

X. DATES OF PUBLICATION AND BIBLIOGRAPHICAL NOTES
(contd from p.911)

B l u m e, C. L., Flora Javae, etc.

Add: cf. Archiefboek Univ.Library Leyden J.N.23 for 1847, p.48; ibid. J.N.26 for 1851, p.36.

In above-mentioned acquisition lists the year 1847 is confirmed for fasc.36-39 (Filices), the year 1851 for fasc.40-42

B l u m e, C. L., Rumphia, etc.

Add: cf. Archiefboek Univ.Library Leyden J.N.15 for 1839, p.57; ibid. J.N.19 for 1843, p.64; ibid. J.N.25 for 1849, p.44.

The manuscript acquisition lists of the Library of the University at Leyden, although in general not giving extensive information, leave no doubt that fasc.25-36 were received in 1843, and not in 1849 as I was inclined to suppose from different sources of information. In how far part or even the bulk of the stock of these fascicles may have been hold up for several years seems difficult to ascertain. The entering of the fascicles of volumes 2 and 4 approximately coincides with the established dates of publication.

B l u m e, C. L., Museum botanicum, etc.

Add: cf. Archiefboek Univ.Library Leyden J.N.26 for 1850, p.7, 12.

Vol.1, fasc.1-20 (Brill 1849-50) were entered in the acquisition list for 1850 in January, and a second copy in April. In this light it stands to reason that a monthly issue of vol.1 seems doubtful also, and fasc.10-20 were available early 1850.

Blumea vol.11 number 2 (pp.235-542).

Some papers bear the note "Issued 15.XII.1962", which is also the date on the cover; other papers bear "Issued 31.XII.1962". Actually the whole instalment was received from the printer on February 7, 1963; nothing of it had appeared before that date.

D o z y, F. & J. H. M o l k e n b o e r, Musci frondosi inediti archipelagi indici etc.

Cf. Archiefboek Univ.Library Leyden for 1846, 1847, 1848.

According to Pritzel Thesaurus 1872 the work was issued in five parts (1845-47).

In the acquisition lists of above-mentioned library six fascicles are mentioned, received as follows:

Fasc. 1 - 3, entered April 1846

Fasc. 4, 1847 } at the back of the lists, entered with periodicals; no assumption as to the month can be made.
Fasc. 5 & 6, 1848 }

Flora Malesiana, series I vol.6 part 2 (pp.157-387).

The date printed on the pages of this instalment is 1962; actually, the first copies were sent off by the publisher on March 15, 1963.

G r e s h o f f, M., Nuttige Indische Planten.

These 'sketches of useful Indonesian plants' originally appeared in the journal "De Indische Mercur" published by the firm of De Bussy, Amsterdam, for the benefit of planters in the Netherlands Indies. The same lettertype and plates were edited again in annual instalments by the Colonial Museum, Haarlem, now Royal Institute for the Tropics, Amsterdam, as an "Extra Bulletin", under the title "Nuttige Indische Planten". Obviously 5 instalments appeared each with 10 plates and pertaining text. Exactly the same work was also published by the Firm of De Bussy under the title "Schetsen van Nuttige Indische Planten". As we found at least one new combination in this work it seemed worthwhile to have the years of publication which we received from the ass. librarian of the Royal Institute for the Tropics:

part 1, pages	1- 39,	plates 1-10	1894
part 2, pages	40- 78,	plates 11-20	1895
part 3, pages	81-129,	plates 21-30	1896
part 4, pages	131-175,	plates 31-40	1897
part 5, pages	177-243,	plates 41-50	1900

Notulis systematicis ex herbario instituti botanici nomine V.L.Komarovii Academiae scientiarum U.R.S.S. Vols 1-20. 1919-1960.

A "Descriptio bibliographica editionis", a complete list of publication dates of the fascicles (not mentioning pages, plates, etc.) of these twenty volumes was published in Moscow/Leningrad 1962.

Nova Guinea, new ser. vol.4.

In Fl.Mal. 4 (1954) cciv the year of publication was given 1940 which is correct. From the publishers E.J.Brill we have derived the information that this (small) volume was edited as a whole and that the correct date of publication is Dec. 20, 1940.

S e e m a n n, B., Flora Vitiensis etc.

Cf. W.T.Stearn, J.Soc.Bibl.Nat.Hist. (1963) 151-152.

The following approximate dates replace the enumeration given in Fl.Mal.Bull. 2 (1956) 490:

Part	Pages	Plates	Dates
1	1-40	1-10	March 1865
2	41-80	11-20	June 1865
3	81-120	21-30	Jan. 1866
4	121-144	31-40	Apr. 1866
5	145-196	41-50	Oct. 1866

Part	Pages	Plates	Dates
6	197-236	51-60	Oct. 1867
7	237-268	61-70	end Febr. or March 1868
8	269-292	71-80	31 July 1868
9	293-324	81-90	Oct. 1868
10	325-434, i-xxxiii	91-100	Feb. 1873

Tijdschrift voor Natuurlijke Geschiedenis en Physiologie. Ed. by Van der Hoeven & De Vriese.

Each volume of this periodical consists of four parts (called "Stuk") containing scientific contributions (sometimes two were issued simultaneously) and four (or three parts) "Boekbeschouwing" containing reviews of recent publications. It is assumed that generally a "Stuk" appeared together with a part of the "Boekbeschouwing", as can be concluded from pages with corrections on earlier issued parts. The size of the parts could sometimes be concluded from the place of blank pages, occasionally followed by a page with a heading (Tijdschrift etc.); at times the part (Stuk) is mentioned on the plates, and from volume 4 onwards at the foot of the first page of each sheet (occasionally it reads Dl. . . Stuk . & . , denoting that these parts were issued simultaneously). Besides, the card index of the library of the "Nederlandsche Botanische Vereeniging" (incorporated now in the library of the Rijksherbarium) marked the way in which the fascicles were received (St. 1.2.3.4. or 1, 2&3, 4, etc.), unfortunately without date. The yearly acquisition lists of the University library at Leyden were consulted too, but most years the periodicals were entered at the end of the list and not the fascicles separately, even when the last part of a volume without doubt appeared in the next year.

The dates of publication are only approximately; in general it is accepted that when in a fascicle (Stuk) an article is dated, or a reference is made to a thesis e.g. of which the date is certain, etc., that at least two months will have elapsed before the fascicle in question was out.

The provisional results are:

Deel (Vol.)	Stuk (Part)	Pages	Plates	Boekbesch. pages	Approx. dates
1 (1834)	1	1-65	1	B 1-90	1834
	2	67-129	2-6	B 91-198	1834
	3	131-221	7	B 199-224	1834
	4	223-294	8-9	B 225-235	1834

Stuk 4 was not issued before August, cf. footnote p.224, referring to a thesis published in June. Probably the 4 fascicles appeared spread over the year; the Boekbeschouwing deals also with publications issued in 1834.

Deel (Vol.)	Stuk (Part)	Pages	Plates	Boekbesch. pages	Approx. dates
(1835)	? { 1	1-109	1-2	1-146, errata vol.1	1835
		111-?158			
	3	?159-253	3-4	147-160	1835 (? June)
	4	255-377	5-9	161-171	1836 (? Febr.)

There is some discrepancy, as according to the card index of the "Botanische Vereeniging" parts 1 and 2 appeared together, whereas judging from a blank page after p.109 and no indication after p.158, one would think that parts 2 and 3 were issued simultaneously. The "Boekbeschouwing" p.1-146 seems to be a double issue, what would coincide with parts 1 and 2 being issued together. For the dates cf. p. 251 and 377.

(1836)	1	1-87	1-3	B 1-105	1836 (? June)
	2	89-162		B 106 errata St.1	1836
	3	163-289	4-10		B 107-167
	4	291-356	11-12	B 169-194	1837 (? Apr.)

For the dates cf. p.356, B 58, B 105 and B 193.

(1837- 1838)	1 & 2	1-220	1	B 1-19	1837 (? Nov.)
	3 & 4	221-425	2-10	B 20 errata St.1&2 B 23-90	1838 (? May)

For the dates cf. pp.208, 406, B 88.

(1838- 1839)	1 & 2	1-150	1-4	B 1-128	1838 (? Sept.)
	3	151-271	5	errata St.1 B 129-174	1838 (? Dec.)
	4	273-343	6-8		1839 (? March)

For the dates cf. pp.133, 232, 343.

(1839)	1 & 2	1-172	1-6	B 1-59	1839 (? May)
	3	173-243	7-9		1839 (? July)
	4	245-326	10-12	B 61-100	1839 (? Nov.)
				B 101-102 errata of the whole vol.	

For the dates cf. pp.61, 221, 247 (erroneously 274!).

Deel (Vol.)	Stuk (Part)	Pages	Plates	Boekbesch. pages	Approx. dates
7 (1840)	1 & 2	1-283	1-7	B 1-63	1840 (1st half)
	3 & 4	285-468	8-10	{ B 64 errata St.1&2 B 65-84	1840 (? Nov., cf.p.357)
8 (1841)	1	1-126	1	B 1-48	1841 (early)
	2	127-202	2-3	B 49-72	1841 (? May)
	3	203-276		B 73-116	1841 (? July)
	4	277-412	5-7	B 117-136 errata+index whole vol.	1841 (? Nov.)

For the dates cf. pp.121, 176, 203 and B 135; there is no plate no 4.

9 (1841)	1	1-93	1-2	B 1-17	1842 (early June)
	2 & 3	95-265	3-4	B 47-82	1842 (Aug. or Sept.)
	4	267-348	5	B 83-128	1842 (? Nov.)

The 1st part was received before June 21 by the Roy.Soc.London, and between June 20-27 by the Acad.Scéance Paris (inf. Stearn); parts 2 & 3 will have been issued later (cf. also p. B 57, where July 1842 is mentioned), while part 4 was received in Paris between Dec. 5 and 12 (inf.Stearn).

10 (1843)	1	1-96	1	B 1-80	1843 (? May)
	2 & 3	97-354+ errata St.1	2-6	B 81-126	1843 (? Sept.)
	4	355-385	6-7	B 127-195	1843 (? Nov.)

For the dates cf. pp.96, 294, and B 195.

11 (1844)	1	1-111	1-3	B 1-91	1844 (? May)
	2	113-163	4-5	{ B 92 (err.) B 93-134	1844 (? Aug.)
	3 & 4	165-114		B 135-187	1844 (? Dec.)

For the dates cf. pp.177 and B 159.

Deel (Vol.)	Stuk (Part)	Pages	Plates	Boekbesch. pages	Approx. dates
12 (1845)	1	1-76	1	B 1-32	1845 (? May)
	2	77-162		B 33-70	1845 (? July)
	3 & 4	163-291	2-6	B 71-172	1846 (? March)

For the dates cf. pp.50, 162, 291, B 29, and B 69.

M.J.van Steenis-Kruseman.

VARIA

A n c i e n t M e t h o d R e v i v e d

Looking for the caryopses of a certain grass species, I had some difficulty in finding them. In vain I dissected a number of spikelets. This took much time, as the minute "false fruits" of the species have a few tricks to evade being cut up.

After two days of constant but "fruitless" labour, I stumbled upon an idea. How, I thought, does Mankind obtain the caryopses of grasses to be made into its daily bread? How, indeed, if not by grinding them between stones! And so, following an example set by Jericho housewives about 6,250 B.C. (see Cole, S.: The Neolithic Revolution. British Museum 1959) I put a few hundred spikelets on an unglazed tile, placed another tile over it, and in a jiffy I obtained half a dozen of caryopses!

Come to think of it: most likely the Jericho housewife had obtained the cleaned caryopses and was only milling them into meal. Anyhow, she gave me the idea. I can recommend the method to all who want to find something inside something. However, let them proceed with discretion, because even plentiful material might become exhausted. -- Ch. M. de F.