

X. DATES OF PUBLICATION AND BIBLIOGRAPHICAL NOTES
(cntd from p.433)

A s i a t i c R e s e a r c h e s .

The year of publication of the volumes can be found in the Centennial Review of the Asiatic Society of Bengal 49 (1885).

H a m i l t o n, Fr., Commentary on the Hortus malabaricus.

This was published in the Trans. Linn. Soc. Lond., the four parts respectively in l.c. 13 (1822) 474-560; *ibid.* 14 (1824) 171-312; *ibid.* 15 (1826) 78-152; *ibid.* 17 (1835) 147-252.

Evidently there is a separately issued edition (?reprint) with pages 1-410.

K o r t h a l s, P.W., Kruidkunde (in Temminck, Verh. Nat. Gesch. Overz. Bez., Botanie).

Cf. also A.M. Husson & L.B. Holthuis, Zool. Med. 34 (1955) 17-24.

In the archives of the Leyden Museum of Natural History a carefully kept administration of the "Verhandelingen" was found. As to be expected the copies of the parts were sent out some days earlier than the dates given by De Wit (cf. Fl. Mal. I, 4, 1954, cxciii), being those of receipt at the Hague.

P a x t o n 's Magazine of Botany and Register of Flowering Plants.

Cf. W.T. Stearn, J. Soc. Bibl. Nat. Hist. (1955) (103).

Published in 16 volumes, 1834-1849. The monthly parts for the year 1846 according to original dated wrappers were issued as follows:

<u>number</u>	vol. 12 (dated 1846) <u>pages</u>	<u>date of publ.</u>
144	265-280 i-xii	Jan. 1846
	vol. 13 (dated 1847)	
145	1-24	Febr. 1846
146	25-48	March 1846
147	49-72	Apr. 1846
148	73-96	May 1846
149	97-120	June 1846
150	121-144	July 1846
151	145-168	Aug. 1846
152	169-192	Sept. 1846
153	193-216	Oct. 1846
154	217-240	Nov. 1846
155	241-264	Dec. 1846

It appears that the bulk of volumes 12 and 13 was published in the year preceding that printed on the title-page.

The first volume was reissued in 1841 with a title-page dated the same year, although text and plates were originally issued in 1834.

R e t z i u s, A.J., Observationes.

Correction on this entry in Fl.Mal. I, 4 (1954) ccix:
Vol. 3, 1783 (instead of misprint 1784).

R o e m e r, J.J., Collectanea ad omnen rem botanicam spectantia.

Cf. Zuccagni, Synopsis plantarum, preface, dated Nov. 1806.

The 1st volume was evidently issued in 1806 and not in 1807 (correction in Fl.Mal. I, 4, 1954, ccix).

S e e m a n n, B., Flora Vitiensis etc.

Mr F.R. Fosberg informed us that in the British Museum copy the following dates are pencilled on the title-page:

pp. 1-120	1865 ?
121-144	Apr. 2, 1866
145-204	Oct. 1, 1866
205-236	Oct. 1, 1867
237-268	Feb. 28, 1868
269-292	July 31, 1868
293-324	Oct. 1, 1868
325-356	Oct. 30, 1869
357-434	June 1, 1871

these were not publ. until Feb. 1873

These dates, with the exception of the first, are actually printed in the fascicles of the book itself; evidently the last two are dates of printing only and the fascicles were distributed much later.

S w a r t z, O., Nova Genera etc. Prodrromus vegetabilium etc.

Correction on the statement in Fl. Mal. I, 4 (1954) cccxiv: As Swartz in a letter, dated 23 June 1788, mentioned his Prodrromus as fresh from the press, and on 15 Oct. of that year presented a copy to the Swedish Academy of Sciences, this gives the work in all probability priority over L'Héritier's Sertum Anglicum and N.J. Jacquin's Collectanea ad botanicam vol. 2. Mr Stearn, who gave this information,

assumes that Swartz withdrew some of his names not because of priority but because of his genial disposition. This genial attitude might in my opinion be due to a different concept of priority at that time, for it will often occur that a MS name was given long before the actual publication, the latter accepted as the criterion now.

T r a n s a c t i o n s of the Linnean Society, London.

In vol. 17, the copy at Leyden, there is, unlike in the preceding volumes, no indication of the parts in the table of contents. According to Mr W.T. Stearn (in litt.) it was published as follows:

<u>part</u>	<u>pages</u>	<u>dates</u>
1	1-146	1834
2	147-314	1835
3	315-464	1836 (prob. June)
4	465-600	1837 (prob. July)

Z u c c a g n i, A., Centuria prima etc.

This unpagged booklet, apparently pre-issued reprint, is evidently rightly dated 1806 by Pritzel. It was incorporated in J.J. Roemer, Collectanea etc. (see Fl.Mal. I, 4, 1954, ccix), dated 1809. In the preface of Zuccagni's Synopsis plantarum, dated Nov. 1806, it is stated that vol. 1 of Roemer's book was recently issued! It seems certain that in any case Zuccagni's names ought to be dated 1806. They were inserted in Kew Index as from 1809; this will be corrected in the current supplement of that work.

M.J.van Steenis-Kruseman