## NOTE XXXVI.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE KNOWLEDGE OF THE LON-GICORN GROUP OF THE BATOCERIDAE.

вч

## J. R. H. NEERVOORT van de POLL.

Recently Mr. Ritsema has published (vide ante p. 219) an alphabetical list of the described species of the genus Batocera with indication of the synonyms. These synonymical remarks are partly due to his own observations, partly to Mr. van Lansberge's informations concerning many of the Thomsonian species, and my own notices on the species described by Major Parry, whilst a few are copied from the Munich Catalogue. Having just now successively visited the Museums of Genoa, Darmstadt and London, as well as the private collection of Mr. Pascoe, I am able to give still some additional synonymical annotations on the types contained in the above mentioned collections.

The examination of the Batocerids of the Museum of Genoa did not afford me observations on the genus Batocera itself, but for the knowledge of the group it is interesting to know that Apriona Straussi Gestro proved to belong to the genus Rosenbergia Rits. It is closely allied to R. vetusta Rits. but differs in having the underside thickly clothed with long brown hairs, whilst the corresponding part in vetusta is covered with a short whitish

pubescence. Mr. Gestro described his species from a large and robust Q specimen not unlike the Q of R. megaloce-phala v. d. Poll. From the knowledge of this second female results that the sexual differences of the development of the head and mandibles must be enumerated among the characteristics of the genus Rosenbergia.

At Darmstadt I found the Batocerids in a most deplorable condition, which made it very difficult to study them. Since Kaup's death, I believe about fourteen years ago. no special entomologist seems to have been charged with the care of the entomological collections, and either it is the carelessness of Kaup, who might have neglected to label his Batocerids, or somebody else has spoiled the collection by destroying nearly all the labels. Besides four or five labels, which are pinned before some specimens, they are all absent and not a single one of Kaup's type-specimens bears any indication as such. Moreover the greater part of the specimens are broken, gummed, rubbed, dirty and oily. Of the species described by Kaup, B. Rosenbergii and Apriona punctatissima are so very distinct that I easily recognized them, but it was much more difficult to identify the dubious B. Whitei and B. Wieneckei, in as much as the collection proved to consist of well known species only. Under these circumstances I thought it the best plan to make a catalogue of the contents of the drawer, with such annotations and sketches as could be useful to recognize the specimens afterwards. The drawer proved to contain: one B. Celebiana Th., three B. albofasciata Deg., four B. rubus L., two B. lineolata Chevr., one B. Rosenbergii Kaup, one B. hercules Boisd., one B. humeridens Th., six B. hector Th. & helena Th. (both species are as usually mixed up, and are partly in so bad a condition that I could not separate them with certainty; they are mistaken by Kaup for B. Ajax (Dej.) Th., according to the label pinned before them, and whilst Kaup in his publication compares his B. Whitei with Ajax),

two B. laena Th., two B. Wallacei Th., four B. armata Oliv. (a specimen with white-spotted elytra bears the manuscript name dubia Kaup), one Abatocera leonina Th., one Apriona punctatissima Kaup, one A. spec. ( $\alpha$ ), one A. spec. ( $\beta$ ), and a Lamiid not belonging to the group of the Batoceridae.

A careful comparison of Kaup's descriptions and figures with my own notes and remarks convinced me that Kaup undoubtedly has described a Q of B. armata as B. Whitei and a of of B. humeridens as B. Wieneckei. The description of Wieneckei does not well agree with humeridens, but this is only due to the very bad condition of Kaup's typespecimen, which is strongly rubbed and almost entirely destitute of the white pubescence on the elytra. The Apriona which I marked a proved to be A. Deyrollei Kaup from Sylhet, that marked  $\beta$  A. flavescens Kaup from Sumatra; both species are closely allied. A. flavescens is a larger and rather broad species, showing a thorax with numerous irregular wrinkles on the disk, and elytra with numerous granules at the base, which become more distant at the shoulders; A. Deyrollei is a smaller and slender species, having the thorax covered with a few wrinkles, forming a triangle which is placed with its base on the frontmargin, and the basal portion of the elytra sparingly covered with granules which become very closely set at the shoulder-region.

Last not least the Lamiid which I indicated as not belonging to the group of the Batocerids, and which has proved to be *Iothocera tomentosa* Buq., is Kaup's Apriona humeralis').

My inquiries about Newman's types, which may be found

<sup>1)</sup> Mr. Snellen van Vollenhoven and Mr. Ritsema have both published a notice on the Batocerids of the Leyden Museum, wherein mention is made of a specimen of Apriona humeralis Kaup from Bouru; as the Museum specimen is a true Apriona it has of course nothing to do with the misplaced insect described by Kaup under that name.

in the collection of the British Museum, showed the exactness of Mr. Ritsema's supposition concerning B. aphetor Newm. and B. rixator Newm. Both these species belong undoubtedly to the genus Apriona. A. aphetor is nearest allied to A. punctatissima Kaup, according to the thick and woolly pubescence; its prothorax is provided with numerous wrinkles, like that of A. flavescens Kaup, and the base of the elytra is thinly covered with small and pointed granules, the shoulders are strongly prominent but rounded, with a hardly perceptible obtuse humeraltooth and the apex is armed with four strong spines. A. rivator is the smallest Apriona I am acquainted with; its thorax is slightly wrinkled and the elytra are covered at the base with a very few large and distant granules, the humeral-tooth is very large but obtuse, the apex is provided with four blunt spines, and the undersurface shows a white band along the sides. B. numitor Newm. is a true Batocera but not a distinct species; a careful comparison with B. Ajax (Dej.) Th. (which is already recorded from Celebes), convinced me that there is not a single characteristic to separate it specifically from that species. Besides two large specimens, there are also two much smaller ones in the Museum collection, which are ornated with some small brownish spots on the elvtra, but otherwise they do not differ.

These observations on B. numitor involuntarily induced me to put the question what are the specific differences between B. titana Th. and B. Ajax (Dej.) Th.?" At first sight the differences between B. titana, with spotted elytra and thorax, and Ajax, with immaculate elytra and thorax, is very large, however a series of intermediate forms to connect these extreme links is present. The elytral spots of titana are very large in some specimens, but in other ones they are very small, like those of rubus L.; B. javanica Th. is a variety of titana with inconspicuous red spots on the thorax, but on the other hand a specimen of Ajax in my own cabinet presents a distinctly spotted

thorax. Besides these most variable differences of the covering pile, I am quite unable to find a single characteristic justifying a specific separation, in all the essential points, as the peculiar structure of the antennae, the shape of the thorax, the truncature of the elytra etc., they correspond exactly. My opinion is that B. Ajax (Dej.) Th. must be regarded as the immaculate variety of B. Titana Th.

The Banksian collection, which has served Fabricius for his descriptions and is now preserved in the British Museum, contains a of specimen of B. humeridens Th. under the name of B. rubus Fabr. Fabricius almost always indicates from what collection he has described the species, but unfortunately his B. rubus is wanting this useful indication; however it is most probable that the specimen mentioned above is the very type.

Most of the synonymical remarks about the species described by Mr. Pascoe proved to be correct. B. Ammon Pasc. must certainly be referred to B. armata Oliv.; Mr. Pascoe's name is chiefly relative to small male specimens of armata. B. orcus Pasc. was founded upon a 3 & Q specimen of the variety of B. armata Oliv. with distinct white spots on the elytra. B. metallescens Pasc. is a large strongly rubbed specimen of B. celebiana Th. B. cinnamomea Pasc. O is a distinct species and not at all identical with B. armata Oliv. Q: it is more nearly allied to the female of B. Wallacei Th., its prothorax being very like that of the latter; from armata it might easily be distinguished by the last antennal joint, which is not spatulashaped, and by the truncature of the elytra, which is not cut off in a straight line, but is rather deeply emarginated. In regard to B. Claudia, Mr. Pascoe kindly informed me that he had made mention of it only incidentally: moreover the specimen is no longer in his cabinet and Mr. Pascoe did not remember what became of it, of course this name ought to drop from the genus.

Taking into consideration the synonymical observations contained in Mr. Ritsema's note and the rectifications and additions given in this paper, the genus *Batocera* should be composed of the following species and varieties:

Adelpha Thoms. — Assam.

Aeneonigra Thoms. — Ternate, Halmaheira, Morty, Waigiou.

Albofasciata Deg. (octomaculata F., stigma Voet, Downesi Hope). — E. India, E. I. Archipelag o.

Var. Sarawakensis Thoms. — Borneo.

Var. Magica Thoms. — Java.

Var. Sabina Thoms. 1) - Borneo, Sumatra.

Andamana Thoms. - Andaman Isl.

Armata Oliv. (Thomae Voet, Lacordairei Thoms., Ammon Pasc., Whitei Kaup). — Amboyna, Ceram, Celebes, Aru Isl.

Var. Orcus Pasc. (dubia Kaup i. litt.). — Ceram.

Boisduvali Hope. — Australia.

Browni Bates. — Duke of York Isl., N. Australia.

Bruyni Lansb. — Sanghir Isl.

Calanus Parry. - Assam.

Var. guttata Voll. 2) (octomaculata Thoms. nec. Fabr., Fabricii Thoms.). — Sumatra, Java.

Celebiana Thoms. (octomaculata Boisd. nec Fabr. 3), metallescens Pasc.). — Celebes.

Var. obliqua Voll. 4) — Bouru, Boano.

Chevrolati Thoms. — E. India.

Cinnamomea Pasc. — Sula.

<sup>1)</sup> I regard this species only as a variety of albofasciata with strongly reduced white band along the sides of the undersurface.

<sup>2)</sup> Thomson mistook this species for the Fabrician species, some time afterwards perceiving his error he rebaptized it Fabricii, but in the mean time Vollenhoven had described the same insect under the name of Megacriodes guttata.

<sup>3)</sup> The Batocera from Menado (N. Celebes) described and figured by Boisduyal under the name of octomaculata Fabr. must be referred to Celebiana Thoms.

<sup>4)</sup> This species I believe to be only a local variety of Celebiana Thoms.

Davidis H. Deyr. - China.

Eurydice Thoms. - Java.

Frenchi v. d. Poll. - Queensland.

Gerstaeckeri Thoms. — Sula.

Gigas Drap. — Java, Borneo.

Hector Thoms. - Java, Borneo.

Helena Thoms. (Attila Pasc.). — Siam, Sumatra.

Hercules Boisd. - Celebes.

Horsfieldi Hope. 1) - Assam.

Humeridens Thoms. (rubus Fabr. forte, pulverosa Pasc., Wieneckei Kaup). — Timor.

Lactiflua Fairm. — New Britain.

Laena Thoms. - Aru Isl., New Guinea.

Var. Sappho Thoms. - Cape York.

Lineolata Chevr. (Chinensis Thoms., Catenata (De Haan) Voll.). — Japan, China.

Meleager Pasc. - Bouru.

Mniszechi Thoms. — Philippine Isl.

Nebulosa Bates. - Duke of York Isl., Fidgi Isl.

Plutonica Thoms. (Orpheus Pasc.). - Morty.

Rosenbergii Kaup. - Flores.

Roylei Hope (Parryi Hope, Porus Parry, Princeps Redtenb., Megacriodes ebenina Voll.). — Assam, Sylhet, Himalaya.

Rubus L. (rufomaculata Deg., rubiginosa Voet, cruentata Gmel.). — Mauritius, Bourbon, Reunion, E. India.

Var. Chlorinda Thoms. — E. India.

Var. Thysbe Thoms. - Cochinchina.

Thomsoni Javet. - Borneo, Banka, Riouw, Sumatra.

Titana Thoms. (ferruginea Thoms.). — E. India, Ceylon, Sumatra.

Var. Javanica Thoms. - Java.

1) Judging from the short and bad description of Hope's Lamia Horsfieldi, I think it most probable that it will prove to belong to the form of Batocera Titana Thoms with large ill-defined spots on the elytra; if the examination of Hope's type might show the correctness of my supposition, B. Titana becomes a synonym of Horsfieldi, being subsequent in date to the latter.

Var. Ajax (Dej.) Thoms. (Numitor Newm.). — Java,
Banka, Sumatra, Celebes, Philippine Isl.

Una White. — New Hebrides.

Victoriana Thoms. — Borneo.

Wallacei Thoms. — Aru Isl.

Var. Proserpina Thoms. (Woodlarkiana Montr. forte 1).

— New Guinea, Salomon Isl., Ins. Woodlark.

Wallei Chovr. (Albertiana Thoms.) — Old Calebor. Gar.

— New Guinea, Salomon Isl., Ins. Woodlark.

Wyliei Chevr. (Albertiana Thoms.). — Old Calabar, Gaboon, Congo.

1) The description of *B. Woodlarkiana* Montr. does not at all agree with *B. Boisduvali* Hope, and the authors of the Munich Catalogue have wrongly placed it in synonymy with the latter. I think it more probable that it must be referred to *B. Wallacei* var. *Proserpina* Thoms., of which I have seen specimens from the Salomon Islands, a group of islands close to the island of Woodlark.