Further corrigenda and addenda to Systematic notes on Asian birds 1-8 in Zoologische Verhandelingen Leiden 331

Comment 6 is apparently not correct because primary homonyms are p. 107 permanently invalid (Art. 57.2, International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, 4th Edition; I.C.Z.N., 1999); they can thus have no type. This in-

course set out in Art. 23.9.5 of the Code (I.C.Z.N., 1999). Thus Pitta gigas Blyth, 1843, can have no type. p. 109 Comment 19 is mistaken. Since Gould's name appeared first, Sharpe's

validation can only be prevented, in certain cases, by following the

name, even though intended to appear first, is a primary homonym. Gould's name has two syntypes as discussed. Sharpe's name has none (contra Warren & Harrison, 1971: 575)