A REVISION OF THE OCHNACEAE OF THE INDO-PACIFIC AREA* ADDITIONAL NOTES AND CORRIGENDA

A. KANIS

Herbarium Australiense, CSIRO, Canberra

In April 1969 I paid a visit to Ceylon for a week, allowing me to study for the first time the collections of the Department of Agriculture, Peradeniya (PDA), including Thwaites' type specimens. My stay was made possible through the Smithsonian Flora of Ceylon Project.

The study of Thwaites' type material revealed some new facts affecting the synonymy of Ochna jabotapita L. and O. obtusata DC. It had previously come to my attention that materials distributed as O. moonii Thw. under number C.P. 1224 belonged to either O. obtusata (BM, BO) or O. lanceolata Spreng. (K, P) (see also the note on page 26 of my revision). I subsequently found that all three species of Ochna in Ceylon were represented on the sheet in PDA, obviously bearing Thwaites' holotype. From this and accompanying sheets it is clear that the material belonging to O. jabotapita should in fact be designated as the holotype of Thwaites' species. Consequently, the whole paragraph under O. moonii on page 30 of my revision should be transferred from the synonymy of O. obtusata to that of O. jabotapita. The phrase 'excl. syn. O. quarrosa L. sensu Moon = O. jabotapita L. 'should be deleted. The type should be referred to as C.P. 1224 p.p. (PDA p.p. holo).

As mentioned above, the material distributed to K and P under number C.P. 1224 belongs to O. lanceolata. I concluded originally that it matches the description of O. moonii Thw. var β . This has since been confirmed by a collection in G, bearing the latter name and numbered C.P. 2554. The collection C.P. 2554 in PDA under the name O. moonii Thw. var β was found to comprise another mixture, in this case of O. lanceolata and O. jabotapita. It is clear, however, that the material belonging to O. lanceolata should be designated as the holotype of Thwaites' variety.

To summarise the changes in synonymy of two species after present and previous corrections, the following basionyms are listed under their correct names:

Ochna jabotapita L.: O. nitida DC., nom. illeg. — O. moonii Thw., excl. var. β — O. rufescens Thw. — Discladium planchonii v. Tiegh. — D. microphyllum v. Tiegh. — D. koenigii v. Tiegh.

Ochna obtusata DC.: O. lucida Lamk., nom. illeg. — O. grandiflora Moon — O. pumila DC. — O. nana W. & A. — O. humilis Buch.-Ham., nom. nud. — O. collina Edgew. — O. cordata Thw. — Polythecium thwaitesii v. Tiegh., nom. nud. — P. pedunculatum v. Tiegh. — P. kingii v. Tiegh. — P. discolor v. Tiegh. — Discladium dalzellii v. Tiegh. — D. leschenaultii v. Tiegh. — D. gaudichaudii v. Tiegh. — O. gamblei Brandis — O. beddomei Gamble.

The following misprints occur in my original revision. On page 78, the 13th line from the bottom, Womersley NGF 13340 should be changed to 13440. On page 79, the 9th line from the top, Beer's coll. BSIP 6810 should be changed to 6801. The same errors occur on pp. 511 and 505 respectively of the Identification Lists of Malaysian Specimens (no. 34) published by the Foundation Flora Malesiana in August 1968.

^{*} A first paper under this title together with a page of corrigenda appeared in Blumea 16 (1968) 1-83.