TWO NEW FAMILIES AND SOME NEW NAMES AND COMBINATIONS IN THE ALGAE

TYGE CHRISTENSEN

Universitetets Institut for Sporeplanter, København, Denmark

In a recent survey of algal taxonomy published for the use of Danish university students, the author (1962, 1966) has introduced some new taxa and names. A few of them express new systematic opinions, and will be separately accounted for. The majority have been made for formal reasons only, and are established here in accordance with the code of nomenclature.

Dunaliellaceae T. Christensen, fam. nov.

Cellula monadoïdes, solitaria, nulla membrana vel lorica induta, notas Chlorophycearum praecipue flagella nuda exhibens.

Genus typificum: Dunaliella E. C. Teodoresco 1905, p. 230.

Platymonadaceae T. Christensen, fam. nov.

Cellula monadoïdes, solitaria, membrana induta, notas Prasinophycearum praecipue flagella squamis et appendicibus filiformibus crassioribus vestita exhibens.

Genus typificum: Platymonas G. S. West 1916, p. 3.

In the Chlorophyta the author has followed Chadefaud (1950) in excluding the allies of Prasinocladus from the Chlorophyceae and placing them in a separate class, the Prasinophyceae. Such separation entails a splitting of two former Chlorophycean families, the Polyblepharidaceae comprising naked monads, and the Chlamydomonadaceae comprising similar forms provided with a cell wall. Polyblepharides, which is the type of the former family, has not yet been adequately studied but probably represents the Prasinocladus type as assumed by Chadefaud, so that the family name Polyblepharidaceae should be applied to naked forms placed in the Prasinophyceae. Chlamydomonas, on the other hand, shows a typical Chlorophycean construction. The family name Chlamydomonadaceae, therefore, must be applied to walled forms remaining in the *Chlorophyceae*. For previous members of the Polyblepharidaceae now left behind in the Chlorophyceae, and for previous members of the Chlamydomonadaceae now placed in the Prasinophyceae, new family names have been introduced in the Danish text. In the first edition (Christensen, 1962) they were called Dunaliellaceae and Tetraselmidaceae, respectively. Since then some doubt has arisen (cf. Manton & Parke, 1965) as to whether Tetraselmis Stein (1878) and Platymonas West (1916) are taxonomically identical, as is assumed by Butcher (1959), following Korschikoff (1938). If there is no such identity Platymonas still belongs in the Prasinophyceae, while Tetraselmis possibly does not. Therefore, the second family name, not yet formally established, has been changed to Platymonadaceae in the second edition (Christensen, 1966).

Dictyochales T. Christensen, nom. nov. — Siphonotestales Lemmermann 1901, p. 92. Familia typifica: Dictyochaceae Lemmermann 1901, p. 92.

According to the codes of nomenclature adopted by the Stockholm and the Paris

Congresses, the name of an order should invariably be taken from that of its type family. In the Algae names that did not comply with this rule were gradually replaced by others formed in agreement with the code, and this process had nearly been carried through when, without notification in the Synopsis of Proposals, a proposal was brought forward and hastily carried in Montreal giving authors an absolutely free hand in this respect. The present author is in favour of the simplicity and uniformity prescribed by the previous codes and has used his unwanted freedom only to keep to a rule no longer obligatory. In the survey referred to, the order name *Dictyochales* has been introduced as a substitute of that given by Lemmermann because the latter is not derived from the name of a subordinate taxon.

Planonephraceae T. Christensen, nom. nov. familiae Cryptophycearum. — Senniaceae Skuja 1948, p. 366, nom. illeg. Genus typificum:

Planonephros T. Christensen, nom. nov. — Nephroselmis sensu Pascher & Lemmermann 1913, p. 111 (vix Nephroselmis Stein 1878, pl. 19). — Sennia sensu Skuja 1948, p. 366 (non Sennia Pascher in Pascher & Lemmermann 1913, p. 184). Species typifica:

Planonephros dispar T. Christensen, nom. nov. — Nephroselmis olivacea sensu Pascher & Lemmermann 1913, p. 111 (vix Nephroselmis olivacea Stein 1878, pl. 19). — Sennia commutata sensu Skuja 1948, p. 366 (non Sennia commutata Pascher in Pascher & Lemmermann 1913, p. 184).

Bean-shaped flagellates with yellow-green plastids and two flagella issuing from the concave side are known both in the Cryptophyceae and in the Chlorophyta. One of them is described by Stein (1878) under the name Nephroselmis olivacea. Stein refers his organism to the Cryptomonadina, but from his illustration it seems to show more resemblance to the Chlorophytic forms and Stein's opinion may be mainly based on the peculiar colour of the chromatophore. Senn (1911) describes a rather similar looking organism, supposing it to be identical with that studied by Stein, but referring it to the Volvocaceae. Pascher & Lemmermann (1913) describe and picture two forms, one under the Cryptophyceae, the other as an organism of uncertain affinity. The name given by Stein is applied to both without cross reference. In the case of the form of uncertain affinity, however, a new name, Sennia commutata, is introduced, with Stein's name cited only as a synonym; Senn is mentioned as one of the previous finders, and this as well as the new name makes it fairly clear that what is meant is not 'Nephroselmis olivacea Stein', but 'Nephroselmis olivacea sensu Senn (non Stein)'. No matter whether Senn's or Pascher's organism should be regarded the type of Sennia, this name applies to the non-Cryptophycean genus. Skuja (1948) resumes Senn's opinion that the organism studied by Stein is non-Cryptophycean, and therefore uses the generic name Nephroselmis and the family name Nephroselmidaceae for forms now placed close to the Polyblepharidaceae. In this usage he is followed by most subsequent authors. Application of the name Nephroselmis to such forms, however, leaves the Cryptophycean form without a name. Skuja suggests a simple interchange of names, applying the name Sennia to the Cryptophycean genus. But such circumscription of the genus Sennia excludes the type, and therefore cannot be maintained. The question where to place Nephroselmis Stein will hardly be answered in a fully convincing manner without a form being found that agrees better with Stein's description than any of those later studied. In the new taxonomic survey referred to, the author has placed it in the Chlorophyta, following general usage as well as his own estimate. For the Cryptophycean form and for the family established by Skuja to accommodate it, the above names have been introduced. They have been chosen to cover largely

the same meaning as the word Nephroselmis, the stem selmid, flagellum, being replaced by the stem plan(o), moving around.

Vertebrata lanosa (L.) T. Christensen, comb. nov.; basionyma: Fucus lanosus Linnaeus 1767, p. 718.

Introduction of this new combination is a necessary consequence of accepting the taxonomic concept of Kylin (1956) with regard to the genera Polysiphonia and Vertebrata. cf. Tandy (1931).

Nemaliales orth. mut. — Nemalionales Schmitz in Engler 1892, p. 17.

The name Nemalion was originally the specific epithet of a plant referred to the genus Fucus (Bertoloni, 1818). Probably, as stated by Frank in Leunis & Senft (1877), it was latinised from Greek nema leion, slippery thread (noun first as in the Linnean epithets Virgaurea and Adiantum-nigrum; a more regular form being Lionema, in conformity with the name Liochlaena). Harvey (1846) gives a different interpretation, deriving it from Greek nema, thread, and leion, crop (a more regular form then being Nematoleion). No matter which of these derivations is correct, the stem of the generic name ends in -li(o), not in -lion. Oddly enough, authors have used the group designations Nemalieae and Nemalionales side by side. The former is correct in omitting the -on-. The latter has to be shortened by the same two letters so as to conform with the designation Phaeothamniales based on the generic name Phaeothamnion.

LITERATURE

- BERTOLONI, A. 1818. Letttera (sic) al Signor Lamouroux. Opuscoli Scientifici (Bologna) 2: 286-292, Tav. 10-11. BUTCHER, R. W. 1959. Introduction and Chlorophyceae. Min. of Agr., Fish. and Food, Fish. Invest.,
- Ser. 4. An Introductory Account of the Smaller Algae of British Coastal Waters. Part 1. London.

CHADEFAUD, M. 1950. Les cellules nageuses des Algues dans l'embranchement des Chlorophycées. C. R. Acad. Sc. [Paris] 231 (19): 988-990.

CHRISTENSEN, T. 1962. Alger. In T. W. Böcher, M. Lange, and T. Sørensen, Botanik, Bind 2, Nr. 2. København.

1966. The same, 2nd edition.

ENGLER, A. 1892. Syllabus der Vorlesungen über specielle und medicinisch-pharmaceutische Botanik. Grosse Ausgabe. Berlin.

HARVEY, W. H. 1846. Phycologia Britannica. Vol. 1. London.

KORSCHIKOFF, O. A. 1938. Viznačnik prisnovodnich vodorostej URSR 4., Volvocineae. Kiev.

- KYLIN, H. 1956. Die Gattungen der Rhodophyceen. Lund.
- LEMMERMANN, E. 1901. Beiträge zur Kenntniss der Planktonalgen. XII. Notizen über einige Schwebalgen. XIII. Das Phytoplankton des Ryck und des Greifswalder Boddens. Ber. Deutsch. Bot. Ges. 19(2): 85-95, Tafel 4.

LEUNIS, J., & F. SENFT. 1877. Synopsis der drei Naturreiche. 2. Theil. Botanik. 2. Auflage. Hannover.

LINNAEUS, C. (C. A LINNE). 1767. Systema Naturæ. T. 2. Editio 12. Holmiæ.

- MANTON, I., & M. PARKE. 1965. Observations on the fine structure of two species of Platymonas with special reference to flagellar scales and the mode of origin of the theca. J. mar. biol. Ass. U. K. 45: 743-754.
- PASCHER, A., & E. LEMMERMANN. 1913. Flagellatae II. In A. Pascher (Herausg.), Die Süsswasser-Flora Deutschlands, Österreichs und der Schweiz, Heft 2. Jena.
- SENN, G. 1911. Oxyrrhis, Nephroselmis und einige Euflagellaten, nebst Bemerkungen über deren System. Zeitschr. für Wissenschaftliche Zoologie 97 (4): 605-672, Tafeln 30-31.
- SKUJA, H. 1948. Taxonomie des Phytoplanktons einiger Seen in Uppland, Schweden. Symb. Bot. Upsal. 9(3).
- STEIN, F. RITTER VON. 1878. Der Organismus der Infusionsthiere. III. Abtheilung. Die Naturgeschichte der Flagellaten oder Geisselinfusorien. 1. Hälfte. Leipzig.

TANDY, G. 1931. Notes on Phycological Nomenclature I. J. Bot. 69: 225-227.

TEODORESCO, E. C. 1905. Organisation et développement du Dunaliella, nouveau genre de Volvocacée Polyblépharidée. Beih. Bot. Centralbl. 18, Abt. 1: 215-232, Tafeln 8-9. WEST, G. S. 1916. Algological Notes XVIII-XXIII. J. Bot. 54: 1-10.