

A REVISION OF THE OCHNACEAE OF THE INDO-PACIFIC AREA CORRIGENDA

A. KANIS

In table 1 on page 6 of this volume the names of some taxa have been misspelled. Subfamily *Sauvagesoideae* Lindl. should read **Sauvagesioideae** Lindl. The subtribal names *Sauvagesinae* and *Luxemburginae* (Planch.) Kanis should be changed to **Sauvagesiinae** and **Luxemburgiinae** (Planch.) Kanis respectively. The orthographic errors mentioned have been made consistently throughout the text.

In the same table the new subtribe *Ouratinae* (v. Tiegh.) Kanis was proposed with an incorrect reference to tribus *Ourateae* (*non* Engl.) v. Tiegh. (1902). The name *Ourateae* was introduced by Engler (Nova Acta Leop.-Carol. Akad. 37, 1874, 20) to indicate the tribe that should be called *Ochneae*, as *Ochna* L. should be considered the type genus of the family and lower taxa to which it belongs (cf. Art. 19: 3 of the present Code). Engler's name was invalid, although he gave a Latin description (cf. Art. 32: 2 of the present Code). Van Tieghem correctly used the name *Ourateae* for a smaller tribe, segregated from his *Ochneae*. This should be considered as a new name, as he made a new description without reference to Engler's. The new subtribe is based on the tribe *Ourateae* v. Tiegh. in Morot, J. Bot. 16 (1902) 33.

On page 9, at the end of the first line, the word *bracts* has been omitted accidentally.

On page 20, in the second line from the bottom, *Godoya splendida* Planch. should be changed to **Rhytidanthera splendida** (Planch.) v. Tiegh.

On page 27 I have mentioned *O. nitida* (*non* Thunb. *ex* DC.) Planch. in the synonymy of *Ochna jabotapita* L., whereas *O. nitida* Thunb. *ex* DC., *nom. illeg.*, was referred to *O. obtusata* DC. on page 30. This was based on the assumption that De Candolle's original specimen would have been different from the material described by Planchon: see also remarks on pp. 29, 32. Since then I had the opportunity to study the holotype of *O. nitida* in Geneva. It turned out that the specimen concerned in fact belongs to *O. jabotapita* L., although it has rather large leaves for that species. Consequently, Planchon's emendation of De Candolle's description is not erroneous as stated by me previously. The complete entry of *O. nitida* and its homotypic synonyms should be transferred from *O. obtusata* DC. to *O. jabotapita* L. It should also include the reference 'Planch. in Hook., Lond. J. Bot. 5 (1846) 650', to be inserted after that of Sprengel (1825). The type should be referred to as: ? *Thunberg s.n. in Hb. De Candolle* (G holotype) Ceylon, fl.

Suggestions for these corrections were received from Dr N. K. B. Robson of the British Museum, from Prof. Dr F. A. Stafleu, Utrecht, and from Dr R. C. Bakhuizen van den Brink and Mr A. Touw of the Rijksherbarium. Their kind cooperation is gratefully acknowledged here.