

NOTE III.

A REVISION OF THE GENUS CLIDICUS

BY

E. WASMANN S. J.

This interesting genus of gigantic Scydmaenidae was described by Laporte, comte de Castelnau, in the Ann. Soc. Entom. de France, 1832, p. 396, and based on the first known species *Clidicus grandis*; the same species has been figured in the Atlas of the Genera des Coléoptères of Lacordaire, tab. 16, fig. 4, and Fairmaire (Ann. Soc. Ent. de France, 1856, p. 317) added some complementary remarks to its description. In 1863 Pascoe (Journ. of Entomology, II, p. 28, plate II, fig. 3) described a second species as *Cl. formicarius*. A third species, *Cl. taphrocephalus*, was added by Gestro in 1878 in the Ann. Mus. Civ. Genova (XII, p. 144). The *Clidicus Doriae*, described by Schaufuss in the Ann. Mus. Civ. Genova, 1884 [(2) I, p. 419], does not differ essentially from *formicarius* according to Reitter (Wien. Entom. Ztg. 1887, p. 64). Reitter himself described (loco citato) a supposed new species of *Clidicus* under the name of *Ganglbaueri*; but this description was founded upon a mistake, corrected by Reitter on p. 303 of the same volume; the species, which Reitter supposed to be *Cl. grandis* Casteln. proved to be *taphrocephalus* Gestro, and *Ganglbaueri* Reitter is certainly *grandis* of Castelnau, as the latter author has described as *grandis* a species with triangular, highly bituberculated head.

Nevertheless I think, we must consider *Cl. Ganglbaueri*

Notes from the Leyden Museum, Vol. XVIII.

not simply synonymous with *grandis* Cast., because the specimen in the Hofmuseum of Vienna, which Reitter has described as *Ganglbaueri*, belongs to a form of *grandis* with smaller, not perfectly rounded thorax, which I find also among the *grandis*-specimens of the Museum of Leyden and which must be considered as a variety of *grandis*, whose typical form has a larger, perfectly globose thorax. Perhaps it will be proved later, that this difference is only of sexual or individual character; but meanwhile it will be safer to retain *Ganglbaueri* as a variety of *grandis*. Finally I found in the collection of the Leyden Museum a specimen named (but not described) as *villosulus* Vollenh., which is identical with *formicarius* Pasc.

The *Clidicus* of the Hofmuseum of Vienna and of the Rijksmuseum of Leyden, being sent to me for revision by the kindness of Messrs. Ganglbauer and Ritsema; I think it not superfluous to give a short systematical synopsis based on 23 specimens of the 3 above named species ¹⁾, adding also some biological remarks.

The three species of *Clidicus* agree in their large size, brown or yellowish brown colour, in the ochraceous villosity, which is always longer on the elytra, in the sharp, ant-like division of the body into three parts, the head being connected with the thorax by a short neck, the thorax highly convex and rounded anteriorly and posteriorly, the elytra convex and amplified, and in the long, slender, ant-like legs. — They differ especially in the form of the head, in the length of the antennae and in the sculpture of the elytra: *grandis* and *formicarius* have the head posteriorly bilobate, in *taphrocephalus* it is simply rounded; the antennae attain in *formicarius* $\frac{1}{2}$, in *grandis* $\frac{3}{4}$ of the length of the body, in *taphrocephalus* they are as long as the body; the elytra are in *grandis* rather superficially striated, with plain intervals, in *formi-*

1) *Erineus monstrosus* Walk. (thorace subquadrato) belongs also to this genus according to Pascoe (loc. supra cit.), but is unknown to me.

carius and *taphrocephalus* deeply striated, with convex intervals; the points of the striae are very large in *formicarius*, small in *grandis* and *taphrocephalus*; in *formicarius* the thorax is slightly transverse, in *grandis* as long as broad, in *taphrocephalus* distinctly oblong.

Synopsis of species.

A. Capite postice bilobato, ideoque subtriangulari.

a. Antennae breves, corporis medium vix attingentes, art. 3^o paullo longiore 2^o, 4—10 subquadratis; palpi maxillares articulo ultimo latitudine duplo tantum longiore, valde inflato. Caput longitudinaliter late sulcatum, vertice haud foveolato. Thorax paullo transversus, subcordatus (antice latior), elytra profunde striatopunctata, punctis striarum magnis, striarum interstitiis latera versus convexis. Pedes medio-criter elongati. Castaneus vel nigropiceus. 7—8 mm. Java, Borneo, Sumatra:

formicarius Pasc.

(*villosulus* v. Vollenh. i. l.).

Thorace sparsius punctato:

Var. *Doriae* Schauf.

b. Antennae longae, corporis medium longe superantes at corpore breviores, art. 3^o duplo longiore 2^o, 3—10 elongato-triangularibus, sensim brevioribus; palpi maxillares articulo ultimo valde elongato, minus inflato, latitudine plus triplo longiore. Caput longitudinaliter profunde sulcatum, vertice minutissime foveolato. Thorax haud transversus, globosus vel subglobosus, elytra obiter striatopunctata, striis vix impressis (fere seriato-punctata), punctis sat parvis, striarum interstitiis planis. Pedes valde elongati. Ferrugineus vel rufopiceus. 8—9 mm. Java:

grandis Casteln. (nec Rtt.):

α. thorax major, globosus, valde convexus, postice perpendicularis (hinten senkrecht abfallend):

grandis Cast. in spec.

β . thorax minor, subglobosus, basin versus distincte angustior (sed haud transversus ut in *Cl. formicario*), minus convexus, postice obliquus (hinten schräg abfallend):

Var.? *Ganglbaueri* Rtrr. 1)

B. Capite postice haud bilobato, ideoque rotundato.

Antennae longissimae, corporis longitudine, art. 3^o duplo longiore 2^o, 3—10 elongato-conicis, sensim brevioribus; palpi maxillares articulo ultimo latitudine triplo longiore, modice inflato. Caput in fronte linea longitudinali impressa, haud sulcatum, in vertice late profundeque foveolatum. Thorax oblongo-ovalis (latitudine distincte longior), elytra striis profunde impressis, punctis striarum parvis, interstitiis striarum convexis. Pedes longissimi. Piceus vel piceo-testaceus. 7—7½ mm. Borneo. In Mus. Civico Genuensi et Mus. Imperiali Vindobonensi:

taphrocephalus Gestro.
(*grandis* Rtrr. nec Casteln.).

The habits of this remarkable genus of Scydmaenidae are but very imperfectly known. The myrmecoid form of the body alone would not allow us to declare this genus myrmecophilous, as the general »habitus” of all Scydmaenidae has something of an »ant-form”, wherefore Müller and Kunze in their Monograph of Scydmaenidae 2) simply called the whole family »ant-beetles” (Ameisenkäfer). But only a few genera, as *Chevrolatia*, *Euthiconnus* and *Napochus*, are regularly living in the society of ants, in their nests or in the immediate vicinity, and are therefore regu-

1) There are some transitions between these two forms of α and β among the *Cl. grandis* of the Leyden Museum. Among the 18 *Cl. formicarius* of the various collections I find no similar difference in the size and form of the thorax; this seems to insinuate, that the noted difference in *grandis* is not a sexual one. On the other hand the *grandis* with the larger thorax have also a larger head, what might be supposed a sexual character.

2) Monographie der Ameisenkäfer (Schriften d. Naturforsch. Gesellsch. Leipzig, I, 1822).

larly myrmecophilous (gesetzmässig myrmekophil) ¹⁾. If this is the case also in *Clidicus*, must be decided by observations, which are very scarce till now. The specimen of *Clidicus grandis* Cast. (Var. *Ganglbaueri* Rtrr.) in the Wiener Hofmuseum has the note affixed: »Ploem, Java 1870; bei Ameisen (*Lobopelta*).» The last three words are in the handwriting of Dr. G. Mayr, the famous myrmecologist of Vienna.

Moreover Fruhstörfer wrote to me, that he found *Clidicus formicarius* in western Java with ants (Pengalengan, 4000', 1893); the ant is, according to Prof. Emery's information, *Lobopelta (Leptogenys) Fruhstorferi* Em. Therefore it seems probable, that the genus *Clidicus* is indeed myrmecophilous and lives with ants of the genus *Lobopelta*.

Exaeten near Roermond, January 1896.

1) Wasmann, Kritisches Verzeichniss der myrmekophilen und termitophilen Arthropoden (Berlin, 1894), pp. 122—125.