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#### Abstract

SUMMARY A world-revision of Arthraxon Beauv. (Gramineae) is presented. Three wide-spread species, $A$. hispidus (Thunb.) Makino, A. lanceolatus (Roxb.) Hochst., and A. lancifolius (Trin.) Hochst. are very variable and have caused the description of a great number of taxa, most of which are here reduced to synonomy. There are now 7 species and 9 varieties; for 6 of the latter new combinations are proposed. No new taxa are described.


## INTRODUCTION

Palisot de Beauvois described Arthraxon in 1812, on the single species A. ciliaris, based on a cultivated specimen from the Trianon-garden in France, comparing it with Ischaemum ciliare Retz. (1791). This comparison has caused several authors (Kunth, 1831; Steudel, 1854) to include A. ciliaris in Ischaemum ciliare, and to describe a new genus, i.e. Lucaea Kunth. The name Arthraxon refers to the articulated axis of the inflorescence.

The genus Arthraxon, under whatever name, is distinct by its cordate, amplexicaul leaves (whereby it immediately can be distinguished from Microstegium Lindl., with which it is often confused), and by having lemmas with a subbasal awn. The species of Arthraxon are very complex and often widespread heteromorphic taxa, especially A. hispidus (Thunb.) Makino, A. lanceolatus (Roxb.) Hochst., and A. lancifolius (Trin.) Hochst., which has caused in the course of time the description of a huge amount of different taxa. A number of names are further due to misinterpretations of the construction of the spikelets (Fig. 1), which are twoflowered, with the lower and upper flower reduced to the lemma only (except for two species, $A$. castratus Bor and $A$. depressus Fischer, which still possess a palea in the upper flower). The lower flower is sterile, the upper is bisexual and has an awned lemma; the awn is sometimes reduced and even exeptionally absent. Both glumes are more or less chartaceous; the lemmas, however, are very thin and membraneous and are easily torn into two, especially in careless dissection. Such a torn lemma is then often mistaken for a lemma and a palea (Beauvois, 1812, cf. figure; Hochstetter, 1856). Other authors have thought that the spikelet was one-flowered, with the lower lemma or the awnless upper lemma as the palea. For this reason Steudel (1853) described a separate genus Lasiolytrum in the tribe Phalarideae, while simultaneously he had species of Arthraxon under Andropogon L. and Lucaea Kunth.

The name Arthraxon has long been overlooked, or its identity was mistaken. Trinius (1822) described Pleuroplitis, which he did not identify with Arthraxon, the
genus mentioned next by him, because he thought (see also Bor, 1972) that the lower flower consisted of an awn only, and that the upper flower had a lemma, as well as a palea. He did not notice that the awn was actually a part of the upper lemma. Later on he recognized his error, and sunk Pleuroplitis langsdorffii Trin. into the synonomy of Andropogon amplexifolius Trin. (1832), which is now regarded as a synonym of Arthraxon hispidus var. hispidus. But he still did not realize that Arthraxon ciliaris Beauv. (now also a synonym of $A$. hispidus var. hispidus) was the same.

Hochstetter (1856) was the first to realize that Arthraxon and Pleuroplitis were identical, and that other genera, such as his own Psilopogon (1846), a nomen nudum, and Lucaea Kunth (1831), Batratherum Nees (1835), and Alectoridia A. Rich. (1851) also belonged to Arthraxon. After a rambling discourse he made all new combinations necessary in Arthraxon (see also paragraph 'Nomenclature').

Regel (1866) made another full account of the genus, but he again failed to recognize it as Arthraxon and used Pleuroplitis instead, describing several new taxa and making some new combinations. After Regel, revisions of Arthraxon, under that name, were made by Hackel (1889) and by Jain (1972). The first author gave excellent descriptions, but unfortunately saw too few specimens, and was therefore not aware of the extreme variability present in some of the species. He thus thought he could distinguish more taxa than is possible now. After Hackel's famous book the name Arthraxon became firmly rooted. Jain's revision dealt with the Indian taxa; he gave a full account of all new names and combinations, and some of the most important old ones. Unfortunately, Jain and I have a different approach to this genus: Jain has distinguished a great number of taxa, most of which I have been unable to separate after extensive and careful study of as much material as possible.

## TAXONOMY

Arthraxon is placed by Pilger (1954) in the subfamily Andropogonoideae, and in the tribe Andropogoneae (e.g. Stapf, 1917; Camus, 1922; Pilger, 1954; Hitchcock \& Chase, 1950; C. E. Hubbard, 1973). On a lower taxonomic level differentiation in opinions appears: Pilger and C. E. Hubbard put Arthraxon in the subtribe Andropogoninae, while Clayton (1972) places it in the subtribe Arthraxoninae, a name first used by Bentham ('Arthraxeae', 1882). Stapf, Jacques-Félix (1962), and Clayton even use the term Arthraxonastrae for distinction on a level between subtribe and genus. A thorough investigation on the supra-generic levels was beyond the scope of the present study. However, it may be concluded that Arthraxon, although obviously an Andropogonoid genus, is a very distinct, natural genus without clear affinities.

Besides supra-generic names, also a number of infra-generic names has been attributed to Arthraxon. Arthraxon has some very heterogeneous taxa, and as a result a lot of species have been described. Therefore infra-generic names appeared useful for good order.

Regel (1866) described three sections, and named them after the genera placed by him in the synonomy of Pleuroplitis (thus omitting to name one of them Pleuroplitis). He used for his infra-generic system the presence or absence of the pedicelled spikelet and the length of the stipe when the pedicelled spikelet was absent. But within my concept of the species this character shows overlap ( $A$.
hispidus for instance, normally with sessile spikelets only, rarely also has pedicelled spikelets. Furthermore, there are no disjunctions in the length of the stipe in any species). His division is therefore not practical, nor natural (for instance Pleuroplitis quartiniana and $P$. langsdorffii are placed in two different sections, but both are in my concept synonyms of $A$. hispidus var. hispidus).

Hackel (1889) gave another subgeneric division: all species, except one, belong to the subgenus Pleuroplitis, while A. jubatus Hack. belongs to the subgenus Trichatherum. However, if $A$. jubatus is honoured with a private subgenus, there seems to be hardly any reason not to distinguish a subgenus for nearly each of all other species (in my concept) as well, which is hardly sensible. Hackel's use of the Triandri against the Diandri is not, as some have thought, a subgeneric division, but merely part of his key; besides, this character would be unusuable as the species, in as well his as my concept, show overlap again: species with 2 anthers per spikelet occasionally may have 3 and vice-versa.

Tzvelev (1963) described the series Hispidi. But as no other series is described by him, it is unclear to me how others can be distinguished from it and the argument, mentioned above, concerning Hackel's system applies anyway.

The above may have made clear that subgeneric divisions within Arthraxon are unwarranted. Nevertheless, some remarks on the apparent groupings may be made.

As far as the vegetative characters are concerned all species are very similar, only A. hispidus var. robustior Welzen is conspicuous for its large culms and leaves. In the other cases spikelets are necessary for identification. The phylogenetically most important characters are presence or absence of the pedicelled spikelet and of the palea, and the number of anthers per spikelet (but this may be variable even within some species!). Additional characters can be found in the key.

Arthraxon castratus Bor and A. depressus Fischer share some characters which may be regarded as basic in the andropogonoid grasses: palea present, stamens 3; but the pedicelled spikelets are absent, which must be considered as derived. Moreover, they are similar in the presence of an upper glume with a narrow membraneous margin and lemmas with pilose margins, while the other species of the genus have an upper glume with a broad margin and glabrous lemmas. Whether this points at a true relationship between the two, I do not dare to say, but it is suggestive.
A. depressus otherwise resembles $A$. hispidus Makino in the shape of the spikelet and is thereby somewhat intermediary between the latter and $A$. castratus.
A. hispidus and the remaining species could be arranged in various groups, based on the presence or absence of the pedicelled spikelets and the number of stamens ( 2 or 3) per flower (they all lack the palea), but no satisfactory result can be achieved, which demonstrates the reticulate affinities among the species.
$A$. jubatus is immediately distinct from all species by the presence of the very long awn. By the presence of pedicelled spikelets and 3 stamens it is similar to $A$. lanceolatus, from which species it is otherwise quite different in the armament of the lower glume of the sessile spikelet. As the general aspect of the two species is very dissimilar, it does not seem likely that $A$. jubatus with its local distribution has been derived from the wide-spread $A$. lanceolatus.

Besides in having pedicelled spikelets and 3 stamens, A. lanceolatus is different from all other species by the very broad-based spicules on at least the marginal and submarginal nerves of the lower glume of the sessile spikelets, which in the var. villosus Welzen are even fused into a wing on the marginal nerves.

The two remaining species, $A$. microphyllus (Trin). Hochst. and A. lancifolius (Trin.) Hochst., always have 2 stamens only. Pedicelled spikelets are usually present, although in $A$. lancifolius restricted to the upper part of the inflorescence; in some cases they are absent in herbariummaterial, either because they were never developed, or because the upper part has disarticulated and is lost.
A. microphyllus is clearly closely related to A. lancifolius and differs mainly by the presence of pedicelled spikelets throughout the inflorescence and by the protruding nerves of the lower glumes of both the sessile and the pedicelled spikelets. Because of these characters which are only observed in a small area, while $A$. lancifolius is widespread, it did not seem likely that $A$. microphyllus would be a mere form of $A$. lancifolius. They are so striking that I am of the opinion that it is a distinct species; this is in agreement with Bor (1972), who described it as A. sikkimensis (see also note sub $A$. microphyllus). The species most similar to these two seems to be $A$. hispidus as far as the spikelets are concerned, which resemble small versions of the latter. They therefore seem closer to A. hispidus than A. depressus.

It will be clear from the above that infra-generic taxa cannot be distinguished. Chromosome-numbers do not help much to distinguish either these or separate species. Only diploids ( $n=9$ ) or tetraploids ( $n=18$ ) are mentioned in literature next to some doubtful counts, perhaps due to misprints (see sub generic and species descriptions). It is rather surprising to note that even in the very polymorphic species no higher polyploids have been observed.

## MORPHOLOGY

Some terms used in the following descriptions need some explanation, especially as different descriptive terms are used in the literature.

The margins of the leaf-blades bear basally bulbous-based hairs (fig. 16a), and are upwards ciliate, with very small thorn-like structures, here called spicules (fig. 16b). The term ciliate is, however, often used in literature to describe the bulbous-based hairs.

The inflorescence is rather complex. It usually consists of two to many, often branching spikes, rarely only one, usually subdigitately arranged. The spikelets are alternate and laterally appressed against the rachis. The axis is articulated and joint and spikelets fall off easily, usually as one unit, thus forming a diaspore which most likely attaches itself easily to passing animals by means of the hairs on the joint, the spicules on the glumes, or the awn. In some species the sessile spikelet is accompanied by a pedicelled one, emerging from the callus, opposite to the joint. The pedicel, almost always present, often without a spikelet and then often minute, is called stipe.

The glumes possess spicules which, although usually minute, may be transformed into hair-like structures. A. lanceolatus, however, possesses very broad-based spicules on the lower glume of the sessile spikelet, which are fused into wings in var. villosus.

The length and the depth of the $\pm$ chartaceous glumes and the membraneous lemmas and paleas (the latter usually absent) are given.

The nerves, especially of the lemmas and the paleas are very indistinct, and usually not visible with a dissecting microscope at c .32 X , as was used during this study. Therefore one should not be surprised if the term nerveless is used, but that at larger magnification faint traces will be observed.

The lower lemma has a perfect, geniculate awn, the lower part of which is called column and the upper part subule. The awn is measured when stretched after having been soaked.

Cleismogamy and chasmogamy, observed in every species, are discussed in note 3 sub A. lancifolius.

## NOMENCLATURE

The name Arthraxon has, as will be observed from the synonomy given here, a number of apparently homotypic synonyms. The code stated (Art. 10.1) that 'the type of a name of a genus... is a species', while according to Art. 63.1 names are superfluous if the type of a name which ought to have been adopted is included. It would therefore seem that Alectoridia, Batratherum, Lasiolytrum, Lucaea, and Pleuroplitis, of which the names of the types are synonymous with A. hispidus (and even its var. hispidus) should be regarded as superfluous, and all combinations in them therefore as illegitimate (cf. Art. 66, 68). This strict application of the rules has apparently not been realized and therefore not followed. According to a recent article by Nicolson (1979) a special committee to look into this question has been established. He suggested that it might be better to regard the name of a species, rather than a species, as the type of a generic name. Until this matter is solved, it seems best to follow common usage, even if it is actually against a certain too sharp interpretation of the wording of some of the rules.

Another nomenclatoral problem has been caused by Hochstetter (1856) who listed many species of Arthraxon. In his treatment he heavily criticized their treatment under Andropogon, Lasiolytrum and Lucaea by Steudel in his Synopsis (1854), so Hochstetter was obviously very well aware of their presence in the latter work. For the individual species, however, he does not refer directly to them, although in several cases they are obviously the basionyms of his new combinations in Arthraxon. Strictly speaking it might be argued that all his names are therefore mere nomina nuda and his combinations invalid. On the other hand it is very clear which taxa are intended, because of the references to Nees' epithets, which were also cited by Steudel, and because Steudel as well as Hochstetter cited the same collections. As generally Hochstetter's combinations have been regarded as valid it seems most logical to be consistent with this use and therefore they are accepted here as valid through admittedly very circumstantial evidence. If others disagree I gladly leave it to them to figure out who were the subsequent validating authors to be cited instead, or which hetero-typic epithets should be used instead.
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#### Abstract

ARTHRAXON Arthraxon Beauv., Agrost. (1812) 111, 152; Hochst., Flora 39 (1856) 177; Benth. \& Hook. f., Gen. Pl. 3 (1883) 1128 ; Hack., Mon. Androp. (1889) 345; Hack. in E. \& P., Nat. Pfl. Fam. II, 1, 2 (1889) 22, 26. T у р е: A. ciliaris [=A. hispidus (Thunb.) Makino var. hispidus]. Pleuroplitis Trin., Fund. Agrost. (1822) 174; Regel, Bull. Ac. St. Petersb. 10 (1866) 364. - Arthraxon Beauv. subgen. Pleuroplitis Hack., Mon. Androp. (1889) 346, nom. ill. - T y p e: P. langsdorffii Trin. $[=A$. hispidus (Thunb.) Makino var. hispidus]. Lucaea Kunth, Rev. Gram. 2 (1831) 489. - Pleuroplitis Trin. sect. Lucaea Regel, Bull. Ac. St. Petersb. 10 (1866) 371, nom. ill. - T y p e: L. gracilis Kunth [=A. hispidus (Thunb.) Makino var. hispidus].

Batratherum Nees, Edinb. New Phil. J. 18 (1835) 180 - Lect ot y pe: B. micans Nees, here proposed [ = A. hispidus (Thunb.) Makino var. hispidus]. Lasiolytrum Steud., Flora 29 (1846) 18. - T y p e: L. hispidum (Thunb.) Steud. [=A. hispidus (Thunb.) Makino var. hispidus]. Alectoridia A. Rich., Tent. Fl. Abyss. 2 (1851) 447. - Pleuroplitis Trin. sect. Alectoridia Regel, Bull. Ac. St. Petersb. 10 (1866) 375. - T y p e: Alectoridia quartiniana A. Rich. [ = Arthraxon hispidus (Thunb.) Makino var. hispidus]. [Psilopogon Hochst., Flora 29 (1846) 117, nom.]. - Pleuroplitis Trin. sect. Psilopogon Regel, Bull. Ac. St. Petersb. 10 (1866) 369. - T y p e: Ps. schimperi Hochst. [pro Schimper $96 b=$ A. lancifolius (Trin.) Hochst.]. See also Nomina excludenda sub Ps. capensis. Arthraxon Beauv. subgen. Trichatherum Hack., Mon. Androp. (1889) 358, 710. - T y p e: A. jubatus Hack. Arthraxon Beauv. series Hispidi Tzvel., Not. Syst. U.R.S.S. 22(1963) 53. - T y p e: A. hispidus (Thunb.) Makino (var. hispidus). Annual or perennial herbs, slender to robust, branching intra-vaginally at the sometimes bulbous base and often also in nearly every node. Cataphylls rarely


present. Culms geniculately ascending, usually rooting in the decumbent nodes, glabrous to sometimes pubescent at base, smooth. Sheaths slightly inflated, especially the uppermost, glabrous to hairy all over, at least the outer margin always with usually bulbous-based hairs. Ligule collar-shaped; margin erosely truncate, usually fimbriate. Blades ovate-oblong to ovate-linear-lanceolate; base (slightly) cordate, (slightly) hemi-amplexicaul; margins slightly cartilagineous with bulbousbased hairs usually at least at base, becoming ciliate upwards; apex acute to acuminate. Peduncle smooth. Inflorescence obconical, usually subdigitate, with 2 many branches, rarely a solitary spike only; branches simple or with a few secondary branches, disarticulating, with 1 - many spikelets; joints scabridulous. Spikelets often in pairs, the sessile $\widehat{\sim}$, the pedicelled (when present) sterile or ${ }_{\sigma}$, sometimes yawning at anthesis, laterally compressed, asymmetrical in lateral view. Sessile spikelets 2-flowered, lower flower sterile, the upper \$ , green to reddish purple; callus short, truncate. Glumes equal or unequal, ovate-linear-lanceolate, apex acute to erose; lower glume slightly to distinctly rounded on the back, nerves with small or broad-based, short or long spicules, marginal nerves sometimes pilose or winged; margins sometimes inflexed, chartaceous to chitinous; upper glume with a chartaceous keel, midrib in upper $0.3-0.8$ th part with aristate spicules, which distally increase in size, sometimes laterally with a row of smaller ones; margins membraneous, sometimes with a few patent to retrorse white hairs. Lemmas ovatelanceolate, rounded on the back, apex acute to erose, smooth, glabrous (margins sometimes excepted), membraneous, pale to green to reddish purple suffused; lower lemma awnless, 0 - or 1 -nerved; upper lemma 1 - or 3 -nerved, awn inserted in the lower 0.15 th part of lemma, rarely higher up, geniculate, rarely straight, sometimes enclosed or absent, brown to purple (in vivo); column contorted, glabrous, usually smooth; subule slightly contorted, glabrous, scabridulous. Palea usually absent, when present $\pm$ triangular, subacute, membraneous, pale. Lodicules ob-trapezoid, margin erose, many-nerved, glabrous. Anthers 2 or 3, reddish purple (in vivo). Styles apical, free, stigmas plumose. Caryopsis slightly ovoid-ellipsoid to cylindrical, somewhat laterally compressed; embryo 0.3-0.5 times as long as the caryopsis; hilum subbasal, punctiform. Pedicelled spikelet usually reduced to the sometimes microscopic pedicel ('stipe'), when present often reduced to a vestigial ovatelanceolate to linear glume, sometimes well-developed. Callus absent. Glumes equal or unequal, ovate-linear-lanceolate to linear, apex acute to erose, herbaceous (except sometimes for the membraneous margins); lower glume rounded on the back, scabridulous to spiculate; upper glume when present dorsally keeled, sometimes flattened, midrib shortly spiculate. Lemmas when present ovate-linearlanceolate, awnless, membraneous, pale to green to reddish purple suffused. Palea absent. Lodicules when present ob-trapezoid, margin erose, many-nerved, glabrous. Anthers when present 2 or 3 , reddish purple.

Distribution: Seven species, of which three wide-spread in Africa (mainly central and eastern part), Caucasus, South and South-East Asia towards North China and South-East Russia, Japan, Malesia (except Borneo), East-Central Australia, and Eastern North and Central America. The other four in India, one also found in South Vietnam and Java.

Ecology: Usually found on waste places without much disturbance, like left plantations and roadsides. Usually on dry, often poor soil.

Chromosomenumbers: $\mathrm{x}=9$, but some curious other counts have been
made, e.g. A. castratus $(\mathrm{n}=8$ ), A. hispiddus var. hispidus $(\mathrm{n}=5)$, A. lanceolatus var. lanceolatus $(\mathrm{n}=8)$, A. lancifolius $(\mathrm{n}=10)$.

## KEY TO THE TAXA.

1a. Awn up to 2.3 cm long, sometimes enclosed or completely absent; column smooth.
b. Awn $7.5-14 \mathrm{~cm}$ long; column scabridulous . . . . . . 4. A. jubatus

2a. Margins of the lower glume of the sessile spikelet inflexed, at least the marginal nerves with broad-based spicules or with wings. Pedicelled spikelet welldeveloped, $2.7-7.8 \mathrm{~mm}$.
b. Margins of the lower glume of the sessile spikelet flat, not inflexed, marginal nerves with small spicules, never winged. Pedicelled spikelet absent or usually very reduced, if completely present at most up to 3.2 mm long.
3a. Marginal nerves of the lower glume of the sessile spikelet with hairy wings.
5e. A. lanceolatus var. villosus
b. At least the marginal nerves of the lower glume of the sessile spikelet with broad-based spicules.
4a. Broad-based spicules on at least the marginal and submarginal nerves of the lower glume of the sessile spikelet with at most a single stiff hair. . . . 5
b. Broad-based spicules on the marginal and submarginal nerves of the lower glume of the sessile spikelet with bundles of stiff hairs.
5 a . Marginal and submarginal nerves of the lower glume of the sessile spikelet with broad-based spicules.

5a. A. lanceolatus var. lanceolatus
b. All nerves of the lower glume of the sessile spikelet with broad-based spicules.

5b. A. lanceolatus var. echinatus
6a. Lower glume of the sessile spikelet dorsally shortly spiculate, glabrous.

## 5c. A. lanceolatus var. meeboldii

b. Lower glume of the sessile spikelet dorsally densely pilose.

## 5d. A. lanceolatus var. raizadae

7a. Joints, at least the upper ones, often long pilose. Sessile spikelet narrow, $0.3-1.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ wide; upper glume with an acuminate to erose, sometimes shortto long-awned apex. Pedicelled spikelets usually present in at least the upper part of the inflorescence and then represented by at least a well-developed lower glume, rarely absent (stipe still present).
b. Joints glabrous to short pilose, rarely long pilose (like the robust $A$. hispidus var. santapaui). Sessile spikelet usually relatively broad, $0.3-2 \mathrm{~mm}$ wide; upper glume with an acuminate to erose apex, but never awned. Pedicelled spikelet usually absent (stipe still present), rarely present, then reduced to a vestigial lower glume. $\qquad$
8a. Spikelets if paired then only in the upper part of the inflorescence. Nerves of the lower glume of the sessile spikelet indistinct. Pedicelled spikelets if present ovate-linear-lanceolate.
6. A. lancifolius
b. Spikelets all paired. Nerves of the lower glume of the sessile spikelet somewhat protruding. Pedicelled spikelet narrowly linear. . . . 7. A. microphyllus
9a. Upper glume with relatively narrow, $0.1-0.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ wide membraneous margins. Margins of the lemmas pilose. Palea present. Anthers 3. . . 10
b. Upper glume with relatively broad, $0.1-1 \mathrm{~mm}$ wide membraneous margins.

Margins of the lemmas glabrous. Palea absent. Anthers 2, rarely 3 (like $A$. hispidus var. robustior).

11
10a. Spikelets with a $\pm$ rectangular base, chitinous; lower glume spiculate.

1. A. castratus
b. Spikelets with a more or less cuneate base, chartaceous; lower glume smooth.
2. A. depressus

11a. Plants slender. Blades small, $0.6-7.4$ by $0.2-1.5 \mathrm{~cm}$, margins usually in lower 0.3 th with bulbous-based hairs. Peduncle $0.15-0.8 \mathrm{~mm} \varnothing$. Anthers 2, rarely 3.
b. Plants rather coarse. Blades large, $4.5-19.5$ by $0.9-2.2 \mathrm{~cm}$, margins at least in lower 0.8th part with bulbous-based hairs. Peduncle $0.6-1.3 \mathrm{~mm} \varnothing$. Anthers 3, rarely 2. . . . . . . . . . 3c. A. hispidus var. robustior
12a. Spikelets $\pm$ large, ovate-lanceolate to ovate-linear-lanceolate, $1.9-7.9$ by $0.4-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Lower lemma always present. . . . . . . . . . 13
b. Spikelets very small, ovate-oblong, $1-1.8$ by $0.3-0.6 \mathrm{~mm}$. Lower lemma sometimes absent. . . . . . . . . . 3b. A. hispidus var. junnarensis
13a. Spikelets widest just above the base. Joints 0.4 times as long as the spikelet, long-pilose. Lower glume usually densely spiculate.

3d. A. hispidus var. santapaui
b. Spikelets widest in lower third. Joints $0.55-0.9$ times as long as the spikelet, glabrous to short pilose to rarely long pilose. Lower glume usually not densely spiculate.

3a. A. hispidus var. hispidus

## 1. Arthraxon castratus (Griff.) Narayanaswami ex Bor. - Fig. 2, Map 1.

A. castratus (Griff.) Narayanaswami ex Bor, Fl. Assam 5 (1940) 376; Grasses (1960) 99; Jain, J. Ind. Bot.

Soc. 51 (1972) 165, pl. 2; Gould \& Soderstrom, Can. J. Bot. 52 (1974) 1085; Mehra \& Kalia, Taxon 23 (1974) 806. - Andropogon castratus Griff., Notul. 3 (1851) 89. - T y p e: Griffith 292 (K) Suddyah in campis graminosis.
Andropogon rudis Nees ex Steud., Syn. 1 (1854) 383. - A. rudis Hochst., Flora 39 (1856) 188; Bor, Fl Assam 5 (1940) 375. - T y p e: Wallich 8837 (P, n.v.; is o in BM, K, W; IDC 7394) India, Silheti.
A. pilipes Back. in Heyne, Nutt. Pl. 1 (1922) 124; ed. 3, 1 (1950) 178; Back. \& v. Slooten, Theeonkr. (1924) pl. 39; Back., Handb. Fl. Java 2 (1928) 74; Monod de F. in Back. \& Bakh.f., Fl. Java 3 (1968) 605. Typenot indicated, lect o: Bakhuizen van den Brink f. 2544 (L, here proposed; is o in BO, n.v.) Java, Residentie Preanger, Tjidadap, Tjibelu. 1000 m alt.
[A. dalatensis A. Camus ex M. Schmid, Extr. Agron. Trop. 13 (1958) 199, nom.]-T y pe: M. Schmid 75
(Private Herbarium M. Schmid) Indo-Chine, Dalat, dans un ravin au milieu de la pinède. 1500 m alt.
Annual herb. Culms up to $55(-120) \mathrm{cm}$ high, glabrous; nodes glabrous. Sheaths glabrous to pilose all over, with bulbous-based hairs which increase in number distally. Ligule $0.8-1.2(-1.8) \mathrm{mm}$ high, hairs $0.15-0.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ long. Blades $2.1-7.3$ by $0.5-1.1 \mathrm{~cm}$, margins in lower 0.3th part with bulbous-based hairs, upwards ciliate, upper surface usually sparsely appressed-pilose, especially near the base and the margin, glabrescent to glabrous, $\pm$ smooth, lower surface glabrous, very occasionally sparsely hairy, smooth. Peduncle $14-40 \mathrm{~cm}$ long, upwards becoming ascendingly appressed-white-pubescent. Inflorescence $1.1-7.1$ by $0.5-3 \mathrm{~cm}$, with 1-5 branches, with 4-47 spikelets, longest lowest branch $1.7-7.1 \mathrm{~cm}$ long; joints $4.1-7.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, densely appressed pubescent. Only sessile spikelets present, $4.1-7.2$ by $0.9-2 \mathrm{~mm}$, base $\pm$ rectangular; callus with $0.3-1 \mathrm{~mm}$ long hairs. Glumes unequal, chitinous, except for the margins of the upper glume; lower glume


Map 1: Distribution of: $\doteq=$ A. castratus (Griff.) Bor (taxon 1); $\neq A$. depressus Fischer (taxon 2 ) and $\mathcal{X}=A$. jubatus Hack. (taxon 4).
rounded on the back, $3.3-6.8$ by $0.6-1 \mathrm{~mm}$, margins not inflexed, flat, 5-13 nerved, in the upper 0.6th part especially on the nerves with acute to bristle-pointed spicules, increasing in size distally, between the nerves with minute spicules; upper glume $4-7$ by $1-1.6 \mathrm{~mm}$, apex acuminate to erose, 3-9-nerved, with membraneous margins $0.1-0.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ wide. Lemmas with long-pilose margins; lower lemma $3-5.3$ by $0.5-1.3 \mathrm{~mm}$, indistinctly 1 -nerved; upper lemma $2.8-4.9$ by $0.7-1 \mathrm{~mm}$, 3-nerved; column with $8-12$ coils, $5.8-9 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, smooth; subule $3.5-7 \mathrm{~mm}$ long. Palea $1.1-2.1$ by $0.2-0.7 \mathrm{~mm}$, margins pilose, indistinctly 2 nerved. Lodicules $0.3-0.6$ by $0.3-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Anthers 3, 2-2.8 by $0.4-0.7 \mathrm{~mm}$. Styles 2 mm long, stigmas 1.2 mm long. Caryopsis $2-2.3$ by c. 0.9 mm . Pedicelled spikelet reduced to a $1.1-3.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ long pilose stipe.

Distribution: India(Madyah Pradesh, Assam, Manipur); Ceylon (Central prov.); Southern South Vietnam; Java (Bogor, Jakarta, Priangan, Pekalongan, Kedu).

Ecology: On sunny to slightly shaded road-sides, edges of bushes, in grasslands, scrubs, swamps, and in deserted teaplantations. Usually on moist, not very fertile soil. Rather rare, occasionally common. $240-1700 \mathrm{~m}$ alt.

Vernacular names: Java: jukut hideung, tatamaganletik (Sund.).

Uses: According to Backer (1950) probably of no to little importance as a fodder because of its rarity and its low feeding value.

Collector's notes: Culms reddish brown, spikes greyish green or brownish. Anthers and styles cacao-brown.

Chromosomenumbers: $\mathrm{n}=8$ (Mehra \& Kalia, 1974, curious count); n $=18$ (Gould \& Soderstrom, 1974).

Notes: 1) This species has a very peculiar, disjunct area. Two reasons might explain this. First, this taxon is rather rare and therefore has not been collected often. The second reason is more complicated. Two other species, $A$. lanceolatus and $A$. lancifolius show a distribution corresponding with areas with a seasonal drought; both species are not found in the everwet tropical rain-forests of Malesia (some dry pockets excluded). A. castratus seems to have a $\pm$ similar preference, although data on labels generally point at moister localities of this species.
2) A. camus, who identified the plants sampled by A. Schmid in South Vietnam, thought that Thelepogon elegans was Arthraxon rudis (a synonym of A. castratus; see also nomina excludenda), and described the real A. castratus as A. dalatensis (unfortunately without a latin description).
3) See also note sub $A$. depressus.

## 2. Arthraxon depressus Stapf ex C. E. C. Fischer. - Fig. 3, Map 1.

A. depressus Stapf ex C. E. C. Fischer, Kew Bull. (1933) 349; Jain, J. Ind. Bot. Soc. 51 (1972) 165, pl. 3. T y pe: Wight KD 3372 (K; is o in CAL, n.v.) India.

Annual herb, slender. Culms glabrous; nodes glabrous. Sheaths glabrous except for the bulbous-based hairs along at least the outer margin. Ligule $2-2.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ high; margin fimbriate. Blades $5-9$ by $1-2.6 \mathrm{~cm}$, margins with bulbous-based hairs in lower 0.8th part, becoming ciliate upwards; upper- and lower surface glabrous, smooth. Peduncle c. 17 cm long, upwards sometimes pilose, usually glabrous. Inflorescence $3.7-5.7$ by $1.8-2.7 \mathrm{~cm}$, with $2-4$ branches, with c. 40 spikelets, longest lowest branches $2-5.6 \mathrm{~cm}$ long; joints $3.5-4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, pubescent all over. Sessile spikelets c. 6.8 by 1.7 mm , base $\pm$ cuneate; callus with $c .0 .7 \mathrm{~mm}$ long white hairs. Glumes unequal, chartaceous, except for the margin of the upper glume; lower glume rounded on the back, $c .6 .2$ by 0.7 mm , margins not inflexed, flat, c. 7 nerved, smooth; upper glume c. 6.4 by 1.3 mm , margins $c .0 .3 \mathrm{~mm}$ wide, apex acuminate to erose, inconspicuously 3-nerved. Lemmas with a pilose margin; lower lemma $c .5 .6$ by 0.6 mm ; upper lemma $c .4 .8$ by 0.7 mm , awn geniculate, column with 11 coils, c. 6 mm long, smooth; subule c. 3.5 mm long. Palea c. 2.5 by 0.2 mm . Lodicules $c .0 .4$ by 0.4 mm . Anthers 3 , c. 3.4 by 0.5 mm . Styles $c .1 .9 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, stigmas $c$. 1.2 mm long. Caryopsis not seen. Pedicelled spikelet reduced to a 2.2-3.5 mm long pilose stipe, sometimes with a vestigial glume up to 0.25 mm long.

Distribution: India. Fischer mentions beside the type-specimen also Meebold 10545 (n.v.) from Mysore state, Agalatti.

Ecology: Up to 1150 m alt.
Note: Stapf and Fischer thought that $A$. depressus was closely related to $A$. lanceolatus, but $A$. depressus lacks the pedicelled spikelet, the inflexed margins of the lower glume of the sessile spikelet, and the broad-based spicules on this glume.
A. depressus is probably more closely related to A. castratus; both have an upper glume with a narrow, $0.1-0.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ wide, membraneous margin, lemmas with pilose
margins and a palea. A. lanceolatus has broad, $0.2-0.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ wide, membraneous margins, glabrous lemmas and no palea.
A. depressus differs from A. castratus by spikelets with a more cuneate than rectangular base, by less chitinous, more chartaceous glumes, and by a smooth lower glume ( $A$. castratus has a spiculate glume).

## 3. Arthraxon hispidus (Thunb.) Makino

For literature see under the varieties.
Annual herb, slender to robust. Culms up to $65(-200) \mathrm{cm}$ high, glabrous; nodes pubescent. Sheaths glabrous but for the margin to hairy all over with short to long, patent, (often deciduous), bulbous-based hairs, which often increase in size and number distally. Ligule $0.4-3.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ high, margin usually pilose (hairs up to 1.7 mm long), sometimes glabrous. Blades $0.6-19.5$ by $0.2-2.2 \mathrm{~cm}$; margin in lower $0.3-0.8$ th part (to all over) with bulbous-based hairs, upwards ciliate; upper surface $\pm$ smooth, glabrous to glabrescent to pubescent in the throat to pubescent all over with appressed to patent, short to long, bulbous-based hairs; lower surface usually less pubescent, smooth. Peduncle $1.5-30.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ long, $0.15-1.3 \mathrm{~mm} \varnothing$, glabrous, sometimes upwards becoming appressedly white pubescent. Inflorescence $0.4-11.8$ by $0.2-6 \mathrm{~cm}$, with $1-30$ branches, with 1 -many spikelets, longest lowest branch $0.3-11.2 \mathrm{~cm}$ long; joints $0.6-7.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, glabrous to densely long pubescent all over, especially near their bases, joints of the upper spikelets usually more densely pubescent. Only sessile spikelets present, 1-8.4 by $0.3-1.8 \mathrm{~mm}$; callus glabrous or with up to 1.2 mm long hairs. Glumes equal to unequal, chartaceous, except for the margins of the upper glume; lower glume rounded on the back, $0.9-7.7$ by $0.2-1.4 \mathrm{~mm}$, margins not inflexed, flat, $4-15$-nerved, nerves over their whole length to at least in the upper 0.25 th part with acute to aristate spicules, which increase distally to 0.3 mm long, sometimes between the nerves also with rows of minute spicules, otherwise smooth; upper glume $0.9-8.3$ by $0.3-1.4$ mm , inconspicuously $3-5$-nerved, margin $0.1-1 \mathrm{~mm}$ wide, sometimes with patent to retrorse hairs. Lemmas with glabrous margins; lower lemma $1.1-5.8$ by $0.1-0.8$ mm , sometimes absent, not distinctly nerved; upper lemma $0.5-5.8$ by $0.1-0.8$ mm , distinctly 1 -nerved, awn subbasal (to $\pm$ halfway), sometimes enclosed by the glumes or absent; column with $0-16$ coils, $0-8.9 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, smooth; arista $0-11.9$ mm long. Palea absent. Lodicules $0.1-0.7$ by $0.1-0.7 \mathrm{~mm}$. Anthers 2 (or 3), $0.2-3.5$ by $0.1-0.8 \mathrm{~mm}$. Styles $0.5-3 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, stigmas $0.3-2.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ long. Caryopsis $0.8-3.4$ by $0.2-0.6 \mathrm{~mm}$. Pedicelled spikelet usually reduced to a $0.1-3.9$ mm long glabrous to pilose stipe (sometimes absent), rarely with a vestigial glume up to 2.5 by $0.4 \mathrm{~mm}, 3-6$-nerved (once with a well-developed spikelet, see var. hispidus note 8 and 13).

## a. var. hispidus. - Fig. 1, 4, 17, 18; Map 2.

Arthraxon hispidus (Thunb.) Makino, Bot. Mag. Tokyo 26 (July 1912) 214; Merr., Philip. J. Sc. 7 (30 Sep 1912) 229, pro comb. nov.; Enum. Philip. Fl. Pl. 1 (1923) 41; Back. \& van Slooten, Theeonkr. (1924) 19,

Map 2: Distribution of: $\quad=$ A. hispidus (Thunb.) Makino var. hispidus (taxon 3a); $O=$ var. junnarensis (Jain \& Hemadri) Welzen (taxon 3b); $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=$ var. robustior Welzen (taxon 3c) and $\square=$ var. santapaui (Bor) Welzen (taxon 3d).

pl. 38; Tanaka, Bull. Sc. Fakul. Terk. Kjuŝu Imp. Univ. 1 (1925) 194, pro comb. nov.; Back., Onkr. Suikerr. (1928) 51, pl. 45; Handb. Fl. Java 2 (1928) 75; Koidz., Fl. Symb. Or.-As. (1930) 70; Honda, J. Fac. Sc. Imp. Univ. Tokyo III, Bot. 3, 1 (1930) 326 (incl. var. typicus, nom. inval.); Hitchc., Brittonia 2 (1937) 128; Ohwi, Acta Phytotax. \& Geobot. 11 (1942) 163; Hitchc. \& Chase, Man. Grass. U.S., ed. 2 (1950) 748, 824; Back. in Heyne, Nutt. Pl., ed. 3, 1 (1950) 178; Bor, Grasses (1960) 99; Vickery, Contr. N.S.W. Nat. Hb., Fl. Ser. 19, 1 (1961) 29; Tzvel., Not. Syst. 22 (1963) 53, 55; Ohwi, Fl. Japan (1965) 192; Burbidge, Austr. Grass. 3 (1970) 196, pl. 83; Cufodontis, Bull. Jard. Bot. Nat. Belg. 40 (1970) 1387; Kiger, Rhodora 73 (1971) 39; Hsu, Taiwania 16 (1971) 306; Jain, J. Ind. Bot. Soc. 51 (1972) 173; Anon., Icon. Corm. Sin. 5 (1974) pl. 7225; Tanaka, J. Jap. Bot. 50 (1975) 30, pl. 3-x; Walker, Fl. Okinawa (1976) 237; Hsu, Fl. Taiwan 5 (1978) 618, pl. 1454; Simon, Tech. Bull. Bot. Br. Dep. Prim. Bot. Brisb. 4 (1980) 26. - Phalaris hispida Thunb., Fl. Jap. (1784) 44. - [Chilochloa hispida Beauv., Agrost. (1812) 158, nom., cf. also Steud., Flora 29 (1846) 18 (in synon.)]. - Digitaria hispida Spreng., Syst. Veg. 1 (1825) 271. - Lasiolytrum hispidum Steud., Flora 29 (1846) 18; Syn. 1 (1853) 12 ('hirtum'). - A. hispidus Makino forma hispidus: Ohwi, Acta Phytotax. \& Geobot. 11 (1942) 164. - A. hispidus Makino var. hispidus: Masamune, Sc. Rep. Kanazawa Univ. 4 (1956) 218. - T y p e: Thunberg Hb. no. 1776 sub 'Phalaris, Leersia' (UPS, n.v.; IDC 1036) Japan, see also no. 1995 sub 'Leersia'.
A. ciliaris Beauv., Agrost. (1812) 111, 152, t. 11, f. 6 ('ciliare'); Hance, J. Linn. Soc. Bot. 13 (1873) 134; Benth., Fl. Austr. 7 (1878) 524; Franch. \& Sav., Enum. Pl. Jap. 2 (1879) 187; F.-Vill. in Blanco, Fl. Filip. ed. 3, 4 Nov. App. (1882) 315 ; Hack., Mon. Androp. (1889) 354; Dur. \& Sch., Consp. Fl. Afr. 5 (1895) 703; Hook. f., Fl. Br. Ind. 7 (1897) 145; Matsamune \& Hayata, J. Coll. Sc. Imp. Univ. Tok yo 22 (1906) 523; Henr., Blumea 4 (1941) 524; Henty, Bot. Bull., Lae 1 (1969) 30, pl. 4, 32; Monod de F. in Back. \& Bakh. f., Fl. Java 3 (1969) 605 (incl. ssp. ciliaris); Steen., Bot. J. Linn. Soc. 79 (1979) 115. Pollinia ciliaris Spreng., Syst. Veg. 1 (1825) 289. - A. ciliaris Beauv. var. genuinus Hack., Mon. Androp. (1889) 355, nom. inval.; Bull. Hb. Boiss. 7 (1899) 642; Matsum., Ind. Pl. Jap. 2 (1905) 39; Nakai, Bot. Mag. Tokyo 26 (1912) 9; Matsuda, Bot. Mag. Tokyo 28 (1914) 318; Camus, Fl. Gén. I.-C. 7 (1922) 300. - A. cryptatherus Koidz. var. ciliaris Koidz., Bot. Mag. Tokyo 39 (1925) 301, comb. ill. - A. hispidus Makino var. ciliaris Koidz., Fl. Symb. Or.-As. (1930) 71; Honda ex Nakai, Veg. Apoi (1930) 73, pro comb. nov.? (n.v.); Honda, J. Fac. Sc. Imp. Univ. Tokyo III, Bot. 3, 1 (1930) 328; Makino, Ill. Fl. Japan (1954) 831. - A. hispidus Makino ssp. ciliaris Masamune \& Yanagihara, Trans. Nat. Hist. Soc. Formosa 31 (1941) 326 (n.v.). - T y p e: Richard s.n. (P) 'cultivé par son oncle à Trianon'. See note 3a.
Pleuroplitis langsdorffii Trin., Fund. Agrost. (1820) 175; Regel, Bull. Ac. St. Petersb. 10 (1866) 371 (incl. var. typica, l.c. 373, 374, nom. inval.). - Deyeuxia japonica Spreng., Syst. Veg. 1 (1825) 245, nom. superfl. - Andropogon amplexifolius Trin., Mèm. Ac. St. Petersb. VI, Math. Phys. Nat. 2 (1832) 274, nom. superfl. - Lucaea langsdorffii Steud., Syn. 1 (1854) 413 ('langsdorfiana'). - A. langsdorffii Hochst., Flora 39 (1856) 188 ('langsdorfiana'); Kom., Fl. U.S.S.R. 2 (1934) 13 (incl. var. genuinus, nom. inval.); Tzvel., Not. Syst. U.R.S.S. 22 (1963) 54. - A. ciliaris Beauv. ssp. langsdorffii Hack., Mon. Androp. (1889) 354; Pilg. in Perk., Fragm. Fl. Philip. (1904) 139; Hack., Philip. J. Sc. 1, Suppl. (1906) 265; Merr., Philip. J. Sc. I, Suppl. (1906) 333; Matsamune \& Hayata, J. Coll. Sc. Imp. Univ. Tok yo 22 (1906) S23; Hayata, Ic. Pl. Form. 7 (1918) 79. - A. hispidus Makino forma langsdorffii Back., Handb. Fl. Java 2 (1928) 75, status here appointed. - T y p e: Langsdorff s.n. (LE, n.v.; is oin $\overline{\mathbf{B}}$ ) Japan, e Nagasaki. See note 9.
Lucaea gracilis Kunth, Rév. Gram. 2 (1831) 489, pl. 159, n.v.; Enum. PI. 1 (1833) 472; Suppl. (1835) 382. - A. gracilis Hochst., Flora 39 (1856) 188. - Pleuroplitis langsdorffii Trin. var. gracilis Regel, Bull. Ac. St. Petersb. 10 (1866) 373. - A. ciliaris Beuav. var. gracilis Hack., Mon. Androp. (1889) 355. T у р е: (P, n.v.; is o in K, n.v.) Culta in Horto Kewensi (ex descr.).
Pleuroplitis plumbea Nees [ex Wight, Cat. (1834) 98, nom.] ex Arn. in Nees, Edinb. New Phil. J. 18 (1835) 181; Miq., Fl. Ind. Bat. 3 (1857) 481. - Lucaea plumbea Nees ex Steud., Syn. 1 (1854) 414. - A. plumbeus Hochst., Flora 39 (1856) 189; C. B. Clarke, J. Linn. Soc. Bot. 25 (1889) 86 ('plumbeum'). Pleuroplitis quartiniana Regel var. plumbea Regel, Bull. Ac. St. Petersb. 10 (1866) 377. - T y p e: Wight KD 1683 (P, n.v.; is o in K, U) India orientalis.
Batratherum micans Nees, Edinb. New Phil. J. 18 (1835) 182. - Andropogon micans Steud., Syn. 1 (1854) 382. - A. micans Hochst., Flora 39 (1856) 188; Franch., Nouv. Arch. Mus. Hist. Nat. Paris II, 10 (1887) 109, pro comb. nov. (n.v.); PI. David. 2 (1888) 147; Bor, Grasses (1960) 101; Gill., Rev. FI. Mal., 3 Gram. (1971) 288; Mehra \& Sharma, Taxon 21 (1972) 340; Jain, J. Ind. Bot. Soc. 51 (1972) 178, pl. 11. - A. cuspidatus Hochst. var. micans Hack., Mon. Androp. (1889) 353, comb. ill.; Pilg., Bot. Jahrb. 29 (1900) 222; Dur. \& Sch., Consp. Fl. Afr. 5 (1895) 703. - S y ntypes: Royle 217 (B, CGE, LE, Merseyside County Mus. Hb., n.v.; K. neg. 18608); Royle 218 (K, K. neg. 18608; B, CGE, LE, Merseyside County Mus. Hb., n.v.) India, Benghala boreali. See note 3c.
[Psilopogon schimperi Hochst., Flora 29 (1846) 117, nom., in synon. sub Batratherum schimperi (cf. A.
lancifolius)]. - T y p e: Schimper 96 (TUB?, n.v.) Abyssinia. See also note on Ps. capensis (nom. excl.). Alectoridia quartiniana A. Rich., Tent. FI. Abyss. 2 (1851) 448, pl. 99. - Andropogon alectoridia A. Rich. ex Steud., Syn. 1 (1854) 383, non Andropogon quartinianus A. Rich. (1851). - A. schimperi Hochst., Flora 39 (1856) 189, nom. superfl. - Pleuroplitis quartiniana Regel, Bull. Ac. St. Petersb. 10 (1866) 377, t. 10 , pl. 15, 16 (incl. var. typica, nom inval.). - A. ciliaris Beauv. ssp. quartinianus Hack., Mon. Androp. (1889) 356; Philip. J. Sc. 1, Suppl. (1906) 266; Merr., Philip. J. Sc. 1, Suppl. (1906) 333. - A. ciliaris Beauv. var. quartinianus Hack., Mon. Androp. (1889) 356; Dur. \& Sch., Consp. Fl. Afr. 5 (1895) 703; Camus, Fl. Gén. I.-C. 7 (1922) 300. - A. quartinianus Nash, N. Am. Fl. 17 (18 Sep 1912) 99; Merr., Philip. J. Sc. 7 (Sep 1912) 229, pro comb. nov.; Fl. Manilla (31 Dec 1912) 77; Stapf, Fl. Trop. Afr. 9 (1917) 166; Merr., Enum. Philip. Fl. Pl. 1 (1923) 42; Henr., Blumea 4 (1941) 525; Jansen, Reinwardtia 2 (1953) 23; Bharucha \& Satyanarayan, Vegetatio (1954) 131; Bor, Grasses (1960) 102; Metcalfe, Anot. Mon. 1 (1960) 43; Gould \& Soderstrom, Can. J. Bot. 48 (1970) 1635; Banerjee \& Pal, Bull. Bot. Surv. India 12 (1970) 70; Pohl \& Davidse, Brittonia 23 (1971) 304; Jain, J. Ind. Bot. Soc. 51 (1972) 179; Sindhe e.a., Taxon 24 (1975) 367; Steen., Bot. J. Linn. Soc. 79 (1979) 115; Dujardin, Taxon 28 (1979) 275. - A. hispidus Makino forma quartinianus Back., Handb. Fl. Java 2 (1928) 75, sine statu; Jansen, Reinwardtia 2 (1953) 231. - A. quartinianus Nash ssp. quartinianus: Monod de F. in Back. \& Bakh. f., Fl. Java 3 (1969) 606. - A. quartinianus Nash var. quartinianus: Jain, J. Ind. Bot. Soc. 51 (1972) 180, pl. 13. - T y pe: Dillon s.n. (P, n.v.; is o in L, W) Abyssinia, Adoua, ad marginem stagnorum. See note 3c and 7 .
Andropogon cuspidatus Hochst. ex A. Rich., Tent. Fl. Abyss. 2 (1851) 456; Steud., Syn. 1 (1854) 383. Batratherum cuspidatum Hochst., Flora 39 (1856) 178. - A. cuspidatus Hochst., Flora 39 (1856) 188; Hack., Mon. Androp. (1889) 353 (incl. var. genuinus, nom. inval.); Dur. \& Sch., Consp. Fl. Afr. 5 (1895) 703.-T у р e:Schimper 1438 (P, n.v.; is o in A, BM,L,W) Abyssinia, Dscheladscheranne. See note 3a.

Pleuroplitis centrasiatica Griseb. in Ledeb., Fl. Ross. 4 (1853) 477. - Pleuroplitis langsdorffii Trin. var. centrasiatica Regel, Bull. Ac. St. Petersb. 10 (1866) 374. - A. ciliaris Beauv. var. centrasiaticus Hack., Mon. Androp. (1889) 355; Matsum., Ind. Pl. Jap. 2 (1905) 40. - A. hispidus Makino var. centrasiaticus Honda, Bot. Mag. Tok yo 39 (1925) 278; J. Fac. Sc. Imp. Univ. Tokyo III, Bot. 3, 1 (1920) 327; Ohwi, Acta Phytotax. \& Geobot. 11 (1942) 164. - A. cryptatherus Koidz. var. centrasiaticus Koidz., Bot. Mag. Tokyo 39 (1925) 301, comb. ill. - A. langsdorffii Hochst. var. centrasiaticus Kom., Fl. U.S.S.R. 2 (1934) 13. - A. hispidus Makino forma centrasiaticus Ohwi, Acta Phytotax. \& Geobot. 11 (1942) 164. - A.centrasiaticus Gamajun, Opr. Zlak. Kazakst. (1948) 10 (n.v.); Pavlov, Fl. Kazakhst. 1 (1950) 123; Tzvel., Not. Syst. 22 (1963) 54. - A. hispidus Makino ssp. centrasiaticus Tzvel., Nov. Syst. Pl. Vasc. 14 (1977) 233; Probatova, Nov. Syst. Pl. Vasc. 17 (1979) 78. - T y p e: Schrenk s.n. (LE, n.v.; is o in K, W) Siberia in deserto songorico ad lacum Alakul. See note 9.

Andropogon lasiocoleos Steud. [in Zoll., Syst. Verz. 1 (1854) 59, nom. ('lasioclados')], Syn. 1 (1854) 383 ('lasiokoleos' p. 437). - A. lasiocoleos Hochst., Flora 39 (1856) 189. - L e c t ot y pe: Zollinger 42 ( P , here proposed; is o in BM in Hb. Shuttleworth) Japan, non Java, fide Zoll. (1854).
Andropogon submuticus Nees ex Steud., Syn. 1 (1854) 382. - A. submuticus Hochst., Flora 39 (1856) 188; Hook. f., Fl. Br. Ind. 7 (1897) 144; Jain, J. Ind. Bot. Soc. 51 (1972) 181, pl. 10. - Batratherum submuticum Nees ex W. Watson in Atk., Gaz. N.W. Prov. Ind. 10 (1882) 392 ('submuticus'), 638 ('Batrarotherum'). - A. ciliaris Beauv. ssp. submuticus Hack., Mon. Androp. (1889) 356; Rendle, J. Linn. Soc. Bot. 36 (1904) 360; Matsum., Ind. Pl. Jap. 2 (1905) 40. - A. cryptatherus Koidz. ssp. submuticus Koidz., Bot. Mag. Tokyo 39 (1925) 301, comb. ill. - T y p e: Wallich 8836 (BM, K, W; CAL, n.v.; IDC 7394) Nepal. See note 6.
Andropogon nudus Nees ex Steud., Syn. 1 (July 1854) 383. - A. nudus Hochst., Flora 39 (1856) 188; Benth. ex C. B. Clarke, J. Linn. Soc. Bot. 25 (1889) 86, pro spec. nov.; Bor, Grasses (1960) 101; Fl. Iran. 70 (1970) 534; Gill., Rev. Fl. Mal., 3 Gram. (1971) 287; Jain, J. Ind. Bot. Soc. 51 (1972) 178, pl. 15; Mehra \& Kalia, Taxon 24 (1975) 511. - A. ciliaris Beauv. ssp. nudus Hack., Mon. Androp. (1889) 356; Bull. Hb. Boiss. II, 4 (1904) 527; Matsum., Ind. Pl. Jap. 2 (1905) 40; Hack., Philip. J. Sc. 1, Suppl. (1906) 266; Merr., Philip. J. Sc. 1, Suppl. (1906) 333; Matsumara \& Hayata, J. Coll. Sc. Imp. Univ. Tokyo 22 (1906) 523. - A. cryptatherus Koidz. ssp. nudus Koidz., Bot. Mag. Tokyo 39 (1925) 301, comb. ill. - A. hispidus Makino var. nudus Ohwi, Bull. Tokyo Sc. Mus. 18 (1947) 1.-S y ntypes: Wallich 8834 A (K, P, n.v.); Wallich 8835 A (BM, C, K, W; CAL, P, n.v.); Wallich 8835 B (K, P, n.v.) India, Tavoy. IDC 7394. See note 2.
Lucaea vriesii Buse in Miq., Pl. Jungh. (Aug 1854) 366. - A. ciliaris Beauv. ssp. vriesii Hack., Mon. Androp. (1889) 358. - A. hispidus Makino forma vriesii Back., Handb. Fl. Java 2 (1928) 75, status here appointed. - A. quartinianus Nash ssp. vriesii Henr., Blumea 4 (1941) 525; Monod de F. in Back. \& Bakh. f., Fl. Java 3 (1969) 606. - A. hispidus Makino var. vriesii Ohwi, Bull. Tokyo Sc. Mus. 18 (1947) 1.-S y ntypes: Junghuhn s.n. (L, no. 903.342-359-362, 908.84-76) Java, Tjibogo. See note 3 b .

Lucaea major Hochst. ex Steud., Syn. 1 (Nov 1854) 414. - A. major Hochst., Flora 39 (1856) 188. Pleuroplitis major Regel, Bull. Ac. St. Petersb. 10 (1866) 370, t. 10, f. 13, 14, non Miq. (1857).-T y p e: Schimper 1829 (P, n.v.; i s o in A) Abyssinia.
Lucaea violacea Steud., Syn. 1 (Nov 1854) 414. - A. violaceus Hochst., Flora 39 (1856) 189; Benth. ex C. B. Clarke, J. Linn. Soc. Bot. 25 (1889) 86, pro comb. nov. - T y pe: Wallich 8833 A (P, n.v.; is o in K; CAL, n.v.; IDC 7394) Nepal.
A. coloratus Hochst., Flora 39 (1856) 188. - Pleuroplitis quartiniana Regel var. tenella Regel, Bull. Ac. St. Petersb. 10 (1866) 377. - A. ciliaris Beauv. var. coloratus Hack., Mon. Androp. (1889) 357, nom. superfl.; Dur. \& Sch., Consp. Fl. Afr. 5 (1895) 703. - T y p e: Schimper 1532 (TUB?, n.v.; is o in L, P, W) Ábyssinia. See note 10 .
A. japonicus Miq., Ann. Mus. Bot. Lugd. Bat. 2 (1866) 288 ('japonicum'); Prol. Fl. Jap. (1867) 176 ('japonicum').-S y ntypes: Burgers.n.(L, no. 908.84-29); Pierot s.n. (L, no. 908.84-56); Siebold s.n. (L, no. 908.84-79); Textor s.n. (L, no. 908.84-79) Japan.

Pleuroplitis langsdorffii Trin. var. breviseta Regel, Bull. Ac.St. Petersb. 10 (1866) 374, t. 10, f. 6-10.-A. hispidus Makino var. brevisetus Hara, Bot. Mag. Tokyo 52 (1937) 186. - A. hispidus Makino forma brevisetus Ohwi, Acta Phytotax. \& Geobot. 11 (1942) 164. - T y p e: Maximowicz s.n. (LE) Japan, Hakodate.
Pleuroplitis langsdorffii Trin. var. caucasica Rupr. ex Regel, Bull. Ac. St. Petersb. 10 (1866) 373. Pleuroplitis caucasica Rupr. ex Trautv., Acta Hort. Petrop. 9, I (1884) 361. - A. caucasicus Tzvel., Not. Syst. U.R.S.S. 22 (1963) 55. - T y p e: Ruprecht s.n. (LE, n.v.) Prov. Caucasicus inter Quarelı et Sazchenis in graminosis (ex descr.). See note 9.
Pleuroplitis langsdorffii Trin. var. chinensis Regel, Bull. Ac. St. Petersb. 10 (1866) 375. - T y p e: Hance s.n. (LE, n.v.) China, prope Whampoa (ex descr.).

Pleuroplitis langsdorffii Trin. var. japonica Regel, Bull. Ac. St. Petersb. 10 (1866) 373. - A. hispidus Makino var. japonicus Hack. ex Mori, Enum. Pl. Corea (1922) 38. - A. hispidus Makino forma japonicus Ohwi, Acta Phytotax. \& Geobot. 11 (1942) 164. -T y p e: Maximowicz s.n. (LE; is o in K, L, W) Japan, prope Hakodate.
Pleuroplitis langsdorffii Trin. var. submutica Regel, Bull. Ac. St. Petersb. 10 (1866) 373, t. 10, f. 5. - 4. ciliaris Beauv. var. cryptatherus Hack., Mon. Androp. (1889) 355, nom. nov., non var. submuticus Hack., 1889; Makino, Bot. Mag. Tokyo 10 (1896) 66; Hack., Bull. Hb. Boiss. 7 (1899) 642; Matsum., Ind. Pl. Jap. 2 (1905) 39; Hitchc. \& Chase, Man. Grasses U.S., ed. 2 (1950) 748, f. 1138 + map, 824. A. hispidus Makino var. cryptatherus Honda, Bot. Mag. Tokyo 39 (1925) 277, nom. superfl.; Hitchc., U.S. Dep. Agric., Bull. 772 (1936) 277; Walker, Fl. Okinawa (1976) 237. - A. cryptatherus Koidz., Bot. Mag. Tokyo 39 (1925) 301, nom. superfl. - A. langsdorffii Hochst. var. cryptatherus Kom., Fl. U.S.S.R. 2 (1934) 13, nom. superfl. - A. langsdorffii Hochst. var. submuticus Grossh., Trudy Bot. Inst. Azerb. Fil. Akad. Nauk S.S.S.R. 8 (1939) 117, n.v.-S y t y pes: Maximowicz s.n. (A, BM, K, L, LE, W) Japonica, prope Hakodate; Maximowicz s.n. (W; LE, n.v.) Japonica, prope Jukohuma. See note 4.
Pleuroplitis quartiniana Regel var. caespitosa Regel, Bull. Ac. St. Petersb. 10 (1866) 377.—S y nty pes: Schmidt s.n. (LE, n.v.) India orientalis, Nilgherries; Schimper 1829 p.p. (LE, n.v.) Abyssinia, province Schire, in montibus Cojetanis (ex descr.).
A. ciliaris Beauv. var. australis Benth., Fl. Austr. 7 (1878) 524 ('ciliare var. australe'); Hack., Mon. Androp. (1889) 355; Metcalfe, Anato. Mon. 1 (1960) 43. - T y p e: C. Stuart s.n. (K; is o in BM) Australia, New South Wales, New England.
A. ciliaris Beauv. var.? tenellus Benth., Fl. Austr. 7 (1878) 524. - T y p e: Bailey s.n. (K?, n.v.) Australia, Queensland, Tawomba (ex descr.).
[Batratherum plumbeum Duthie in Atk., Gaz. N.W. Prov. India 10 (1882) 638 ('Batrarotherum'), nom., non Nees (1835)]. - T y p e: Strachey \& Winterbottom 2 (K?, n.v.) Himalaya (ex descr.).
A. breviaristatus Hack., Mon. Androp. (1889) 350; Hook. f., Fl. Br. Ind. 7 (1897) 144; Rendle, J. Linn. Soc. Bot. 36 (1904) 359; Bor, Fl. Assam 5 (1940) 376; Jain, J. Ind. Bot. Soc. 51 (1972) 165, pl. I; Mehra \& Kalia, Taxon 24 (1975) 511. -S y nt y pes: Delavay 1811 (P, n.v.) China, Yunnan, prope Ki-mise, as rivulos montium; Hook.f. \& T. Thomson s.n. (K, L, W) India orientalis in montium Khasia regio temporale inter $1300-2000 \mathrm{~m}$. See note 6 .
A. ciliaris Beauv. var. glabrescens Hack., Mon. Androp. (1889) 357. - A. quartinianus Nash var. glabrescens Jain, J. Ind. Bot. Soc. 51 (1972) 180, pl. 14. -S y n t y pes: Hook.f. \& T. Thomsons.n. (L, W; K, n.v.) Himalaya, Khasia; Wallich 8833 C (K, L, W, IDC 7394) Nepal. See note 7.
A. ciliaris Beauv. var. hookeri Hack., Mon. Androp. (1889) 357. - A. hispidus Makino var. hookeri Honda, J. Fac. Sc. Imp. Univ. Tokyo III, Bot. 3, 1 (1930) 329. - A. hookeri Henr., Blumea 4 (1941) 526; Bor, Grasses (1960) 99; Jain, J. Ind. Bot. Soc. 51 (1972) 174. - T y p e: Hook.f. \& T. Thomson s.n. (W; is o in A, L; K, n.v.) India, Sikkim, regio tropical. See note 8.
A. inermis Hook. f., Fl. Br. Ind. 7 (1897) 145; Bharucha \& Satyanarayan, Vegetatio (1954) 132; Jain, J.

Ind. Bot. Soc. 51 (1972) 174. - A. inermis Hook.f. var. inermis: Jain, J. Ind. Bot. Soc. 51 (1972) 174, pl 5. - T y pe: Woodrow 189 (K) India, Deccan. See note 6.

Dimeria scrobiculata C. B. Clarke ex Koord., Exk. Fl. Java 1 (1911) 102; Atlas 1 (1913) f. 35. Syntypes:C.B.Clarke 17481 B(BO, n.v.) India, Khasia, 1300 m ; Ottolander 358 (BO) Java, Jojen, Kajumas, 1000 m .
A. pauciflorus Honda, Bot. Mag. Tokyo 39 (1925) 276; J. Fac. Sc. Imp. Univ. Tokyo III, Bot. 3, 1 (1930) 329; Hsu, Fl. Taiwan 5 (1978) 620. - T y p e: Sasaki 22 (TI) Formosa, insula Kotosho.
A. pauciflorus Honda var. muticus Honda, Bot. Mag. Tokyo 39 (1925) 277; J. Fac. Sc. Imp. Univ. Tokyo III, Bot. 3, 1 (1930) 329. - A. hispidus Makino var. muticus Ohwi, Acta Phytotax. \& Geobot. 11 (1942) 173; Masamune, Sc. Rep. Kanazawa Univ. 4 (1956) 218. - T y p e: Sasaki 28 (TI) Formosa, insula Kotosho.
A. hispidus Makino var. microphyllus Honda, Bot. Mag. Tokyo 45 (1931) 43. - T y p e: Tsukamotos.n., 1931 (TI) Japan, Hondo, province Suruga, Gotemba.
A. kobuna Honda, Bot. Mag. Tokyo 49 (1935) 697. - A. hispidus Makino forma kobuna Ohwi, Acta Phytotax. \& Geobot. 11 (1942) 164. - T y pe Mayebara 349 (TI) Japan, Kyushu, Province Higo, Nishize.
A. hispidus Makino var. hispidissimus Honda, Bot. Mag. Tokyo 49 (1935) 698. - A. hispidus Makino forma hispidissimus Ohwi, Acta Phytotax. \& Geobot. 11 (1942) 164. - T y p e: Takahashi 42 (II, n.v.) Japan, Kyushu, Province Higo, Kugino (ex descr., I unfortunately did not ask for this specimen).
A. mauritianus Stapf ex Hub., Kew Bull. (1939) 653. - T y p e: Ayres 47 (K) Mauritius, Mount Ponce, near the summit and at Chateau d'Eau. See note 5.
A. pallidus Henr., Blumea 4 (1941) 526; Reeder, J. Arn. Arb. 29 (1948) 380 (spec. dub.); Henty, Bot. Bull., Lae 1 (1969) 32. - T y p e: Clemens s.n., 20 Nov 1935 (L) New Guinea, Morobe district, Sattelberg, hills about mission houses, 3000 ft . See note 11.
A. hispidus Makino forma macranthus Ohwi, Acta Phytotax. \& Geobot. 11 (1942) 164 (pro var. in clave). - T y p e: Hatusima 3 (KYO) Japan, K yushu, Chikuza, Ainoshima. See note 1.
A. hispidus Makino forma formosanus Ohwi, Acta Phytotax. \& Geobot. 11 (1942) 164. - T y p e: Faurie 87 (TI, n.v.; is o in BM, KYO) Formosa, Tamsui.
A. hispidus Makino forma riukiuensis Ohwi, Acta Phytotax. \& Geobot. 11 (1942) 164. - T y pe: Takamine 675 (KYO) Ryukyu islands, Miyako, Taruamjuna.
A. okamotoi Ohwi, Acta Phytotax. \& Geobot. 11 (1942) 165. - T y p e: Okamoto s.n. (K YO) Formosa, Kaohsiung, Chishan. See note 13a.
A. hispidus Makino var. monticola Hiyama, J. Jap. Bot. 22 (1948) 56. - A. hispidus Makino forma monticola Hiyama, J. Jap. Bot. 33 (1958) 192. - T y p e: Hiyama s.n. (MAK?, n.v.) Japan, Hondo, Kodyuke (ex descr.; this species if indeed in MAK was presently unavailable, Prof. Kasaki in litt.).
A. antsirabensis A. Camus, Bull. Soc. Bot. Fr. 95 (1948) 149; Bosser, Gram. Madagascar (1969) 231, pl. 212. - Sy ntypes: Perrier de la Bâthie 11155 (P) Madagascar, Antsirabe, 1500 m , haies, fossés; Catat 370 (P) Madagascar, Andraraty; Hb. Jardin Tananarive (P) Itasy. See note 5.
A. quartinianus Nash var. monostachyus Jansen, Reinwardtia 2 (1953) 231. - T y p e: Elbert 1194 (L) Lesser Sunda Islands, Lombok, Rindjani Vulcano, North side, Putihdal, Barranco of Rindjanicaldeira, 2000-2400 m. See note 12.
A. nitidulus Stapf ex Bor, Grasses (1960) 101, 688; Jain, J. Ind. Bot. Soc. 51 (1972) 178, pl. 4. - T y p e: Ritchie 796 a (K) India, Belgaum. See note 6.
[A. quartinianus Nash var. montanus Jac.-Fél., Gram. Afr. Trop. 1 (1962) 292, pl. 229, nom.]. - T y p e: Jacques-Félix 2775 (P) Cameroon, Mount Bamboutos, 2000 m.
A. satarensis Almeida, J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc. 66 (1969) 515; emend. Deshpande \& Hemadri, Bull. Bot. Surv. Ind. 12 (1970) 274; Jain, J. Ind. Bot. Soc. 51 (1972) 181. - T y p e: McCann or Anonymous 8 (BLAT, n.v.; is o in K) India, Satara, Keshyaturda. See note 7.
Andropogon lanceolatus auct. non Roxb.: Baker, Fl. Maur. \& Seych. (1877) 444.
Andropogon retzii auct. non Steud.: Steud., Syn. 1 (1854) 375, excl. syn. Retz.
Ischaemum ciliare auct. non Retz.: Kunth, Enum. Pl. 1 (1833) 513; Steud., Nom. Bot., ed. 2, 1 (1840) 825.
Pleuroplitis major auct. non Miq.: Miq., Fl. Ind. Bat. 3 (1857) 481, pro Wight KD 2589. (See also var. robustior Welzen).

Herb, up to $45(-100) \mathrm{cm}$ high. Leaf-blades $0.8-7.4$ by $0.2-0.8 \mathrm{~cm}$, margin in lower 0.3( -0.8 )th with bulbous-based hairs. Peduncle $0.15-0.8 \mathrm{~mm} \varnothing$. Inflorescence $0.4-8.1$ by $0.2-5.3 \mathrm{~cm}$. Joints $1.1-7.3 \mathrm{~mm}$, about $0.55-0.9$ times as long as the spikelet, glabrous to pilose to rarely long pilose all over. Spikelets ovatelanceolate to ovate-linear-lanceolate, $1.9-7.9$ by $0.4-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Lower glume
$1.7-7.7$ by $0.3-1.4 \mathrm{~mm}$, dorsally smooth or with spicules along the nerves (sometimes also in between); upper glume 2.5-7.5 by $0.3-1.4 \mathrm{~mm}$. Lower lemma always present. Anthers 2 or rarely 3. Pedicelled spikelet sometimes present as a vestigial lower glume up to 2.5 by $0.4 \mathrm{~mm}, 3-6$-nerved, rarely well-developed (see also notes 8 and 13 b ).

Distribution: Central and East Africa; Madagascar; Mauritius; Caucasus; South-, South-East- and East Asia; Japan; Malesia (Borneo excepted); CentralEast Australia. Introduced in Hawaii and Eastern North- and Central America.

Ecology: On open to shaded grasslands, woodclearings, waste areas. Often as a weed along rice-fields and in deserted plantations. Also found along sea-shores. On moist to dry, not very fertile soil. Usually scattered, but can be locally abundant. From sealevel up to 2850 m alt.

Vernacular names: Java: jukut garingsing, kakawatang (Sund.); blabahan alit, roem terik (Jav.); pěri-pěrijan (Mad.). Lesser Sunda I.: remang n-g'kor (Flores, Manggerai). Philippines: hanion (Ifugoa); timi-timi (Bon.). New Guinea: fyfyluwe (Dani); giri maning (?); gjag, mabaliok, peembina (Enga); den dunkiyp (Wola); era kalkari (Hagen); kandegrumuk kandig, kandig kundul (Togoba dialect, Hagan); pingo (?); suinswa (Yoowi dialect, Hagen-Chimbu); baganeh, nilbaganeh (Masul dialect, Chimbu); morimorisi, narunaha(h) (Kefamo dialect, Asaro); nanoroheh, sitripu (Dunantina); hangarnohoh (Miruma); kindjeba, kinzebakru, tuntewa, tuntewambang (Minj dialect, Wahgi); hitilifa (Okapa); humpyeya (Tairora); andurapata (Manki); tuandugu (Daga). Japan: kobuna-gusa, siro kobunagusa.

U s e s: According to Backer (1950) of little to no importance as a fodder, because of its low feeding value and low yield. Also used for rough thatching on temporary shelters (Papua New Guinea, Chimbu).

Collector's notes: Plant $15-100 \mathrm{~cm}$ high, pale-green. Blades midgreen, black-green. Culms maroon, reddish. Inflorescence greenish purple, brown. Joints purplish. Spikelets white, grey, green, green tinged purplish, red, reddish green, reddish purple, purple, mauve, yellow, yellowish green, brownish black. Awn purple. Stamens yellow. Stigmas violet, pale yellow. Fruit white.

Chromosomenumbers: $\mathrm{n}=5$ (Sindhe e.a., 1975, cf. A. quartinianus; curious count); $\mathrm{n}=9$ (Mehra \& Kalia, 1975, cf. A. nudus and A. breviaristatus); n $=18$ (Gould \& Soderstrom, 1970, cf. A. quartinianus; Hsu, 1971, cf. A. hispidus; Pohl \& Davidse, 1971, cf. A. quartinianus; Dujardin, 1979, cf. A. quartinianus).

N otes: This species is most variable and has a wide distribution. It is therefore not surprising that many extremes have been described as separate taxa. As usual after a revision these turn out to be linked by all possible intermediates and none but three can be maintained. In habit for instance there is a gradual change: specimens from New Guinea have robust culms which sparingly branch mainly at base if at all and have large inflorescences with relatively few spikelets. The latter have welldeveloped, protruding awns. From New Guinea going northwards through Malesia, South-East Asia, India, and China to the Caucasus and from China through Japan to America, the plants gradually become more branched, more slender and smaller, while the inflorescences also diminish in size, but bear relatively

Fig. 1. A. hispidus var. hispidus (Chand 7969). - a. Habitus, $\times \frac{1}{2}$. - b. Spikelet, $\times 12$. $\mathbf{c}$. Upper glume, $\times 12 .-\mathrm{d}$. Upper lemma, $\times 12$. e . Lower lemma, $\times 12$. f . Lower glume, $\times 12$.

more spikelets. The awn decreases in length, becomes even included by the glumes and in occasionally completely absent.

In the follwing notes some of the names most often encountered in literature are briefly discussed, as well as some curious collections. The sequence of the notes is determined by the diminishing acceptibility of the names.

1) Ohwi (1942) described for Japan forma macranthus, with larger and more robust, longer-awned spikelets. Within Japan this form is apparently very distinct, because of these larger dimensions, but in regard to the total area of distribution, all measurements show overlap. Even in Japan, Mayebara 349 (TI) has spikelets which look exactly like var. macranthus but are smaller and with a shorter awn (from a more northern region, what might explain the smaller dimensions; see preceding paragraph). This similarity may indicate that more Japanese material, than now was available, might supply the intermediate forms. It may be noted that this variety is deleted in the Flora of Japan by Ohwi (1965) himself.

Representative specimens: Hatusima 3 (KYO) and Nakasima 339 (K YO).
2) A.nudus is distinct from A. hispidus by longer, glabrous joints, about as long as the relatively more slender spikelets; together joint and spikelet have a linearrectangular outline. The lower glume has few and small spicules in the uppermost part only, while $A$. hispidus has more densely spiculate glumes. Finally the spikelets of $A$. nudus disarticulate more easily, while the rachis may be more persistent. Anthers 2 or 3.

Some representative specimens: India: Clarke 3413/ A(W)Singhboom; 40958 B(W) Naga hills; Hook. f. \& T. Thomson s.n. (A, W) Khasia; Wallich 8835 A (BM, C, W) Tavoy. Sikkim: Clarke 36587 A (BM); Kurz s.n. (A). Thailand: Kerr 2774 (BM); 9421 (BM). Sumatra: Bünnemeijer 4326 (L). Malaya: Corner s.n. (BM, L). Java: Kurz s.n. (L). Philippines: Merrill 102 (K) Luzon.

This form is quite distinct in India, where the 'typical' A. hispidus usually has hairy, relatively short joints and more densely spiculate glumes. But going from India towards Malesia the distinction becomes more and more troublesome and many intermediates are encountered. Here A. hispidus shows a tendency to more glabrous relatively longer joints and less-spiculate glumes. These many intermediate forms forced me to unite $A$. hispidus with $A$. nudus, although some formal recognition remains tempting.
3) A. micans ( $=$ A. cuspidatus) has always been separated from $A$. hispidus because of the pubescence of the joint. A. micans would have pilose joints and $A$. hispidus glabrous to subglabrous ones (Bor, 1960; Jain, 1972). The same character, together with the length of the stipe is also used to divide $A$. ciliaris (incl. $A$. langsdorffii) into species or infra-specific taxa (e.g. Hackel, 1889; Backer, 1928):
a) A. ciliaris s.s.: joints glabrous or nearly so; stipe usually long. Representative specimens: Himalaya: Heybroek 77 (L); Nepal: Wallich 8833 C (L, W); India: Hook f. \& T. Thomson s.n. (A, W); Malaya: Corner s.n., 2 ) Nov. 1941 (BM, K, L).
b) A. ciliaris ssp. vriesii: joints pilose in the lower half; stipe usually long. Representative specimens: Tanzania: Schlieben 1030 (B); Thailand: Sфrensen e.a. 592 (C); Java: Bakh.f. 6429 (L), Junghuhn s.n. (L); Philippines: Merrill 9656 (K, P).
c) A. micans = A. ciliaris ssp. quartinianus or A. quartinianus: joints pilose all over or nearly so; stipe minute. Representative specimens: Zaire: German 3596 (B); Tanzania: Hitchcock 24662 (L); N. Vietnam: Balansa 1739 (L); Java: Buwalda 7390 (L).

In Africa all plants have the joints more or less completely pilose and belong to group c, but in India and especially in Malesia partly pilose (group b) and completely glabrous joints (group a) occur together with intermediate stadia. Moreover, in a number of specimens the rate of pubescence may range from glabrous to subglabrous at the base of the inflorescence (type a), through type b, to densely pubescent near the apex (type c), these plants are therefore impossible to place. Every length of the stipe occurs together with all kinds of pubescence and offers no clue either. Clayton (litt.) suggested to reduce A. micans to a subspecies of A. hispidus because of the intermediates, but in my opinion there are too many of them, and therefore A. micans can not be distinguished in any satisfactory way from A. hispidus.
4) Forms with small, usually included awns have been distinguished as var. cryptatherus. Actually, the type-specimens of $A$. hispidus and A. ciliaris have similar small awns. Such plants occur more often in the northern and southern, more temperate zones and there seems to be some correlation with latitude. The length of the awn and the proportion enclosed by the glumes changes only gradually, however, so that no taxonomic limit can be drawn. In the temperate areas, especially Japan, plants with exserted and hidden awns are apparently found together as collections occasionally are a mixture of both types.

[^1]5) A. antsirabensis has been described from Madagascar and A. mauritianus from Mauritius. The first has robust, almost glabrous spikelets; the second has rather small sessile spikelets which are often accompanied by a pedicelled vestigial lower glume. On both islands collections are very homogeneous and show little variation, a common feature of island populations, which probably accounts for their separation from $A$. hispidus. Outside these islands the 'antsirabensis'-form is especially found in Papua New Guinea (no doubt of polytopic origin, but morphologically inseparable). The 'mauritius'-form is found here and there within the rest of the area of distribution.

Representative specimens from outside these islands are:
A. antsirabensis: Nepal: Nishioka 905 (KYO); India: Clarke 24837 B (W); Japan: Mayebara 349 (TI); Philippines: Loher s.n. (K); Lesser Sunda I.: Monod de Froideville 1756 (L); New Guinea: McKee 1314 (L).
A. mauritianus: Ethiopia: Schimper 1438 (A, BM, L, W); India: Anonymous 399 (A).
6) Several species, i.e. A. breviaristatus, A. inermis, $A$. nitidulus and $A$. submuticus have been based on plants with 3 anthers per flower ('true $A$. hispidus' having 2). $A$. breviaristatus looks like the 'real' $A$. hispidus, but with a somewhat shorter awn; $A$. nitidulus looks like the former, but has more plump spikelets; $A$. submuticus has no awn and $A$. inermis has relatively small spikelets [ $2.5-3(-3.5) \mathrm{mm}$, cf. var. tzvelevii (nomina dubia)]. Of all these forms I have only seen one or two specimens which could be attributed to these 'species'; this is partly due to the fact that the anthers are usually absent, as they are soon deciduous after ejection at anthesis.

If the presence of three anthers is considered as an exceptional, but possible feature of $A$. hispidus (like Jain, 1972, in his concept of A.quartinianus and A. nudus),
then there is no other way to separate the species mentioned above from $A$. hispidus on any taxonomic level. Short or absent awns are northern and southern forms (see note 4), A. nitidulus is otherwise identical with 'normal' $A$. hispidus, and the small spikelets of $A$. inermis are found among many 2 -anthered specimens from Ethiopia, India, and Japan.

[^2]7) Jain (1972) separated A. satarensis Almeida from A. quartinianus var. glabrescens in his key by spikelets $5.5-6.25 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, pedicel ( $=$ stipe) present or absent; versus spikelets c. 4.5 mm long, pedicels present. Unfortunately he based himself on an incorrectly emended description by Deshpande \& Hemadri (1970), based on specimens collected by themselves. These specimens are slightly different from Almeida's type. Thus, when using Jain's key, Almeida's type-specimen actually keys out to A. quartinianus var. glabrescens! When the Indian material is arranged according to the length of the spikelets no disjunctions can be shown; length may therefore not be used in the delimitation of taxa. The absence or presence of the stipe is equally too variable to be of any taxonomic use here or elsewhere in Arthraxon. For the same reasons Jain's distinction of A. quartinianus var. quartinianus (spikelets c. 3 mm long; pedicels absent) cannot be accepted.

Representative specimens:
A. satarensis: India: Hemadri 106807 (K, L); McCann/Anonymous 8 (K)
A. quartinianus: see note 3c (A. micans).
8) A. hookeri has rather long glumes ( $5-6.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), covered often by rows of aristate spicules on and between the nerves and $A$. hookeri has rather small anthers (see also Henrard, 1941). The first character is also typical for A. satarensis (see note 7), and cannot be used for any taxonomical distinction; the length of the anthers shows no disjunctions either and is also useless as a character for delimitation.

Jain (1972) reported that pedicelled spikelets are usually present. In the specimens I have studied, I have observed this a few times in plants which are typical $A$. hispidus (see also note 13a, b).

Representative specimens: Sikkim: Hook. f. \& T. Thomson s.n. (A, L, W).
9) A. centrasiaticus, A. caucasicus, and $A$. langsdorffii are distinguished from each other by the pubescence of the leaf-blades: the first two are pubescent on both sides, the third is glabrous but for the setose to ciliate margin. In general it may be said that the indument is very variable in this species and cannot be relied upon as to distinguish taxa.

According to Tzvelev (1963), A. centrasiaticus differs from A. caucasicus by longer spicules on the glumes; it occurs at lower altitudes in Central Asia, while $A$. caucasicus is found at higher altitudes in the Caucasus, i.e. a disjunct area is present. The size of the spicules is again very variable within the complex and seems untrustworthy as a distinctive character. I can have no well-founded opinion on the difference between these two 'species'; but judging the collections it is impossible to apply the names without knowing the provenance. The attempt to distinguish $A$.
caucasicus from A. centrasiaticus seems therefore due to an overrated appraisal of disjunct areas in space and elevation. According to Probatova (1979) there is now a connecting railway between the Baykal and Amur area and A. centrasiaticus is found along it. It would be interesting to see what the result of an intermingling of the so-called species will be.

Representative specimens:
A. caucasicus: Turkey: Balansa 1546 (L); U.S.S.R.: Woronov 149 (W).
A. centrasiaticus: U.S.S.R.: Schrenk s.n. (W).
A. langsdorffii: U.S.S.R.: Desoulavi 2951 A (A, L, W).
10) A. coloratus would be distinct by having reddish purple spikelets. In fact this colouration is quite common and occurs usually in various gradations on the sides of the spikelets turned towards the sun. This has therefore more to do with the illumination of the plant and the maturity of the spikelet than with taxonomy. It is not surprising that such forms are most common in tropical Africa and Malesia.

## Representative specimens:

Ethiopia: Schimper 1532 (L, P, W); India: Hook.f. \& T. Thomson s.n. (A, W); Java: Breemen s.n., 19 July 1925 (L); Lesser Sunda I.: Elbert 1720 (L); Celebes: Bünnemeijer 11326 (L); Philippines: Merrill 11695 (L, P, W).
11) A. pallidus is based on poor, wilted pale specimens, possibly from shaded poor soil. It is only known from Papua New Guinea ( 2 collections: Clemens s.n., the type; and Pullen 1623 which appears to have gained its colour by the way it was dried; both in L). Except for the pale colour there is no way to distinguish them.
12) A. quartininanus var. monostachyus is also based on depauperate specimens, in which only one spike is developed. Such famine-forms merit no distinction.

Representative specimens: Japan: Tsukamoto s.n., 1931 (TI); Lesser Sunda I.: Elbert 1194, 1230, 1720 (L).
13) Some other noteworthy aberrations are:
a) The uppermost spikelets of the type of A.okamotoi (Okamoto s.n., KYO) and of several plants from Mauritius ( $A$. mauritianus) are accompanied by a stipe with a minute glume, the remnant of the pedicelled spikelet which is otherwise not developed in this species (See also note 8).
b) One of several specimens collected by Pullen (3012, L), from Papua New Guinea, has in the top of the spikes either normal, solitary, uni-aristate sessile spikelets; or solitary, bi-aristate sessile spikelets were the lower lemma bears a perfect c. 7.5 mm long awn, also; or paired spikelets, the sessile ones uni-aristate, the pedicelled ones well-developed, uni-aristate with glumes and lemmas. In the latter no anthers were seen, nor lodicules, but those may have been present; there were no ovaries.
c) A plant from Japan, Nakai 2819 (K YO), has the awn inserted about halfway the lemma, contrary to the generic definition.
b. var. junnarensis (Jain \& Hemadri) Welzen, stat. nov., comb. nov. - Fig. 5, Map 2.
A. junnarensis Jain \& Hemadri, J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc. 68 (Apr. 1971) 300; Jain, J. Ind. Bot. Soc. 51 (1972) 175, pl. 16. - T у p e: Hemadri 106849 (CAL, n.v.; is o in K, L; BSI, LE, MO, n.v.) India, Maharashtra state, Poona district, Warsubai plateau, 16 km West of Junnar.
A. pusillus Bor, J. Ind. Bot. Soc. 50 a (Dec 1971) 92. —T y p e: Vesey-Fitzgerald 12364/7 (BM; is o in K) Arabia, Dhufar, Jabel Qara.


Fig. 2-7.Spikelets. All $\times$ 12. - Fig. 2. A. castratus (Backer 36021). - Fig. 3. A. depressus (Wight KD 3372, t y pe).-Fig. 4. A. hispidus var. hispidus (Wallich 8835 a, t y peof A. nudus). - Fig. 5. A. hispidus var. junnarensis (Radcliffe-Smith 5092 a). - Fig. 6. A. hispidus var. santapaui (Santapau 11450, 1 y p e). - Fig. 7. A. jubatus (McCann 9787).

Herb up to 31 cm high. Leaf-blades $0.6-2.4$ by $0.2-0.7 \mathrm{~cm}$; margin in lower 0.3 d with bulbous-based hairs. Peduncle c. $0.2 \mathrm{~mm} \varnothing$. Inflorescence $0.7-1.7$ by $0.2-0.8$ cm . Joints $0.6-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, about $0.6-0.8$ times as long as the spikelet, glabrous to sparsely pilose all over. Spikelets ovate-oblong, 1-1.8 by $0.3-0.6 \mathrm{~mm}$. Lower glume $0.9-1.6$ by $0.2-0.4 \mathrm{~mm}$, on the back in upper $0.5-0.7$ th part with small spicules on the nerves; upper glume $0.9-1.7$ by $0.3-0.4 \mathrm{~mm}$. Lower lemma sometimes absent. Anthers $2,0.2-0.4$ by c. 0.1 mm . Pedicelled spikelet absent.

## Distribution: Muscat \& Oman (Dhufar); India (Maharashtra).

This is a strange, disjunct distribution, maybe either the result of an introduction in either Arabia or in India, or due to the desiccation of the intermediary area (the Middle East was thousands of years still green and offered a suitable dispersal area). The var. santapaui shows the same distribution. Clayton \& Panigrahi, Kew Bull. 29 (1974) divided the distribution patterns of several Indian grasses into classes. The distribution of var. junnarensis and var. santapaui forms a small part of theirSaharaSindian Region (p. 675, map p. 679).

E cology: On floor of monsoon forest; in storied wadi-beds, on open glades; in zone of transition from grassland to woodland; on open plateau in shade of Euphorbia neriifolia L. bushes. Sometimes a co-dominant grass. $90-1000 \mathrm{~m}$ alt.

Collector's notes: Much-branched grass up to 25 cm high. Culm wiry, purplish. Leaves pale-green to green. Spikes tawny, light-green.

N ot e: The Indian plants of this variety differ somewhat from the Arabian ones. The first often lack the lower lemma and have somewhat larger, more herbaceous glumes; while the latter always possess a lower lemma and have smaller, more chartaceous glumes.
c. var. robustior Welzen, nom. nov., stat. nov. - Fig. 15, 16, Map 2.

Lucaea typica Buse in Miq., Pl. Jungh. (Aug. 1854) 467. - L. junghuhnii Nees ex Steud., Syn. 1 (Nov 1854) 414, nom. superfl. - A. junghuhnii Hochst., Flora 39 (1856) 189, nom. superfl.; Hack., Mon. Androp. (1889) 349. - Pleuroplitis major Arn. ex Miq., Fl. Ind. Bat. 3 (1857) 481 (excl. Wight KD 2589, fide var. hispidus), nom. superfl. - Pl. junghuhnii Nees ex Regel, Bull. Ac. St. Petersb. 10 (1866) 377 (spec. dub.), nom. superfl. - A. typicus Koord., Exk. Fl. Java 1 (1911) 110; Back. \& van Slooten, Theeonkr. (1924) pl. 40; Back., Handb. Fl. Java 2 (1928) 74; Back. in Heyne, Nutt. Pl. ed. 3, 1 (1950) 179; Monod de F. in Back. \& Bakh. f., Fl. Java 3 (1968) 605. - Le c tot y p e: Junghuhn s.n. (L, no. 903.342-356, here proposed) Java, Merapi.
A. Ianceolatus auct. non Hochst.: Koord., Nat. Tijdschr. Ned.-Ind. 62, 3 (1902) 241; Nat. Tijdschr. Ned.Ind. 63 (1904) 38, 50.

Herb up to $65(-200) \mathrm{cm}$ high. Leaf-blades $4.5-19.5$ by $0.9-2.2 \mathrm{~cm}$; margin at least in lower 0.8 th with bulbous-based hairs. Peduncle $0.6-1.3 \mathrm{~mm} \varnothing$. Inflorescence $3.8-11.8$ by $2.2-6 \mathrm{~cm}$. Joints $2.7-6.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, about $0.5-0.8$ times as long as the spikelet, sparsely pilose all over. Spikelets ovate-linear-lanceolate, $5.1-7.8$ by $0.8-1.7 \mathrm{~mm}$. Lower glume $4.3-6.6$ by $0.6-1.1 \mathrm{~mm}$, in upper 0.3 d part with small to 0.4 mm long spicules on the nerves; upper glume $4.9-7.7$ by $0.6-1.1$ mm . Lower lemma always present. Anthers ( 2 or) 3, 2.4-3.5 by $0.3-0.8 \mathrm{~mm}$. Pedicelled spikelet absent.

Distribution: Java (Kedu, Semarang, Surakarta, Madiun, Malang, Besuki); Lesser Sunda Is. (Bali, Flores).

E cology: On sunny to slightly shaded grassy areas and Tjemara-woods, along
road-edges and along terraces. Scattered to locally abundant. $1250-2600 \mathrm{~m}$ alt.
Vernacular names: Java: blabah, blëbah, krěpah, röbö, rumput, sukět kètèh, s. pëkètèh, s. sadar (Jav.); rëbha mar-kalemaran (Mad.). Flores: n-g'kor (Manggerai).

Uses: Where common highly appreciated as a fodder because of the large leaves. Feeding value less than average (Backer, 1950).

Collector's notes: Spikelets pale-green. Lemmas with one purplish margin. Anthers blackish. Stigmas greenish white, hairs purple.

Note: This variety seems distinct because of correlating features, which together give the plants a different aspect than is observed elsewhere in the species.

On the Lesser Sunda Islands specimens have been collected, which appear slightly intermediate with the 'normal' var. hispidus, e.g. by being somewhat smaller and by having two anthers, while in Java there are always three. In Java the smallest plants are found at the highest altitudes and thus also become somewhat similar to var. hispidus.

Wight KD 2589 (K, U) and C. B. Clarke 45720 D (W), both from India, are also robust plants with somewhat larger leaves, but both are otherwise 'typical' var. hispidus.
d. var. santapaui (Bor) Welzen, comb. nov., stat. nov. - Fig. 6, Map 2.
A. santapaui Bor, Kew Bull. 1951 (1952) 446; Grasses (1960) 102; Jain, J. Ind. Bot. Soc. 51 (1972) 181. Type: Santapau 11450 A (K) India, Bombay, Purandhar Fort.

Herb, up to 15 cm high. Leaf-blades $1.7-5.3$ by $0.4-1.5 \mathrm{~cm}$; margin with bulbous-based hairs in the lower $0.3-0.8$ th. Peduncle $c .0 .3 \mathrm{~mm} \varnothing$. Inflorescence $2-4$ by $0.4-1.8 \mathrm{~cm}$. Joints $2.1-3.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, c .0 .4 times as long as the spikelet, densely long (up to 1.7 mm )-pilose all over. Spikelets $\pm$ linear-lanceolate, widest just above the base, $5.4-8.4$ by l-1.1 mm. Lower glume $4.8-7.5$ by $0.7-0.8 \mathrm{~mm}$, dorsally usually densely spiculate all over, also between the nerves; upper glume $5.2-8.3$ by $0.6-0.7 \mathrm{~mm}$. Lower lemma always present. Anthers not seen, probably 2. Pedicelled spikelet absent.

Distribution: Muscat \& Oman (Dhufar); India (Himachal Pradesh, Maharashtra). See also distribution of var. junnarensis.

Ecology: Occasional on floor of monsoon-forest. Co-dominant in open glades, upland-grassland. Sometimes between roots of Ischaemum impressum Hack. $1000-1500 \mathrm{~m}$ alt., probably also at lower altitudes.

Collector's notes: Forming a close, rather low mat over ground.
Note: This variety is distinct by its relatively short, long-pilose joints; large spikelets, and lower glumes which usually are densely spiculate.

## 4. Arthraxon jubatus Hack. - Fig. 7, Map 1.

A. jubatus Hack., Mon. Androp. (1889) 358; Hook. f., Fl. Br. Ind. 7 (1897) 147; Jain, J. Ind. Bot. Soc. 51 (1972) 174, pl. 9. - T y p e: Stocks s.n. (W; iso in L; CAL, n.v.) India, Malabar, Concan, regio tropicalis.

Annual herbs, slender. Culms up to 22 cm high, glabrous; nodes pubescent. Sheaths densely pilose with patent often bulbous-based hairs. Ligule $0.4-0.7 \mathrm{~mm}$
high. Blades $1.4-3.9$ by $0.3-0.6 \mathrm{~cm}$, margins in lower part with bulbous-based hairs, upwards becoming ciliate; upper- and lower surface densely pilose. Peduncle probably not yet present (see note). Inflorescence still enclosed in uppermost sheath. Joints 4-5.1 mm long, distally slightly thickened, laterally with patent bulbousbased hairs. Spikelets in pairs, the pedicelled ones $\sigma^{\circ}$. Sessile spikelets 6-8.4 by $0.8-1.6 \mathrm{~mm}$; callus with $1-1.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ long hairs; between callus and sessile spikelet a short pedicel, 0.4-1 mm long. Glumes unequal, chartaceous except for the margin of the upper glume; lower glume rounded on the back, $5.9-7$ by $0.4-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}, 2-4$ nerved, margins not inflexed, flat, nerves with very small spicules all over; upper glume $6-7.5$ by $0.8-1 \mathrm{~mm}$, 3-nerved, margin $0.3-0.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ wide, glabrous. Lower lemma $1.6-2.2$ by $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$, nerveless; upper lemma $2.2-3.9$ by $0.4-0.6 \mathrm{~mm}$, l-nerved, awn straight, scabridulous, column with 5 coils, $1.8-4.6 \mathrm{~cm}$ long, hardly distinct from the $5.7-9.3 \mathrm{~cm}$ long subule. Palea absent. Lodicules $0.2-0.4$ by $0.2-0.4 \mathrm{~mm}$. Anthers $3,0.7-1.3$ by $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$. Styles $0.9-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long; stigmas $0.6-1.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ long. Caryopsis not seen. Pedicelled spikelet ovate-linear-lanceolate, $3.9-5$ by $0.6-0.8 \mathrm{~mm}$; stipe $1.7-2.6 \mathrm{~mm}$, long-pilose. Glumes usually equal; lower glume $3.9-5$ by $0.3-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, inconspicuously $4-7$-nerved; upper glume $3.8-5$ by $0.3-0.8 \mathrm{~mm}$, 3-nerved. Lower lemma $1.7-2.3$ by $0.1-0.3 \mathrm{~mm}$; upper lemma $0.8-1.8$ by $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$. Lodicules c. 0.2 by 0.2 mm . Anthers 3 , not yet fully developed.

Distribution: India (Maharashtra, Kerala).
E cology: On vertical rocks, in dense clumps or rather forming a close mat on the rocks.

Collector's notes: Blades generally deep brown.
N ot e: All specimens seen still had their inflorescences included in the sheaths. So, either by chance they were all juvenile, or this is actually a distinctive character of this curious taxon.

## 5. Arthraxon lanceolatus (Roxb.) Hochst.

For literature see under the varieties.
Perennial herb, $\pm$ robust, sometimes $\pm$ bulbous-based, than culms usually simple. Cataphylls sometimes present, lanceolate, c. 1.9 by 0.4 cm , apex rounded, silky-pilose. Culms up to 75 cm high, glabrous to puberulous (especially at base); nodes glabrous to pubescent. Sheaths glabrous to sparsely pilose with bulbousbased (often deciduous) hairs, which distally increase in number. Ligule 0.6-4.0 mm high, usually with $0.1-3 \mathrm{~mm}$ long hairs on the margin and sometimes also on the back. Blades $1.1-8.5$ by $0.3-1.9 \mathrm{~cm}$; margins with bulbous-based hairs (rarely in lower 0.3 d only and then ciliate in the upper part); upper- and lower surface either glabrous or puberulous in the throat or puberulous all over (hairs less than 0.1 mm long) to sparsely covered with bulbous-based hairs. Peduncle $5.5-23.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ long, glabrous to shortly appressed (to somewhat patently) pilose. Inflorescence 1.2-9.3 by $0.2-5 \mathrm{~cm}$, with $1-6$ branches and 1 -many spikelets, longest lowest branch $1.2-8.8 \mathrm{~cm}$ long; joints $2.5-5.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, laterally with up to c .3 mm long white hairs, dorsally with rows of short hars. Spikelets in pairs, the pedicelled ones $\sigma^{6}$. Sessile spikelet $4.2-9.5$ by $0.5-2.2 \mathrm{~mm}$; callus with $0.1-2.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ long hairs. Glumes chartaceous, with membraneous margins; lower glume slightly rounded on


Map 3: Distribution of: $=$ A. lanceolatus (Roxb.) Hochst. var. lanceolatus (taxon 5a); $\square=$ var. echinatus (Nees) Hackel (taxon 5 b ); $\star=$ var. meeboldii (Stapf) Welzen (taxon 5 c ); $\mathrm{O}=$ var. raizadae (Jain, Hemadri \& Deshpande) Welzen (taxon 5d).
the back, $4.1-8.9$ by $0.3-1 \mathrm{~mm}$, margins inflexed, glabrous, $5-10$ nerved, marginal and submarginal nerves usually with broad-based spicules, these with or without 1 -several apical stiff hairs; marginal nerves rarely with densely setose wings; other nerves in the upper third with small spicules, rarely all beset with broad-based ones, dorsally sometimes appressed-puberulous or long-pilose; upper glume $4-9.4$ by $0.5-1.3 \mathrm{~mm}$, inconspicuously 3-5-nerved, margins $0.2-0.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ wide. Lower lemma $1.8-4$ by $0.2-0.4 \mathrm{~mm}$, nerveless; upper lemma $2.7-4.9$ by $0.4-0.8 \mathrm{~mm}, 1(-3)$-nerved, column with $2-15$ coils, $4.2-10 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, smooth, subule $3.3-12.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ long. Palea absent. Lodicules $0.2-0.6$ by $0.2-0.6 \mathrm{~mm}$. Anthers ( 2 or) 3, $1.3-4.3$ by $0.2-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Styles $1.3-3.9 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, stigmas $1.1-3.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ long. Caryopsis $2.7-4.9$ by $0.3-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Pedicelled spikelets ovate-linear-lanceolate, $2.7-7.8$ by $0.4-1.2 \mathrm{~mm}$; stipe $1-3.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, long pilose. Glumes usually unequal, margins membraneous; lower glume $2.7-7.8$ by $0.2-0.7$ mm , margins inflexed, 6-11-nerved, marginal nerves with longer spicules than the inner, in between sometimes puberulous or seldomly pilose; upper glume flat on the back, $3.2-7.3$ by $0.2-0.8 \mathrm{~mm}$, inconspicuously 3-5-nerved, chartaceous, except for the $0.1-0.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ wide margin, often with patent to retrorse white soft hairs. Lemmas 0 (or 1)-nerved; lower lemma $1.9-4.9$ by $0.2-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$; upper lemma
$1.2-4.5$ by $0.1-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Lodicules $0.2-0.5$ by $0.2-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Anthers ( 2 or) 3, $1.6-4.8$ by $0.2-0.7 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Note: A. lanceolatus as accepted here shows in India some closely related varieties: var. echinatus, var. meeboldii, var. raizadae, and var. villosus (and maybe A. deccanensis, see nomina dubia). They differ from var. lanceolatus in the armament of the lower glume of the sessile spikelet. These four taxa are maintained here, because the differences with var. lanceolatus are too small to regard them as species, but they are constant and no intermediate forms seem to occur. It must be pointed out, however, that only very few collections of these varieties have been seen from sometimes scattered localities (see distribution of var. echinatus).

The varieties lanceolatus, meeboldii, raizadae, and villosus, from Mysore and Maharashtra, show a kind of evolutionary sequence in the pubescence and scabrousness of the lower glume of the sessile spikelets. In var. lanceolatus there are broad-based spicules on the marginal and submarginal nerves, which bear no hairs or only a single stiff one. In var. meeboldii those spicules bear bundles of stiff hairs. These bundles are also present in var. raizadae of which the glume has a villose back (former two were glabrous or shortly pilose); just like var. villosus, this variety has the broad-based spicules of the marginal nerves (absent on the submarginal) united into wings with many stiff hairs along their margins. The var. echinatus (and possibly $A$. deccanensis) certainly developed from var. lanceolatus, but as they are found in totally different areas of India, it seems logical to assume that they both developed polytopically. Var. echinatus has broad-based spicules on every nerve of the glabrous lower glume of the sessile spikelet, instead of the marginal and submarginal ones only. A. deccanensis is said to have longer broad-based spicules on the marginal and submarginal nerves.

## a. var. lanceolatus. - Fig. 8, Map 3.

A. lanceolatus (Roxb.) Hochst., Flora 39 (1856) 188; Hack., Mon. Androp. (1889) 347 (incl. var. genuinus and subvar. typicus, nom. inval.); O. Ktze, Rev. Gen. PI. 2 (1891) 760 ('lanceolatum'); Dur. \& Sch., Consp. Fl. Afr. 5 (1895) 704; Hook. f., Fl. Br. Ind. 7 (1897) 143; Rendle, J. Linn. Soc. Bot. 36 (1904) 361; Camus, Fl. Gén. I.-C. 7 (1922) 296; Ohwi, Acta Phytotax. \& Geobot. 11 (1942) 163; Bor, Grasses (1960) 100; Gill., Gard. Bull. Sing. 19 (1962) 149; Ohwi, Fl. Japan (1965) 192; Gupta \& Sharma, J. Agr. Trop. Bot. Appl. 18 (1971) 63; Jain, J. Ind. Bot. Soc. 51 (1972) 175, pl. 18; Mehra \& Kalia, Taxon 24 (1975) 511. - Andropogon lanceolatus Roxb., [Hort. Beng. (1814) 6, nom.,] Fl. Ind. 1 (1820) 262. Batratherum lanceolatum Nees, Edinb. New Phil. J. 18 (1835) 181; Duthie in Atk., Gazet. N.W. Prov. India 10 (1882) 638. - T y pe: not indicated; le c toty pe: Roxburgh Icon. Ined. 2019 (K; copy in L; cf. Bor, 1960) India. No specimen received from BM.
Andropogon serrulatus Link, Hort. Berol. 1 (1827) 241. - T y p e: Hb. Link s.n. (B, $\dagger$ ) Patria? (ex descr.). [Batratherum serrulatus Hochst., nom.: auct. div. in syn. -] Andropogon serrulatus Hochst. ex A. Rich., Tent. FI. Abyss. 2 (1851) 458, non Link (1827), nom. superfl., see note 1. - Andropogon prionodes Steud., Syn. 1 (1854) 383; Maxim., Bull. Soc. Imp. Nat. Moscou 54 (1879) 68. - A. serrulatus Hochst., Flora 39 (1856) 188, nom. superfl.; Mattei, Bol. R. Orto Bot. Palermo 9 (1910) 40, pro comb. nov.; Stapf, Fl. Trop. Afr. 9 (1917) 163. - A. lanceolatus Hochst. subvar. serrulatus Hack., Mon. Androp. (1889) 348. - A. lanceolatus Hochst. var. serrulatus Dur. \& Sch., Consp. Fl. Afr. 5 (1895) 704; Fiori, Nuov. Giorn. Bot. Ital. n.s. 47 (1940) 26, pro comb. nov. - A. prionodes Dandy in Andrews, Flow. Pl. Sudan 3 (1956) 399; Tateoka, Am. J. Bot. 52 (1965) 867, pl. 13; Bor, Fl. Iran. 70 (1970) 535; Gill., Rev. Fl. Mal. 3, Gram. (1971) 287, pl. 35a; Jain, J. Ind. Bot. Soc. 51 (1972) 179, pl. 19; Anon., Icon. Corm. Sin. 5 (1974) pl. 7224; Steen., Bot. J. Linn. Soc. 79 (1979) 125. - Lect ot y pe: Schimper 1117 (P, here proposed; is o in A, L, W) Abyssinia. See note 1 .
A. lanceolatus Hochst. subvar. wallichii Hack., Mon. Androp. (1889) 348. - T y p e: Wallich 8830 A (W; is $o$ in BM, K, IDC 7394) Nepal.
A. lanceolatus Hochst. forma glaberrimus Chiov. in Pirotta, Ann. R. Ist. Bot. Roma 8 (1908) 278 ('glaberrima'). - I s ot y pes: Pappi 299, Erithrea, Saraé, Adi Gani; Pappi 1865, Erithrea, Oculé

Cusai, Torrente Aini; Pappi 3849, 4192, 4558, Erithrea, Amasen, Dongollo presso Ghinda; Tellini 979, Erithrea, Amasen, da Halibaret ad Amba. (None seen, ex descr.).
A. lanceolatus Hochst. forma puberulus Chiov. in Pirotta, Ann. R. Ist. Bot. Roma 8 (1908) 278 ('puberula'). - A. lanceolatus Hochst. var. puberulus Mattei, Boll. R. Orto Bot. Palermo 9 (1910) 40 ('puberulam'). - Lectotype: Pappi 149 (BM, here proposed) Erithrea, Sareè, Gaza Gobo.

Sessile spikelets $4.2-8.3$ by $0.5-2.2 \mathrm{~mm}$; lower glume 7-10-nerved, marginal and submarginal nerves with broad-based spicules, without or with only a single stiff hair, all other nerves at most with small spicules or sparsely short-pilose; anthers $3,1.8-4.3$ by $0.2-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Distribution: East Africa; South-West Arabia; from West-Pakistan to the east-coast of China and from Himalaya and North China to South-East Asia; Malesia: Malaya (Kedah) and Lesser Sunda I. (Flores, Timor).

Koorders (1903, 1904, see A. hispidus var. robustior) cited four collections for Java, with some doubt two from Ngadisari, one from G. Merbabu: Büsgen 191 (BO, wrongly cited as Büsgen 190), and one from G. Smeru: Busse 1757 (BO, n.v.). He is notorious for his misidentifications and it seems most likely that the specimens belong to A. hispidus var. robustior. Büsgen 191 is cited by him (1911) under $A$. typicus, a synonym of the latter.

Ohwi (1965) mentioned a collection, apparently naturalized, from Japan, Honshu, Omi, near Otsu (n.v.).

Van Steenis (1979) characterized the distribution of A. lanceolatus ('A. prí nodes') as an Indo-Malesian wide-spread species, absent from the wet Sunda-shelf a ad from Australia; a distribution corresponding with the area of seasonal drought. 'Vhen $A$. lanceolatus is found in the evergreen wet belt (for instance Malaya, Kecah), it is present in so-called dry pockets, small areas with a yearly drought. Sorr e grasses with a similar distribution: Aristida adscensionis L.; Eragrostis viscosa ( $\operatorname{Re}$ z.) Trin.; Hyparrhenia newtonii (Hack.) Stapf var. newtonii; Streblochaete longiaristatum (A. Rich.) Pilger.

E c ology: On sunny to slightly shaded road-sides, old wall-crevices, margins of openings in evergreen forest, along sawahs, on steep grasslands and amongst pines; on sandy soil, on limestone rock. On wet to dry, often poor soil. Rare to locally abundant in dense tufts. $30-2600 \mathrm{~m}$ alt.

Vernacular names: Somalia: daggah-gor, horrajar (SR); India: petgai (Blue Mts.), ghugin (Guj); Lesser Sunda I.: hun pisu, kolun meogor (Timor); Japan: oni-kobunagusa.

Uses: Used as a fodder, although not important, probably because of its low feeding-value. Preferred before flowering. (Naithani \& Raizadae, 1977).

Chromosomenumbers: $\mathrm{n}=8$ (Mehra \& Kalia, 1975, a curious count); $\mathrm{n}=18$ (Tateoka, 1965).

Notes: 1) As Hochstetter (1851) did not refer to Andropogon serrulatus Link, his combination is formally heterotypic and superfluous, although the use of the same epitheton suggests homotypy. Therefore the correct epitheton is prionodes.
2) A. lanceolatus is very variable, just as $A$. hispidus, and again the rate of pubescence of the leaf-blade, a character used by Hackel (1889) and Chiovenda (1908) to distinguish a number of infra-generic taxa, shows too many intermediate forms, thus preventing a delimitation on any taxonomic level.
3) Bor (1960) and Jain (1972) and others have distinguished A. lanceolatus from
A. prionodes ( $=$ A. serrulatus). According to them the lower glume of the sessile spikelet would show:

|  | A. lanceolatus <br> flat, or slightly rounded <br> distinct | A. prionodes <br> Connexity of back |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nerves | only broad-based ones on | indistinct |

Most of the plants would belong to the widespread A. prionodes, and only a few to the 'true' A. lanceolatus, which then would appear to be restricted to only a part of India. A check of the material with above combinations of characters showed that many intermediates occur. It is therefore impossible to separate $A$. lanceolatus and A. prionodes in any satisfactory way.
4) Specimens from the Lesser Sunda Islands have less robust spicules on the marginal and submarginal nerves of the lower glume of the sessile spikelet, but as this is the only small difference, no taxonomical value has been given.
5) Berthe-Friedberg s.n. (L) from Timor has very reduced pedicelled spikelets which consist of a stipe and a lower glume only, and can be regarded as an aberrant specimen as there are no other differences with the present taxon.
b. var. echinatus (Nees) Hackel. - Fig. 9, Map 3.
A. lanceolatus (Roxb.) Hochst. var. echinatus (Nees) Hack., Mon. Androp. (1889) 348. - Batratherum echinatum Nees, Edinb. New Phil. J. 18 (1835) 181. - Andropogon echinatus Heyne ex Steud., [Nom. Bot. ed. 2, 1 (1891) 759, nom.;] Syn. 1 (1854) 383. - A. echinatus Hochst., Flora 39 (1856) 188; Heyne ex O. Ktze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2 (1891) 759, pro comb. nov. ('echinatum'); Jain, J. Ind. Bot. Soc. 51 (1972) 173, pl. 20; Mehra \& Kalia, Taxon 23 (1974) 806; Uniyal \& Srivastava, J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc. 75 (1978) 524. - Lectotype: Wight KD 1684 (K, here proposed) India.
A. spathaceus Hook. f., Fl. Br. Ind. 7 (1897) 145. - Lect ot y pe: Wight KD 3257 (K, here proposed, see note) India, Deccan Peninsula, Gunde Cottah Hill Fort, Cuddapah.

Sessile spikelets $5.2-6.6$ by $0.9-1.7 \mathrm{~mm}$; lower glumes $5(-7)$-nerved, with broad-based spicules on all of them, glabrous; anthers 3, immeasurable (either too young or too old).

Distribution: India (Uttar Pradesh, Orissa, Andhra Pradesh, Assam); Nepal.

Ecology: Among quartzite boulders under light shade. 35 m alt. (in Daissa). Collector's notes: Herb up to 60 cm high.
Chromosomenumbers: $\mathrm{n}=9$ (Mehra \& Kalia, 1974).
N ot e: Hookerf. (1897) mentioned Ritchie 796 in Hb. Munro (n.v.) as a syntype of $A$. spathaceus, but according to his description this plant lacks pedicelled spikelets and is probably $A$. hispidus var. hispidus.

See also note under the species.
c. var. meeboldii (Stapf) Welzen, stat. nov., comb. nov. - Fig. 10, Map 3.
A. meeboldii Stapf, Kew Bull. (1908) 449; Jain, J. Ind. Bot. Soc. 51 (1972) 105; 176, pl. 23. - T y p e: Meebold 9132 (K) India, Concan, in open grassland on a hillside near Khandale.


Fig. 8-10. Spikelets. All $\times$ 12. - Fig. 8. A. lanceolatus var. lanceolatus (Fung 21192). - Fig. 9. A. lanceolatus var. echinatus (Mooney 3614). - Fig. 10. A. lanceolatus var. meeboldii (Meebold 1077 a).
A. purandharensis Bharucha \& Satyanarayan, J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc. 52 (1954) 481; Vegetatio (1954)

129; Jain, J. Ind. Bot. Soc. 51 (1972) 179; Raghavan e.a., J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc. 75 (1978) 937. -
T y p e: Bharucha 501 (Herb. Inst. Sc. Bombay, n.v.; is o in K, s.n.) India, Purandhar, 4000 ft.
Sessile spikelets $7.3-9.5$ by $1-1.3 \mathrm{~mm}$; lower glume 7 -nerved, dorsally glabrous, marginal and submarginal nerves in respectively the upper 0.7 th and 0.3 th part with broad-based spicules, which are provided with bundles of stiff hairs, all other nerves at most with small spicules; anthers ( 2 or) 3, c. 2.6 by 0.5 mm .

Distribution: India (Maharashtra, Mysore).
E c o logy: Open grassland on hillside; calcareous walls. $600-1200 \mathrm{~m}$ alt.
Notes: See also note under the species.

1) A. purandharensis would differ from $A$. meeboldii in the following characters (Bharucha \& Satyanarayan, 1954):

|  | A. purandharensis <br> very large, many <br> distinct nerves | A. meeboldii <br> smaller, few indistinct |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a) Blade | more and larger <br> nerves. |  |
| b) Racemes | along whole margin | less and smaller <br> in upper part only |
| c) Position of bundle- | haired spicules | or 3 |

Characters $a$ and $b$ are very variable as is shown in the specific description of all other species and varieties and cannot be used for the separation of species. The presence of 2 stamens next to 3 stamens (d) is of no distinctive significance; in the pedicelled flowers 2-anthered ones are occasionally observed, instead of the 3 (per flower) usually encountered. The position of the bundle-haired spicules (c) turns out to be overlapping in the specimens seen. The length of the anthers (e), of which Jain (1972) thought that it was the only delimitating character, was measured by Bharucha on a young plant with the spikelets still hidden in the upper sheath; the isotype in K had similar young spikelets. Meebold $10770(\mathrm{~K})$ with the small leaves of a 'real' meeboldii, also has immature spikelets with the short anthers of 'purandharensis'. It is a well-known fact that in immature spikelets the anthers are often much smaller than at anthesis. No delimitation may therefore be based on such an observation.
2) Rhaghavan (1978) reports A. purandharensis (which he finds quite distinct from $A$. meeboldii) from Talacauvery (Coorg distr.); Kemmangundi, Abbe Falls, Bahur (Chimagalur distr.), and Jog Falls (Shimoga distr.).
d. var. raizadae (Jain, Hemadri \& Deshpande) Welzen, stat. nov., comb. nov. - Fig. 11, Map 3.
A. raizadae Jain, Hemadri \& Deshpande, J. Ind. Bot. Soc. 51 (1972) 103; 180, pl. 21. - T y p e: Hemadri 98585 (CAL, n.v.; i s o in K, L; BLAT, BSI, LE, MH, MO, n.v.) India, Maharashtra, Satara district, Mahabaleshwar.

Sessile spikelets $5.8-7.2$ by $1-1.3 \mathrm{~mm}$; lower glume $6-8$-nerved, dorsally densely pilose, marginal and submarginal nerves in upper 0.3-0.5th part with broad-based spicules, which are provided with stiff hairs; anthers $3,2-3$ by $0.4-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Distribution: India (Maharashtra, Mysore).
Ecology: Growing in full sun along road-sides, on rocks, and in rock-crevices. Common.

Collector's notes: Annual, small herb up to 45 cm high. Racemes brown.

Note: See note under the species.
e. var. villosus (C. E. C. Fischer) Welzen, stat. nov., comb. nov. - Fig. 12.
A. villosus C. E. C. Fischer, Kew Bull. (1933) 350; Jain, J. Ind. Bot. Soc. 51 (1972) 103; 182, pl. 22. T y p e: Bourne \& Bourne s.n. (K) India, Western Ghants, Bababudans.

Sessile spikelet $c .5 .8$ by 0.9 mm ; lower glume 7-nerved, dorsally densely villose, marginal nerves winged, their edges with numerous patent stiff hairs; anthers $3, c$. 1.3 by 0.3 mm .

Distribution: India (Maharashtra, Mysore; after Jain, 1972).
Note: See note under the species.

## 6. Arthraxon lancifolius (Trin.) Hochst. - Fig. 13, Map 4.

A. lancifolius (Trin.) Hochst., Flora 39 (1856) 188; Stapf, FI. Trop. Afr. 9 (1917) 165; A. Chev., Rév. Bot. Appl. Agric. Trop. 15 (1935) 1038; Henr., Blumea 4 (1941) 525; Bharucha \& Satyanarayan, Vegetatio (1954) 130; Bor, Grasses (1960) 100; Metcalfe, Anat. Mon. 1 (1960) 43; Monod de F. in Back. \& Bakh. f., Fl. Java 3 (1969) 605; Bor, Fl. Iran. 70 (1970) 534; Khosla \& Songh, Libyan J. Sc. 1 (1971) 8 (n.v.); Jain, Ind. For. 97 (1971) 220; Mehra \& Sharma, Taxon 21 (1972) 340; Jain, J. Ind. Bot. Soc. 51 (1972) 176; Bor, Ind. For. 98 (1972) 520; Mehra \& Kalia, Taxon 24 (1975) 511; Steen., Bot. J. Linn. Soc. 79 (1979) 125. - Andropogon lancifolius Trin., Mém. Ac. Sc. St. Petersb. VI, Sect. Math. Phys. Nat., 2 (1833) 271; Steud., Syn. 1 (1854) 382. -Batratherum molle Nees, Edinb. New Phil. J. 18 (1835) 181, nom. superfl. (incl. var. majus Nees, nom. inval.). - Pleuroplitis lancifolia Regel, Bull. Ac. St. Petersb. 10 (1866) 370, t. 10, pl. 11, 12. - Batratherum lancifolium W. Watson in Atk., Gaz. N.W. Prov. India 10 (1882) 392 ('lancifolius'). - A. molle Duthie, Grasses N.W. India (1883) 17, nom. superfl.; Balf., Trans. Roy. Soc. Edinburg 31 (1888) 315, pro comb. nov.; Dur. \& Sch., Consp. Fl. Afr. 5 (1895) 704 ('molis'). - A. microphyllus Hochst. var. lancifolius Hack., Mon. Androp. (1889) 350; Dur. \& Sch., Consp. Fl. Afr. 5 (1895) 704. - A. microphyllus Hochst. forma lancifolius Back.. Handb. Fl. Java 2 (1928) 73, status here appointed. - A. lancifolius Hochst. var. lancifolius: Jain. J. Ind. Bot. Soc. 51 (1972) 176, pl. 7. - Lectotype: Wallich 8828 B(LE; is oin A, K, L, W; IDC 7394, K neg. 11687) Nepal, fide Jain (1971).
Batratherum molle Nees var. tenue Nees, Edinb. New Phil. J. 18 (1835) 182, comb. ill. - S y nty per: Royle 221 (LE; Merseyside County Mus. Hb., n.v.; K neg. 12378); Royle 222 (LE, K; Merseyside County Mus. Hb., n.v.; K neg. 12379); Royle 228 (LE; Merseyside County Mus. Hb., n.v.; K neg. 12380) Indiae montibus.

Psilopogon schimperi Hochst., [Flora 29 (1846) 117, nom.] ex A. Rich., Tent. Fl. Abyss. 2 (1851) 447. [Bathratherum schimperi Hochst., Flora 29 (1846) 117; Flora 39 (1856) 79, nom.] - Andropogon multicaulis Steud., Syn. 1 (1854) 383, non Andropogon schimperi A. Rich. (1851). - Lucaea schimperi Steud., Syn. 1 (1854) 414. - A. minor Hochst., Flora 39 (1856) 188, nom. superfl. - Pleuroplitis schimperi Regel, Bull. Ac. St. Petersb. 10 (1866) 369, t. 10, pl. 1-4. - T y p e: Schimper 96 B (TUB?, n.v.; i s o in A, BM, L, W) Abyssinia, in locis umbrosis ad declivia rivularum juxta Adoua. See note on Ps. capensis (nom. excl.).

Fig. 11-14. Spikelets. All $\times$ 12. - Fig. 11. A. lanceolatus var. raizadae (Hemadri 98585 G, is ot y pe). -Fig. 12. A. lanceolatus var. villosus (Bourne \& Bourne s.n., y y pe). -Fig. 13. A. lancifolius (Boivin s.n.). - Fig. 14. A. microphyllus (Garrett 840).



Map 4: Distribution of: $\quad=$ A. lancifolius (Trin.) Hochst. (taxon 6) and $\square=$ A. microphyllus (Irin.) Hochst. (taxon 7).

Pleuroplitis ciliata J. A. Schmidt, Beitr. FI. Cap. Verde Ins. (1852) 152. - Lucaea ciliata Steud., Syn. 1 (1854) 414. - A. schmidtii Hochst., Flora 39 (1856) 189, nom. superfl. - T y p e: J. A. Schmidt s.n., Mar 1851 (W) Insulae Promontorii Viritis. Rupibus subhumidis in rupestribus lapidosis Insulae Sancti Antonii.
Psilopogon figarii de Not., Ann. Sc. Nat. III, Bot. 19 (1853) 370 ('figarei'). - A. figarii Aschers. \& Schweinf. in Schweinf., Beitr. Fl. Aeth. (1867) 310. - T y p e: Figari s.n. (FI, n.v.) In pascuis Nubiae, regione Kordofan (ex descr.). See note 2.
?Pogonatherum tenue Edgew., J. As. Soc. Beng. 21 (1852) 181. - T y p e: Edgeworth 706 (K, n.v.) India, Banda district, Banda rocks. (Fide Hook. f., Fl. Br. Ind. 7 (1897) 147, in synon.).
A. lancifolius Hochst. var. birmanicus O. Ktze, Rev. Gen. PI. 2 (1891) 760 ('lancifolium, birmanicum'). T у р е: 'Birma, Maulmein, an Kalkbergen' (NT?, n.v.; ex descr.).
A. microphyllus Hochst. forma intermedius Back., Handb. Fl. Java 2 (1928) 73, status here appointed. Type not indicated, lecto: Backer 30754 (BO, here proposed) Java, Besuki residentie, Noordhelling van de Gunung Idjen, boven Bajeman.
A. linifolius Henr., Blumea 4 (1941) 525; Reeder, J. Arn. Arb. 29 (1948) 380, in nota; Henty, Bot. Bull., Lae 1 (1969) 32. - T y p e: Carr 14643 (L; is o in BM) Papua New Guinea, Boridi.
A. comorensis A. Cam., Bull. Soc. Bot. Fr. 98 (1951) 36. - S yntypes: Boivin s.n. (P) Comores, Grande Comore; Boivin s.n. (P) Comores, Anjouan. See note 2.
A. lancifolius Hochst. var. eremophilus Bor, J. Ind. Bot. Soc. 50 a (1971) 95. - T y p e: Vesey-Fitzgerald 12318/3 (BM; is o in K, n.v.) Arabia, Dhufar, plain behind Splalaw. See note 3.
A. lancifolius Hochst. var. hindustanicus Jain \& Deshpande, J. Ind. Bot. Soc. 51 (1972) 176, pl. 8. - Type: Cherian 88557 (CAL, n.v.; is o in K, L; BSI, n.v.) India, Goa, Kaisuva Fort near Chopora. See note 2.
A. microphyllus auct. non Hochst.: Hack., Mon. Androp. (1889) 351 (incl. var. genuinus, excl. typo); Dur. \& Sch., Consp. Fl. Afr. 5 (1895) 704; Hook. f., Fl. Br. Ind. 7 (1897) 147; Hack., Philip. J. Sc. 1, Suppl. (1906) 265; Merr., Philip. J. Sc. I, Suppl. (1906) 333; Merr. \& Merritt, Philip. J. Sc. 5 (1910) 326; Koord., Exk. Fl. Java 1 (1911) 110; Stapf in Craib, Aberdeen Univ. Stud. 61 (1913) 34; Merr., En. Philip. FI. Pl. 1 (1923) 42; Back., Handb. Fl. Java 2 (1928) 73 (incl. forma genuinus, excl. typo, status here proposed); Back. in Heyne, Nutt. Pl. ed. 3, 1 (1950) 178; Monod de F. in Back. \& Bakh.f., Fl. Java 3 (1969) 605; Cufodontis, Bull. Jard. Bot. Nat. Belg. 40 (1970) 1388. See note 1.
Batratherum molle Nees var. parvulum auct. non Nees: Nees, Edinb. New Phil. J. 18 (1835) 182, pro Royle 220 . See note 1.
Annual herb, slender. Culms up to 30 cm high, glabrous; nodes pubescent. Sheaths glabrous to pilose with $\pm$ patent bulbous-based hairs, which increase distally in number. Ligule $0.3-2.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ high. Blades $0.6-5$ by $0.2-1.2 \mathrm{~cm}$; margins often with bulbous-based hairs, usually in the lower 0.3 d (to all over), otherwise often ciliate; upper- and lower surface glabrous to short pilose to long pilose with bulbous-based (often deciduous) hairs. Peduncle $2.4-14.2 \mathrm{~cm}$ long, glabrous to distally pilose to pilose all over. Inflorescence $0.7-3$ by $0.2-1.9 \mathrm{~cm}$, with $1-13$
branches, with 3-many spikelets, longest lowest branch $0.5-2.8 \mathrm{~cm}$ long; joints $0.8-3 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, lower ones glabrous, upper ones with white, up to 2.3 mm long hairs. Spikelets often only in upper part of inflorescence paired. Sessile spikelets 2.4-7.4 by $0.3-1.1 \mathrm{~mm}$; callus with up to 2.1 mm long hairs, rarely glabrous. Glumes herbaceous to chartaceous (except margins of upper glume); lower glume rounded on the back, $2.1-4.8$ by $0.2-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, margins not inflexed, flat, apex sometimes acuminate, inconspicuously 3-8-nerved, nerves with small spicules in upper 0.3 d part to rarely so all over, sometimes somewhat larger ones on the marginal and submarginal nerves; upper glume 2-4.8 by $0.3-0.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ (excl. awn), apex often awned, the awn up to 4.2 mm long (see note 2), glume inconspicuously $3(-5)$-nerved, margin $0.1-0.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ wide. Lower lemma $0.5-2.5$ by $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$, nerveless; upper lemma $0.7-3.3$ by $0.2-0.4 \mathrm{~mm}$, 1-nerved, column with $2-11$ coils, $1-5.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, smooth, subule $2.9-12.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ long. Palea absent. Lodicules $0.1-0.4$ by $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$. Anthers $2,0.3-0.9$ by $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$. Styles $0.3-0.9 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, stigmas $0.2-0.7 \mathrm{~mm}$ long. Caryopsis $1.7-3.5$ by $0.1-0.4 \mathrm{~mm}$. Pedicelled spikeletsơ or sterile, ovate-linear-lanceolate, variously reduced or absent, then stipe minute, otherwise up to 3 mm long, laterally with long white hairs. Glumes usually unequal, membraneous to chartaceous; lower glume $1.3-3.2$ by $0.2-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, inconspicuously 3-6-nerved, nerves with minute spicules; upper glume sometimes absent, $0.6-2.8$ by $0.1-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, inconspicuously 1-3-nerved. Lower lemma often absent or up to 1.6 by 0.3 mm ; upper lemma often absent or up to 1.5 by 0.2 mm . Lodicules usually absent or up to 0.2 by 0.2 mm . Anthers usually absent or 2 , then without pollen, up to 0.6 by 0.2 mm .

Distribution: Cape Verde Isles; East Africa; Muscat \& Oman; Socotra; Comores; from India and Ceylon to South-East Asia; Malesia: Java, Lesser Sunda 1. (Bali, Lombok, Sumbawa), Philippines, South-West Celebes, East Papua New Guinea.

In Malesia the species occurs in the areas with seasonal drought (van Steenis, 1979); compare the distribution of Biophytum fruticosum Bl. (Veldkamp, Fl. Mal. I, 7, 1, 1972, 165, map) and that of A. lanceolatus var. lanceolatus.

Ecology: On open to slightly shaded places, on old walls, on steep and exposed rocks, in crevices, on field borders, on decaying trunks, along paths; on loam and on sand. On dry to wet, often poor soil. Rare, locally common. From sealevel up to 2300 m alt.

Vernacularnames: Java: blabah, lumuta (Jav.).
U ses: Of no use as a fodder, because of its low yield and low feeding value (Backer, 1950).

Collector's notes: Sheaths pale-green tinged with reddish purple above the nodes. Leaves pale-green with purple margins. Inflorescence brown. Spikelets light-green; reddish purple; silvery white; greyish green or brownish purple. Awn green, dark purple at base or reddish purple. Anthers reddish purple to pale-yellow or brownish when old. Styles white or light-green.

Chromosomenumbers: $\mathrm{n}=9$ (Mehra\& Sharma, 1972); $\mathrm{n}=10$ (Khosla \& Song, 1971, curious count); $\mathrm{n}=18$ (Mehra \& Kalia, 1975).

Anatomy: See Metcalfe (1960).
Notes:1) A. lancifolius and A. microphyllus are both distinct species, but have usually been lumped, because the type of $A$. microphyllus was not studied (Bor, 1972). Jain (1971) showed five differentiating characters, of which three show
overlap: the pilosity of the culm below the inflorescence, the number of panicles, and the indument of the joints. Two other characters, viz. presence or absence of the pedicelled spikelets and the nervation of the lower glumes, are distinctive. $A$. microphyllus has always paired spikelets, with a protruding nervation of the lower glumes, while $A$. lancifolius often lacks the pedicelled spikelets, in any case in the lower part of the inflorescence, while the lower glumes are inconspicuously nerved. Two more characters may be added: the pedicelled spikelet of A. microphyllus is very narrowly linear, consisting of a lower glume only, and is always without anthers; $A$. lancifolius has ovate-linear-lanceolate pedicelled spikelets, usually consisting of more than the lower glume only, sometimes with the abortive anthers present.
2) Jain (1972) described the var. hindustanicus of which the upper glume of the sessile spikelet has a long awn. There is a distinct disjunction in the length of the awn between Jain's specimens and that of most others. However, the type of Psilopogon figarii (Figari s.n., from Ethiopia), d'Alleizette 8019 (L, from Vietnam), and the plants from the Comores have a long awn, too. Apparently this form occurs locally here and there and as there are no other distinctive features, it does not seem to warrant a taxonomical status.
3) The var. eremophilus was separated by Bor (1971) from A. lancifolius because it has both chasmogamous and cleistogamous spikelets, the latter hidden within the uppermost sheath. Within this genus both types are common, cleismogamous flowers can be recognized by the small anthers, which in fruit remain entangled in the stigmas, while the chasmogamous ones eject the anthers at anthesis, after which these soon drop off. Such cleistogamous forms merit no distinction, especially when both types are found on the same plant, like the type-specimen of var. eremophilus.
4) See also note sub $A$. microphyllus.

## 7. Arthraxon microphyllus (Trin.) Hochst. - Fig. 14, Map 4.

A. microphyllus (Trin.) Hochst., Flora 39 (1856) 188; Hack., Mon Androp. (1889) 351, pro typo (incl. var. genuinus, nom. inval.) Dur. \& Sch., Consp. Fl. Afr. 5 (1895) 704, pro typo; Jain, Ind. For. 97 (1971) 220; J. Ind. Bot. Soc. 51 (1972) 178, pl. 12; Bor, Ind. For. 98 (1972) 520; Grasses, ed. 2 (1973) 1. Andropogon microphyllus Trin., Mém. Ac. Sc. St. Petersb. VI, Sect. Math. Phys. Nat., 2 (1833) 275; Steud., Syn. 1 (1854) 382. - Batratherum molle Nees var. parvulum Nees, Edinb. New Phil. J. 18 (1835) 182, comb. ill., excl. Royle 220. - Pleuroplitis microphylla Regel, Bull. Ac. St. Petersb. 10 (1866) 370. - A. lancifolius Hochst. var. microphyllus O. Ktze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2 (1891) 760, nom. superfl. - A. microphyllus Hochst. forma genuinus Back., Handb. Fl. Java 2 (1928) 73, pro typo, nom. inval., status here appointed. - Lectotype: Wallich s.n. (LE, K neg. 11685) Nepal, cf. Jain (1971).
A. sikkimensis Bor, Kew Bull. 1951 (1952) 447; Grasses (1960) 102; Behera e.a., J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc. 74 (1979) 651. - T y p e: Gammie 1079 (K) India orientalis, Sikkim, Lachung.

Annual herb, slender. Culms up to 30 cm high, glabrous; nodes pubescent. Sheaths glabrous to totally pilose with patent bulbous-based hairs. Ligule $0.4-2.5$ mm high, fimbriate. Blades $0.3-1.7$ by $0.1-0.4 \mathrm{~cm}$; margins with bulbous-based hairs; upper- and lower surface pilose with bulbous-based hairs. Peduncle $1.7-9 \mathrm{~cm}$ long, glabrous. Inflorescence $0.7-2.3$ by $0.2-0.7 \mathrm{~cm}$ wich $1-3$ branches and $3-22$ spikelets, longest lowest branch $0.5-2.2 \mathrm{~cm}$ long; joints $1.2-2.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, lower joints glabrous, upper ones pilose all over. Spikelets paired, the pedicelled sterile. Sessile spikelets $2.8-3.9$ by $0.4-0.6 \mathrm{~mm}$; callus with $0.2-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long white hairs. Glumes herbaceous to chartaceous (except the margins of the upper glume); lower glume rounded on the back, $2.6-3.2$ by $0.3-0.4 \mathrm{~mm}$, margin not inflexed, flat, nerves 6 or 7 , very conspicuous, somewhat protruding, with spicules in the upper
$0.3-0.7$ th part; upper glume $2.5-3.8$ by $0.4-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}, 3(-5)$-nerved, margins $0.2-0.4 \mathrm{~mm}$ wide. Lower lemma $1.6-2.1$ by $0.2-0.3 \mathrm{~mm}$, nerveless; upper lemma $1.7-2.1$ by c. 0.3 mm , 1-nerved, column with $6-10$ coils, $3.5-4.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, smooth; subule 4.4-6 mm long. Palea absent. Lodicules $0.2-0.3$ by $0.2-0.3 \mathrm{~mm}$. Anthers 2, c. 0.6 by 0.2 mm . Styles $c .0 .7 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, stigmas $c .0 .4 \mathrm{~mm}$ long. Caryopsis $2-2.1$ by $0.4-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Pedicelled spikelet consisting of a lower glume only ( $q . v$. ); stipe $1.6-1.9 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, laterally pilose. Lower glume narrowly linear, rounded on the back, $1.9-2.2$ by $0.2-0.3 \mathrm{~mm}$, nerves 6 , somewhat protruding with minute spicules.

Distribution: Nepal; Sikkim; Thailand (Northern: Chiang Rai).
Ecology: 2000-3500 malt.
Chromosomenumbers: $\mathrm{n}=9$ (Behera e.a., 1979).
Note: The type-specimen of A. microphyllus is annotated 'Nepal Wallick (!) Rudge', of which I have not seen any duplicates elsewhere. The type was not studied by subsequent authors until Jain (1971) and Bor (1972), which resulted in a general misapplication of the name for the much more common and wide spread $A$. lancifolius (see synonymy of the latter). It is therefore not surprising that Bor (1952), when he studied Gammie 1079, thought he had a new species (described as $A$. sikkimensis). Jain was the first to discover the confusion and both he and Bor (1972) discussed the history and delimitation of A. microphyllus; see also for the differences between $A$. lancifolius and $A$. microphyllus sub $A$. lancifolius, note 1.

As $A$. microphyllus is such a rare and local species ( 7 collections seen; one more specimen attributed to Wallich is in LE, but was not received, see K neg. 11684), it seems unnecessary to invoke Art. 69.1 of the Code and to propose the name for rejection. Jain was followed by Bor $(1972,1973)$ and because of the authority of the latter it may be excepted that future literature will apply the names correctly.

## NOMINA DUBIA

A. deccanensis Jain, J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc. 68 (1971) 297, pl. 296; J. Ind. Bot. Soc. 51 (1972) 165, pl. 17. - T y p e: Patil 7825 (CAL, n.v.) India, Maharashtra, Poona district, Sinhagad.

Distribution: India (Maharashtra).
Not e: This species was said to differ from $A$. lanceolatus by its up to 1 mm long spicules on the marginal nerves of the lower glume of the sessile spikelet. As this is the only difference between $A$. lanceolatus and $A$. deccanensis, the latter is perhaps not even a variety of $A$. lanceolatus, but at most an extreme form of $A$. lanceolatus var. lanceolatus, because many specimens, all here considered to belong to var. lanceolatus, also show such relatively long spicules: Campbell s.n. (W), India; Chiao 3057 (BM), China; Dobremez 2778 (BM), Nepal; and Duthie s.n. (L), West Himalaya. (See also Addendum).
A. inermis Hook. f. var. tzvelevii Jain, Sc. \& Cult. 37 (1971) 55; J. Ind. Bot. Soc. 51 (1972) 174, pl. 6. T y p e: Santapau 11361 (CAL, n.v.) India, Purandhar, Vazirghad Fort. =? A. hispidus var. hispidus.

Distribution: India (Maharashtra).
N ot e: This variety was said to have larger, 4-5.5 mm long, spikelets than var. inermis, of which the spikelets are $2.5-3(-3.5) \mathrm{mm}$ long. In note 6 of $A$. hispidus var. hispidus it is discussed why $A$. inermis (var. inermis) is here regarded as a


Fig. 15 and 16. A. hispidus var. robustior (Fosarie 38). - Fig. I5. Leaf-blade, $\times$ 1. - Fig. 16. Detail of margin of leaf, $\times 12$. - a. Bulbous-based hair. - b. Spicule. - Fig. 17 and 18. A. hispidus var. hispidus (Chand 7969). - Fig. 17. Leaf-blade, $\times 1 .-$ Fig. 18. Detail of margin of leaf, $\times 12$.
synonym of $A$. hispidus. The same argumentation is probably applicable to var. tzvelevii also. Within the $A$. hispidus-complex no disjunctions in the length of the spikelets could be distinguished, see also note 7 sub A. hispidus var. hispidus. Therefore it is possible that var. tzvelevii is just a mere synonym of $A$. hispidus var. hispidus.

## NOMINA EXCLUDENDA

> A. rudis auct. non Hochst.: M. Schmid, Extr. Agron. Trop. I3 (1958) 199. =Thelepogon elegans Roth.
> Note: See note 2 sub A. castratus.
> A. lanceolatus Miq., Ann. Mus. Bot. Lugd. Bat. $2(1866$ ) 288, non A. lanceolatus (Roxb.) Hochst. (1856); Prol. Fl. Jap. (1867) 176 . - L e t o t y e: Burger s.n. (L, no. 908.83 - 1054 , here proposed) Japan; s y t y p e: Pierot s.n. (L, no. 908.83-1080) Japan, indeterminable.
> = Microstegium vimineum (Trin.) A. Camus var. imberbe (Nees) Honda.
> A. nodosus Kom., Acta Hort. Petrop. 18 (1901) 448; Honda, J. Fac. Sc. Imp. Univ. Tokyo III, Bot. 3, 1 (1930) 408, in synon.; Chung, Korean grasses (1965) 148. - S y t y e s: Komarov s.n. (LE, n.v.) Corea, district Cza-schin, province Kengi, Sodegin-muri; and Jalu-dsian.
> = Microstegium vimineum (Trin.) A. Camus var. imberbe (Nees) Honda.

Note: The description of this 'species' shows characters which do not agree with those of Arthraxon, e.g. a cuneate base of the leaf-blade, and the awn inserted in the upper 0.7th of the upper lemma. Honda has probably seen the syntype from Jaludsian, namely Komarov 126 (TI, also seen by me), of which the label lacks the name A. nodosus (only Pollinia imberbis Nees is mentioned). Honda correctly determined this plant as the Microstegium mentioned above, with which above characters match much better.

Honda also mentioned $A$. imberbis in his list of synonyms of $M$. vimineum var. imberbe, which he also attributes to Komarov (l.c.), but was never described by the latter. The epithet imberbis, written on the label, was probably by mistake used by Honda to form the combination A. imberbis.

Lasiolytrum pilosum Steud. ex Jardin, Mèm. Soc. Sc. Nat. Cherbourg 5 (1857) 299; Veldk., Blumea 21 (1973) 38, in synon. - T y p e: Jardin 59 (P, n.v.).
$=$ Digitaria setigera R. \& S.
Pleuroplitis producta Griseb. in Ledeb., Fl. Ross. 4 (1853) 478; Hack., Mon. Androp. (1889) 18I, in synon. - T y p e: Eschscholtz s.n. (LE, n.v.) Philippines, Luzon.
$=$ Microstegium tenue (Trin.) Jansen.
Psilopogon capensis Hochst., Flora 29 (1846) 117; Hack., Mon. Androp. (1889) 179, in synon.; A. Camus, Ann. Soc. Linn. Lyon 68 (1921) 201, in synon. - T y p e: Krauss 92 (TUB?, n.v.) Cap und Natallandes, ad rivulos sylvarum, Knysma.
$=$ Microstegium capense (Hochst.) A. Camus.
Note: In the footnote Hochstetter (1846) places Psilopogon (type: Ps. schimperi Hochst.) into the synonomy of Batratherum schimperi Hochst. ( = Arthraxon hispidus var. hispidus p.p. and A. lancifolius p.p.) and then reinstates a new genus Psilopogon (t y p e: Ps. capensis Hochst.). Because the first Psilopogon is a nomen nudum (I could not find any description of it), the second is valid. However, may any description of the first be found, the latter is illegimate.
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## ADDENDUM

During printing a paratype of $A$. deccanensis Jain (Ansari 99978 a) was received from BSI. As was already suggested above (see nomina dubia), it is a form of $A$. lanceolatus (Roxb.) Hochst. var. lanceolatus, which should not be distinguished as a separate taxon.

I want to express my thanks to the Director of BSI for sending me this and other specimens.


[^0]:    I want to thank the directors and keepers of the following herbaria: A, B, BM, BO, C, K, K YO, L, LE, P, TI, U, W; who sent me, or enabled me to study, their material. Thanks are also due to Mr. M. Schmid, Noumea, New Caledonia, who kindly send some specimens of his private collection, and to the directors of $E$ and MAK, who searched in vain for some type-specimens.

    I am especially grateful to Dr. J. F. Veldkamp for his superb guidance and animated discussions. I also want to thank the staff and students of the Rijksherbarium, Leiden, and especially Prof. Dr. C. Kalkman and Dr. W. Vink for their critical remarks on this article. The excellent drawings were made by Mr. E. Vijsma.

[^1]:    Representative specimens: Nepal: Norkett 5684 (BM); India: Rao 20086 (L); Burma: Kingdon-Ward 21412 (A); China: Bonali 7014 (W); Vietnam: Balansa 1740 (L); Corea: Faurie 827 (W); Taiwan: Faurie 705 (TI); Australia: Imperial Institute s.n., 1926 (BM); Japan: Thunberg s.n. (BM), Zollinger 42 (BM, P); USA: Allard 11068 (A).

[^2]:    Representative specimens:
    A. breviaristatus: China: Delavay 1811 (P); India: Hook. f. \& T. Thomson s.n. (K, L, W).
    A. inermis: India: Woodrow 189 (K).
    A. nitidulus: India: Ritchie 796 A (K).
    A. submuticus: Nepal: Wallich 8836 (BM, K, W).

