precipitation. of.driest.quarter"  = "#336699",

 "Precipitation.of.warmest.quarter"  = "#003366","Exchangeable. aluminium" = "#996633",

 "Cation.exchange.capacity"  = "#CC9933","Effective.cation. exchange.capacity" = "#CCCC33",

 "Exchangeable.sodium.percentage" = "#99CC33" ,"Gypsum. content" = "#99CC66","Sand.mass"  = "#339966",

"Carbonate.content" = "#336633"), breaks = c ("Annual.mean. temperature","Mean.diurnal.range" ,"Isothermality" ,

"Precipitation.of.wettest.month" ,"Precipitation.of.driest.

quarter","Precipitation.of.warmest.quarter" ,

 "Exchangeable.aluminium","Cation.exchange.capacity" ,"Effective.cation.exchange.capacity", "Exchangeable.sodium.percentage" ,"Gypsum.content","Sand.

mass","Carbonate.content")) +

 labs(title = "Contribution in Percentage per Region",x = "The Philippines", y = "Percentage (%)")+

theme $minimal() +$

theme(axis.text.x = element_text(angle = 45, hiust = 1).

 $axis.text.y = element_text(name = 45)$

variables_pp

mean_contr_pm <- subset(mean_contr, region $=$ = "pm")

variables pm <- ggplot(data = mean contr pm, $aes(x = variable, y = mean,$ $fill = variable$) +

 $ylim(0,25) +$

scale_x_discrete(limits = order) +

geom_bar(stat = "identity", position = position_dodge())+

scale_fill_manual(values = c ("Annual.mean.temperature" = "#CC0033","Mean. diurnal.range"  = "#993366","Isothermality"  = "#9966CC",

 "Precipitation.of.wettest.month"  = "#6699CC","Precipitation. of.driest.quarter" = $"#336699"$,

 "Precipitation.of.warmest.quarter"  = "#003366","Exchangeable. aluminium" = "#996633",

 "Cation.exchange.capacity"  = "#CC9933","Effective.cation. exchange.capacity" = "#CCCC33",

 "Exchangeable.sodium.percentage" = "#99CC33" ,"Gypsum. content" = "#99CC66","Sand.mass"  = "#339966",

 "Carbonate.content"  = "#336633"), breaks = c("Annual.mean. temperature","Mean.diurnal.range" ,"Isothermality" ,

 "Precipitation.of.wettest.month" ,"Precipitation.of.driest. quarter","Precipitation.of.warmest.quarter" ,

 "Exchangeable.aluminium","Cation.exchange.capacity" ,"Effective.cation.exchange.capacity",

 "Exchangeable.sodium.percentage" ,"Gypsum.content","Sand. mass","Carbonate.content")) +

 labs(title = "Contribution in Percentage per Region",x = "Shared Species", y = "Percentage (%)")+

theme_minimal() +

theme(axis.text.x = element text(angle = 45, hjust = 1), axis.text.y = element_text(angle = 45))

variables_pm

mean_contr_ma \leq - subset(mean_contr, region $=$ = "ma")

variables_ma <- ggplot(data = mean_contr_ma, aes(x = variable, y = mean, $fill = variable$) +

 ν lim(0,25) +

scale_x_discrete(limits = order) +

geom_bar(stat = "identity", position = position_dodge())+

 scale_fill_manual(values = c("Annual.mean.temperature" = "#CC0033","Mean. diurnal.range"  = "#993366","Isothermality"  = "#9966CC",

 "Precipitation.of.wettest.month"  = "#6699CC","Precipitation. of.driest.quarter" = "#336699",

 "Precipitation.of.warmest.quarter"  = "#003366","Exchangeable. aluminium" = "#996633",

 "Cation.exchange.capacity"  = "#CC9933","Effective.cation. exchange.capacity" = "#CCCC33",

 "Exchangeable.sodium.percentage" = "#99CC33" ,"Gypsum. content" = "#99CC66","Sand.mass"  = "#339966",

"Carbonate.content" = "#336633"), breaks = c ("Annual.mean. temperature","Mean.diurnal.range" ,"Isothermality" ,

 "Precipitation.of.wettest.month" ,"Precipitation.of.driest. quarter","Precipitation.of.warmest.quarter" ,

 "Exchangeable.aluminium","Cation.exchange.capacity" ,"Effective.cation.exchange.capacity",

 "Exchangeable.sodium.percentage" ,"Gypsum.content","Sand. mass","Carbonate.content")) +

 labs(title = "Contribution in Percentage per Region",x = "Mainland Asia", y = "Percentage (%)")+

theme $minimal() +$

theme(axis.text.x = element_text(angle = 45 , hjust = 1),

 $axis. text.y = element_text(name = 45)$

variables_ma

average of the three means

library(data.table)

tot <- mean_contr %>% dplyr::select("variable", "mean")

variable_tot_list <- rbindlist(list(tot))[,lapply(.SD,mean), list(variable)]

variables_tot <- ggplot(data = variable_tot_list, aes(x = variable, y = mean, fill = variable $)$ +

ylim(0,25) +

scale_x_discrete(limits = order) +

geom_bar(stat = "identity", position = position_dodge())+

 $\overline{\mathsf{lab}}$ s(title = "Contribution in Percentage", x = "Bioclimatic Variables", y = "Percentage (%)")+

scale_fill_manual(values = c("Annual.mean.temperature" = "#CC0033","Mean. diurnal.range" = "#993366", "Isothermality" = "#9966CC"

 "Precipitation.of.wettest.month"  = "#6699CC","Precipitation. of.driest.quarter" = $"#336699"$.

 "Precipitation.of.warmest.quarter"  = "#003366","Exchangeable. aluminium" = "#996633",

 "Cation.exchange.capacity"  = "#CC9933","Effective.cation. exchange.capacity" = "#CCCC33",

 "Exchangeable.sodium.percentage" = "#99CC33" ,"Gypsum. content" = "#99CC66","Sand.mass"  = "#339966",

 "Carbonate.content"  = "#336633"), breaks = c("Annual.mean. temperature","Mean.diurnal.range","Isothermality"

 "Precipitation.of.wettest.month" ,"Precipitation.of.driest. quarter","Precipitation.of.warmest.quarter" ,

 "Exchangeable.aluminium","Cation.exchange.capacity" ,"Effective.cation.exchange.capacity",

 "Exchangeable.sodium.percentage" ,"Gypsum.content","Sand. mass","Carbonate.content")) +

theme_minimal()+

theme(axis.text.x = element_text(angle = 45, hjust = 1),

axis.text.y = element_text(angle = 45))

variables_tot

with(variable tot list, sum(mean[variable $=$ = "Annual.mean.temperature" | variable  = = "Mean.diurnal.range" |

variable $=$ = "Isothermality" | variable $=$ = "Precipitation. of.wettest.month" |

 variable  = =  "Precipitation.of.driest.quarter" | variable  = = "Precipitation.of.warmest.quarter"]))

with(variable tot list, sum(mean[variable $=$ = "Exchangeable.aluminium" | variable  = = "Cation.exchange.capacity" |

 variable  = =  "Effective.cation.exchange.capacity" | variable  = = "Exchangeable.sodium.percentage" |

 variable  = =  "Gypsum.content" | variable  = = "Sand.mass"| variable  = = "Carbonate.content"]))

my_comparisons <- list(c("Isothermality", "Gypsum.content"), c("Annual.mean. temperature", "Precipitation.of.driest.quarter"), c("Exchangeable.aluminium", "Gypsum.content"))

 $ggboxplot(ToothGrowth, x = "dose", y = "len",$ $color = "dose",$ palette = "jco")+

 stat_compare_means(comparisons = my_comparisons)+ # Add pairwise comparisons p-value

stat_compare_means(label.y = 50)