

Systematic notes on Asian birds. 3.¹

Types of the Eurylaimidae

R.W.R.J. Dekker, E.C. Dickinson, S. Eck & S. Somadikarta

Dekker, R.W.R.J., E.C. Dickinson, S. Eck & S. Somadikarta. Systematic notes on Asian birds. 3. Types of the Eurylaimidae.

René W. R. J. Dekker, National Museum of Natural History, P.O. Box 9517, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands. (e-mail: dekker@naturalis.nnn.nl).

Edward C. Dickinson, c/o The Trust for Oriental Ornithology, Flat 3, Bolsover Court, 19 Bolsover Road, Eastbourne BN20 7JG, U.K. (e-mail: asiaorn@ftech.co.uk).

Siegfried Eck, Staatliches Museum für Tierkunde Dresden, A. B. Meyer Bau, Konigsbrucker Landstrasse 159, D-01109 Dresden, Germany. (e-mail: seck@snsd.de).

Soekarja Somadikarta, Dept. of Biology, Faculty of Science and Mathematics, University of Indonesia, Depok Campus, Depok 16424, Indonesia. (e-mail: soma30@indo.net.id).

Keywords: Eurylaimidae; broadbills; types.

A list of 62 names applied to Asian forms of species of broadbill (Eurylaimidae) is provided, with information on the whereabouts of one or more type specimens. Where our information does not include reliable information we provide notes to explain the deficit and to stimulate others to offer additional data or sources of information.

Introduction

The planned 'synopsis' of the birds of Asia, which is a primary goal of the collaboration between the National Museum of Natural History, Leiden, the Netherlands and the Trust for Oriental Ornithology, will include data allowing researchers to know where to examine a type specimen, and some details of that type.

Although there are published type catalogues for some museums (e.g. Arbocco et al., 1978; Bangs, 1930; Deignan, 1961; Elter, 1986; Hartert, 1922; Herman et al., 1990; Storer, 1988; Warren, 1966; Warren & Harrison, 1971, 1973)², and other museums have begun a series of notes on their types (e.g. Voisin, 1992, 1993, 1995; Voisin & Voisin, 1996 and Voisin et al. 1997), for some others there are none. We here try to bring together the available information and to appeal for help over those types that we have not located or have not understood.

It is not our intent to provide complete lists of syntypes in the 'synopsis' but rather to make clear where at least one type (the holotype, the lectotype or a syntype or paralectotype) can be found. In the synopsis the details of that type will be given. When we list a syntype we do not intend that this should be construed as the designation of a lectotype. We are open to the idea of adding brief notes, in the synopsis, as to where further types can be found.

Many early Latin binomials, especially those of Linnaeus and of Gmelin, were based on prior works in which birds had been named in English or French, or even in Latin but not in the strict binomial convention that Linnaeus adopted. The new Latin

¹ An invitational series arranged by René W.R.J. Dekker and Edward C. Dickinson under the auspices of the National Museum of Natural History, Leiden, The Netherlands, and the Trust for Oriental Ornithology, U.K.

² These are only those referred to for types dealt with in this paper.

binomials were associated by the more careful of these authors with the earlier names, and with references to the works in which these appeared with page and plate numbers given. This has facilitated explaining relevant type material. Some authors, such as P.L. Statius Müller, were much less specific about the origins of their new names (Stresemann, 1952), and even some relatively modern papers have been published in which no type details whatsoever were included.

Very often the oldest specimens were not preserved or have not survived (Stresemann, 1952)³. In some instances where no specimen is thought to survive, the specimen that was the subject of a plate painted from it may have been, or may usefully be, designated as the holotype (a designation which, in practice, makes the plate of special relevance) (Art. 73.1.4 of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, 4th ed., 1999). We shall draw attention to these cases where we believe such a designation has been, or should have been, made but we have not researched the designation and cannot cite it nor vouch that the form of that designation complies with the Code (ICZN, 1999).

Methodology

In these papers, dealing with families or, when these are large, one or more genera at a time, our primary objective is to stimulate a supply of missing information from our readers. We therefore provide a table showing the names applied to the taxa, with author(s) and date, and the acronym of a museum holding a type. Where one is lacking, we refer to a note following the table. The list is arranged by species and subspecies; names in synonymy are in date order and are arranged in a way that is consistent with the preceding preliminary review (Dekker & Dickinson, 2000).

We have not limited ourselves to names included in 'Peters's Check-list of Birds of the World' and new names since, but have sought to include the old names that figured in the Catalogue of Birds in the British Museum (Sclater, 1888) and were, because of their inclusion there, excluded from 'Peters'. The importance of these names is, of course, that they may remain available for populations that may in future be deemed distinct but which appear not to have a name. This has required further research, as a result of which we have omitted those which seem to us to be new 'combinations' wherein the generic name changed but the specific epithet was maintained. There may be cases where, in doing this, we have erred. The most probable source of error is likely to be our failure to notice that the apparent new combination applies the name to a different geographical source; where this is accompanied by specimens, and these are described, valid names are created (although they will be junior synonyms). Should our readers note that we have omitted names that meet this dual test we should much appreciate being advised so that our 'synopsis' may benefit from such corrections.

There is also the matter of '*nomina nova*'. These are included. They take as their types the type specimens of the names that they replace (Art. 72.7 of the International

³ In rare cases underlying specimens relating to the original names, if they were preserved, have survived (e.g. the specimen now in Vienna, from the Leverian Museum upon which the name of [Todus] *macrorhynchos* Gmelin, 1788, was based). Where in existence, these are, of course, type specimens.

Code of Zoological Nomenclature, 1999). All names, unless otherwise indicated, have been checked to the original citation and original spellings are used. In the case of unusual spellings we have added the usual adjunction 'sic'.

As part of this work we are, incidentally, trying to establish which types have been lost e.g. as a consequence of World War II. It is clear that types in the Philippine Museum were all lost (Sibley, 1946), that many in Dresden were destroyed, although a few types were sent back in 1982 from Leningrad, and that in Japan almost all of Kuroda's collection was lost as was that of Taka-tuskasa and perhaps others (Austin et al., 1948). Some Japanese material is also known to have been lost to the 1923 earthquake.

Although we have largely relied on published type catalogues and type data we have sometimes tried to confirm museum holdings, and occasionally with the help of the relevant curators it has been possible to obtain additional or corrected information (this especially true of the next part of the type catalogue of the American Museum of Natural History which we were kindly shown in draft). In our personal searches for types, which one cannot safely describe as exhaustive even for the few museums that we have visited, we have been privileged to be able to access and examine type material, as detailed under Acknowledgements. It should not be assumed however that we have re-examined any particular type although we shall quite often have done so; findings relating to collection data will be reported in the Synopsis.

We have elected not to include here the museum registration numbers for any types. Of our several reasons for this the principal one is that we wish to avoid any interpretation that a listed specimen is a lectotype. Details we have selected to include in the 'Synopsis' will therefore appear in due course. By then we hope that data on 'missing' types will often have become available.

Corydon sumatranus

C. s. laoensis

<i>Corydon sumatranus laoensis</i>	Meyer de Schauensee	1929	ANSP ⁴
<i>Corydon sumatranus morator</i>	Deignan	1947	USNM
<i>Corydon sumatranus ardescens</i>	Deignan	1947	USNM
<i>Corydon sumatranus khmerensis</i>	Deignan	1947	USNM

C. s. sumatranus

<i>Coracias Sumatranus</i>	Raffles	1822	?	1.
<i>Eurylaimus corydon</i>	Temminck	1822	RMNH	2.
<i>corydon Temminckii</i> nom. nov.	Lesson	1828	RMNH	3.
<i>Corydon sumatranus pallescens</i>	Deignan	1947	USNM	

C. s. brunnescens

<i>Corydon sumatranus brunnescens</i>	Hartert	1916	AMNH
---------------------------------------	---------	------	------

C. s. orientalis

<i>Corydon sumatranus orientalis</i>	Mayr	1938	AMNH
--------------------------------------	------	------	------

Cymbirhynchus macrorhynchos

C. m. affinis

<i>Cymbirhynchus affinis</i>	Blyth	1846	ZSI	4.
------------------------------	-------	------	-----	----

C. m. malaccensis

⁴ A key to the acronyms is given at the end of this paper.

<i>Cymborhynchus</i> [sic] <i>malaccensis</i>	Salvadori	1874	MZUT	
<i>Cymbirhynchus macrorhynchos siamensis</i>	Meyer de Schauensee & Ripley	1940	ANSP	
<i>C. m. macrorhynchos</i>				
[<i>Todus</i>] <i>macrorhynchos</i>	Gmelin	1788	NMW	5.
<i>Todus nasutus</i>	Latham	1790	NMW	5.
<i>Platyrhinchus ornatus</i>	Desmarest	1805	NMW	5.
<i>Eurylaimus lemniscatus</i>	Raffles	1822	?	6.
<i>Erolla nasica</i>	Lesson	1830	?	7.
<i>Cymbirhynchus macrorhynchos tenebrosus</i>	Meyer de Schauensee & Ripley	1940	ANSP	
<i>Eurylaimus javanicus</i>				
<i>E. j. pallidus</i>				
<i>Eurylaimus javanicus pallidus</i>	Chasen	1935	BMNH	
<i>Eurylaimus javanicus friedmanni</i>	Deignan	1947	USNM	
<i>E. j. harterti</i>				
<i>Eurylaimus javanicus harterti</i>	van Oort	1909	RMNH	
<i>Eurylaimus javanicus billitonis</i>	Kloss	1931	RMNH	
<i>E. j. javanicus</i>				
<i>Eurylaimus Javanicus</i>	Horsfield	1821	BMNH	
<i>Eurylaimus horsfieldii</i> nom. nov.	Temminck	1823	BMNH	8.
<i>E. j. brookei</i>				
<i>Eurylaimus javanicus brookei</i>	Robinson & Kloss	1919	BMNH	9.
<i>Eurylaimus ochromalus</i>				
<i>Eurylaimus ochromalus</i>	Raffles	1822	BMNH	
<i>Eurylaimus cucullatus</i>	Temminck	1824	RMNH	
<i>Eurylaimus Rafflesii</i>	Lesson	1837	?	10.
<i>Eurylaimus ochromalus meciurus</i>	Oberholser	1912	USNM	
<i>Eurylaimus ochromalus kalamantan</i>	Robinson & Kloss	1919	BMNH	9.
<i>Eurylaimus steerii</i>				
<i>E. s. samarensis</i>				
<i>Sarcophanops Samarensis</i>	Steere	1890	BMNH	11.
<i>E. s. steerii</i>				
<i>Eurylæmus Steerii</i>	Sharpe	1876	UMMZ	12.
<i>Eurylaimus steerii</i> [sic] <i>mayri</i>	Salomonsen	1953	ZUMC	
<i>Serilophus lunatus</i>				
<i>S. l. rubropygius</i>				
[<i>Raya</i>] <i>Rubropygia</i>	Hodgson	1839	BMNH	
<i>S. l. elisabethae</i>				
<i>Serilophus lunatus elisabethæ</i>	La Touche	1921	MCZ	13.
<i>Serilophus lunatus aphobus</i>	Deignan	1948	USNM	
<i>Serilophus lunatus atrestus</i>	Deignan	1948	AMNH	
<i>S. l. polionotus</i>				
<i>Serilophus lunatus polionotus</i>	Rothschild	1903	AMNH	
<i>S. l. impavidus</i>				
<i>Serilophus lunatus impavidus</i>	Deignan	1948	FMNH	
<i>S. l. lunatus</i>				
<i>Eurylaimus lunatus</i>	Gould	1834	?	14.
<i>Serilophus lunatus intrepidus</i>	Deignan	1948	AMNH	
<i>S. l. stolidus</i>				

<i>Serilophus lunatus stolidus</i>	Robinson & Kloss	1919	BMNH	
<i>S. l. rothschildi</i>				
<i>Serilophus rothschildi</i>	Hartert & Butler	1898	AMNH	
<i>S. l. intensus</i>				
<i>Serilophus lunatus intensus</i>	Robinson & Kloss	1916	BMNH	
<i>Serilophus lunatus moderatus</i>	Chasen	1939	RMNH	
<i>Psarisomus dalhousiae</i>				
<i>P. d. dalhousiae</i>				
<i>Eurylaimus Dalhousiae</i>	Jameson	1835	NMSZ	15.
[<i>Raya</i>] <i>Sericogula</i>	Hodgson	1839	BMNH	
<i>Psarisomus assimilis</i>	Hume	1875	BMNH	
<i>P. d. cyanicauda</i>				
<i>Psarisomus dalhousiae cyanicauda</i>	Riley	1935	USNM	
<i>P. d. divinus</i>				
<i>Psarisomus dalhousiae divinus</i>	Deignan	1947	USNM	
<i>P. d. psittacinus</i>				
<i>Eurylaimus psittacinus</i>	S. Müller	1835	RMNH	
<i>P. d. borneensis</i>				
<i>Psarisomus dalhousiae borneensis</i>	Hartert	1904	AMNH	16.
<i>Calyptomena viridis</i>				
<i>C. v. caudacuta</i>				
<i>Calyptomena</i> [sic] <i>caudacuta</i>	Swainson	1838	UMZC	17.
<i>Calyptomena viridis continentis</i>	Robinson & Kloss	1923	BMNH	
<i>C. v. viridis</i>				
<i>Calyptomena viridis</i>	Raffles	1822	BMNH	18.
<i>Calyptomena Rafflesia</i> nom. nov.	Swainson	1838	BMNH	
<i>Calyptomena viridis gloriosa</i>	Deignan	1947	USNM	
<i>C. v. siberu</i>				
<i>Calyptomena viridis siberu</i>	Chasen & Kloss	1926	BMNH	
<i>Calyptomena hosii</i>				
<i>Calyptomena Hosii</i>	Sharpe	1892	BMNH	
<i>Calyptomena whiteheadi</i>				
<i>Calyptomena whiteheadi</i>	Sharpe	1888	AMNH	

Comments

1. Raffles's types: Sir Thomas Stamford Raffles presented birds collected in Sumatra to the Zoological Museum of the East-India Company (Horsfield & Moore, 1854); in due course the collection of this museum was accessioned by the British Museum (specimens BMNH 1860.4.16.1-000, 1879.11.28.1-000 and 1880.1.1.1-0000), including some of Raffles's types from Sumatra. One or more other types reached the British Museum from the collection of the Zoological Society of London (Warren, 1966). Yet others seem to have resurfaced and been accessioned in relatively recent times. Only some of the types of taxa that he described from Sumatra (Raffles, 1822) have survived and are now present in the Natural History Museum. The types of neither *Coracias Sumatrana* nor *Eurylaimus lemniscatus* are listed as

having been in the East-India Company holdings although Raffles's drawings of these were (Horsfield & Moore, 1854). It may be presumed, from the fact that other type specimens from the same source were then there, that the specimens did not survive. The drawings may be extant; the drawings in the East-India Company's museum may have been duplicates and others may have come to London direct, including NHD 4. Nos. 537-665 (Archer, 1962). These require further study. Raffles's paper is believed to have appeared between March and May 1822 (Kinnear & Robinson, 1927).

2. The exact date of Raffles's name is being reviewed separately. Its retention here is designed to maintain the status quo until this is addressed.
3. Lesson's name was proposed as a *nomen novum* for Temminck's name, which dates from May 1822, because Lesson used *Corydon* as a new generic name.
4. *Cymbirhynchus affinis*, based upon 'a good series', was represented in Sclater's time by three presumed syntypes (Sclater, 1892). Types deposited in Calcutta, originally in the Museum of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, later called the Indian Museum, and most recently the Zoological Survey of India, have been reviewed (Sclater, 1892). Sclater found that some 39 of Blyth's names for which he expected to find types did not in fact then appear to have such specimens in Calcutta. Since then all the material present will have aged further and probably have suffered from a lack of air-conditioning. In addition, the museum premises are said to have suffered from a flood and it is thought that more types may now be missing. An up-to-date type catalogue is said to be in an advanced stage of preparation.
5. Gmelin's name was based upon the Great-billed Tody of Latham, 1782⁵, A General Synopsis of Birds, vol. I, pt 2, p. 664. Latham's text stated that the specimen depicted was well preserved in the Leverian Museum, a point about which Pelzeln did not report (Pelzeln, 1873). Some years later the author named it *Todus nasutus* Latham, 1790, Index Orn. 1, p. 268, which of course must yield precedence to Gmelin's name. No *terra typica* was specified. This was reviewed by the first reviser (Hartert, 1904) who affirmed that the accompanying plate depicted a Bornean specimen. The specimen in Vienna, about which we have heard from Dr H. Schifter (pers. comm., 23 Apr. 1999), was bought by Leopold von Fichtel at the auction of the Museum Leverianum (no. 78), acquisition no. 1806.III.13, and has always been listed as the type of *Todus nasutus* Latham. It is also quite clear from Desmarest's description that his name is based on the same specimen. There seems no reason to suppose from the author's description that *Platyrhynchos nasutus* Vieillot, 1818, is other than a new combination proposed by Vieillot in the context of his dictionary article on the genus *Platyrhynchos* (it is therefore not includ-

⁵ Two editions of Latham's General Synopsis exist (see Mathews, 1925); the one which is usually cited which consists of three volumes each divided into two parts, published between 1871 and 1783, and one which consists of six volumes, which, according to the title page, were all published in 1781. The pagination in both editions is the same.

ed in our table). The name *Eurylaimus nasutus* Temminck, 1822, is also a new combination implicitly based on Latham, 1790, consequent upon the explicit mention of Latham, 1782. The *terra typica* for Temminck's name is sometimes cited as Sumatra (the precision of which may have been seen as a basis for accepting this as a valid name); however the original description specifies only "iles de la Sonde"; this, not Sumatra, must be taken as the inferential designation of a type locality for both *Todus nasutus* Latham, 1790, and for *Todus macrorhynchos* Gmelin, 1788 – none, so far as we can tell, having been specified before. This has subsequently been corrected to Borneo by Hartert (1904) who judged that the original plate depicted a Bornean bird.

6. See Note 1.
7. This name was based on a specimen from Sumatra collected, probably in 1818-19, by Duvauzel (Lesson, 1830).
8. This name was proposed for specimens from Java by Temminck, who was well aware that Horsfield had already named this population. Temminck, however, held the view that a geographical name was inappropriate and so he provided one that was not. Temminck's name is essentially a *nomen novum* and its types are those of *Eurylaimus javanicus* Horsfield.
9. After the Second World War it was thought that at least 32 bird types from the Raffles Museum, Singapore, had been lost (Gibson-Hill, 1949). Among these the type of *Eurylaimus javanicus brookei* was listed, as was that of *Eurylaimus ochromalus kalamantan*, but both had been accessioned by the British Museum in 1919, and they have been reported to be in the type collection (Warren & Harrison, 1971).
10. The Latin name appears in a footnote with "*E. ochromalus* sir Raffles, Cat. XII, 297". There is a description, but the name appears to be a direct synonym of Raffles's name. As the latter was supposed to be based on both Singapore and Sumatran birds it is possible that Lesson felt a different name was required for those from Sumatra. It is not clear that he had any specimens in hand.
11. This type was not in the type catalogue of the British Museum (Natural History) (Warren & Harrison, 1971). It has now been placed in the type collection and details have been published (Dickinson et al., 1991).
12. Due to the designation of a lectotype (Salomonsen, 1953) the type now in the Natural History Museum, Tring should be regarded as a paralectotype.
13. Sometimes the trivial name is spelled as *elizabethae* (Peters, 1951); strangely although La Touche wrote "named after my daughter Elizabeth" he applied the spelling *elisabethae* (La Touche, 1921).
14. This is the first missing Gould type that we have to list; we hope that current

- work by others on Gould will lead to the rediscovery of some of his types. (See colour plate 2).
15. The citation given for this (Peters, 1951) is erroneous; the description appears on page 389 not page 589.
 16. The article in which this name appeared was published in 1904 (Hartert, 1922). It is often cited as 1905 (Peters, 1951).
 17. We have not completed our enquiries into the disposal of Swainson's collection; some of his specimens are thought to be in Philadelphia but many are in the University Museum of Zoology, Cambridge, U.K. A type catalogue has just appeared and this type is reported to be there (Benson, 1999). As explained in Dekker & Dickinson (2000; this issue) Singapore birds must be treated with those of peninsular Malaysia and therefore this name must take priority – unless its type can be shown not to be typical of this population and thus not from Singapore. Swainson's name has sometimes been cited as *acuticauda* by later authors but this is purely a *lapsus*.
 18. The name *Rupicola viridis* Temminck, 1823, is an admitted synonym of Raffles's name and a new combination. Although painted and described from one or more specimens available to Temminck his name is not entitled to recognized types.

Summary of types of unknown whereabouts

We would specifically welcome information concerning the types of *Coracias Sumatrana* Raffles, 1822; *Eurylaimus lemniscatus* Raffles, 1822; *Erolla nasica* Lesson, 1830; *Eurylaemus Rafflesii* Lesson, 1837; and *Eurylaimus lunatus* Gould, 1834.

Acknowledgements

This work could not have been undertaken in a timely fashion had not significant portions of it been readily available in published type catalogues or in catalogues in preparation. We are well aware of the work required to compile a reliable type catalogue and we wish to record our gratitude for all the work that went into each of these.

In connection with this work, mainly based at our own institutions or at the Natural History Museum, Tring, UK (ECD), one or more of us has visited the following additional museums: Berlin (SS 1997), Dresden (SS 1997), New York (ECD 1999), and Paris (SS 1998, ECD, 1999). At these museums we have been welcomed and assisted by Robert Prys-Jones, Michael Walters and some of their predecessors at Tring, by George Barrowclough, Mary LeCroy, Paul Sweet and some of their predecessors in New York, and by Jean-François and Claire Voisin in Paris.

We have also corresponded with Herbert Schifter who has been most helpful about material in Vienna, where we have also had valuable assistance from Ernst Bauernfeind and Amita Gamauf. When ECD was working on the BOU Checklist of

Birds of the Philippines type data was also collected, which has been reused in this series, to those acknowledged in that connection a further word of thanks is now due.

ECD is most grateful to the American Museum of Natural History for a collection study grant and SS is most pleased to acknowledge financial assistance from the Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (1996), the Deutscher Academischer Austauch Dienst (1997), and from the French Government (1998).

In connection with the essential library studies we wish to thank Mrs F.E. Warr for help with the bulk of the search; additional bibliographic help was received from Mark Adams and Emma Bennett, who we also thank. We wish to thank Ann Datta, chief librarian of the zoological library of The Natural History Museum, London, and Pat Hart for arranging and taking the photograph of Plate 25 of the type of *Eurylaimus lunatus* Gould, 1834, from the Transactions of the Zoological Society of London 1 (2).

Michael Walters, David Wells and Carlo Violani have kindly read this paper in draft and helped us with valuable comments and suggestions, which we greatly appreciate.

Acronyms

AMNH	American Museum of Natural History, New York.
ANSP	Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadelphia.
BMNH	Natural History Museum, Tring - formerly the British Museum (Natural History).
FMNH	Field Museum of natural History, Chicago.
MCZ	Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard.
MZUT	Museo di Zoologia dell' Universita di Torino.
NMSZ	National Museums of Scotland – Zoology, Edinburgh.
NMW	Naturhistorisches Museum Wien, Vienna.
RMNH	National Museum of Natural History, Leiden - formerly Rijksmuseum van Natuurlijke Historie.
UMMZ	University of Michigan Museum of Zoology, Ann Arbor
UMZC	University Museum of Zoology, Cambridge. ⁶
USNM	United States National Museum, Washington DC.
ZSI	Zoological Survey of India, Calcutta.
ZUMC	University Museum of Zoology, Copenhagen.

References

- Arbocco, G., L. Capocaccia & C. Violani, 1978. Catalogo dei tipi di uccelli del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Genova.— Ann. Mus. Civ. Stor. Nat. Genova 82: 184-265.
- Archer, M., 1962. Natural History Drawings in the India Office Library, Commonwealth Relations Office. i-ix, 1-115.— London.
- Austin, O.L., M. Hachisuka, T. Haruo & N. Kuroda, 1948. Japanese Ornithology and Mammalogy during World War II (An annotated Bibliography): 1-45.— Tokyo.
- Bangs, O.C., 1930. Types of birds now in the Museum of Comparative Zoology.— Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. 70(4): 145-426.
- Benson, C.W., 1999. Type specimens of bird skins in the University Museum of Zoology, Cambridge, United Kingdom.— Brit. Orn. Cl. Occ. Publ. 4: 1-221.
- Blyth, E., 1846. Notices and descriptions of various new or little known species of birds.— J. Asiatic Soc. Bengal 15 (169): 280-315.

⁶ Until recently this acronym was in use for ZUMC.

- Chasen, F.N., 1935. Four new races of Malaysian birds.— Bull. Raffles Mus. 10: 43-44.
- Chasen, F.N., 1939. Preliminary diagnoses of new birds from North Sumatra.— Treubia 17(2): 137-138.
- Chasen, F.N. & C.B. Kloss, 1926. Spolia Mentawiensis. Birds.— Ibis (Ser. 12) 2: 269-306.
- Deignan, H.G., 1947. New races of Asiatic Broadbills (Eurylaimidae).— Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash. 60: 119-122.
- Deignan, H.G., 1948. The races of the Silver-breasted Broadbill *Serilophus lunatus* (Gould).— J. Wash. Acad. Sci. 38(3): 108-111.
- Deignan, H.G., 1961. Type specimens of birds in the United States National Museum.— U.S. Nat. Mus. Bull. 221: i-x, 1-718.
- Dekker, R.W.R.J. & E.C. Dickinson, 2000. Systematic notes on Asian birds. 2. A preliminary review of the Eurylaimidae.— Zool. Verh. Leiden 331 (this volume).
- Desmarest, A-G., 1805. Histoire Naturelle des Tangaras, des Manakins et des Todiers.— Paris (unpaginated).
- Dickinson, E.C., R.S. Kennedy & K.C. Parkes, 1991. The Birds of the Philippines. An annotated Check-list. BOU Check-list No. 12: 1-507.— Dorchester.
- Elter, O., 1986. Cataloghi VIII. La collezione ornitologica del Museo di Zoologia dell' Universita di Torino.— Mus. Reg. Sci. Nat., Torino (1986): 1-513.
- Gibson-Hill, C.A., 1949. Bird and Mammal Type Specimens formerly in the Raffles Museum Collections.— Bull. Raffles Mus. 19: 133-198.
- Gmelin, J.F., 1788. *Systema Naturae, per regna tria Natura: secundum Classes, Ordines, Genera, Species, cum Characteribus, Differentiis, Synonymis, Locis.* 13th edition. Tome 1, Pars I. 1-500.— Lugduni.
- Gould, J., 1834. On an undescribed species.— Proc. Zool. Soc. London (1883, part I): 133-134.
- Hartert, E., '1905' = 1904. Fam. Eurylæmidæ.— In Wytsman, P. (ed.). *Genera Avium. Passeres.* 1st part: 1-8. Brussels.
- Hartert, E., 1916. [*Corydon sumatranae brunnescens*, subsp. n].— Bull. Brit. Orn. Cl. 37: 4.
- Hartert, E., 1922. Types of birds in the Tring Museum. B. Types in the General Collection. Cont.— Novit. Zool. 29: 365-412.
- Hartert E. & A.L. Butler in Hartert, E., 1898. [*Serilophus rothschildi*, Hartert & Butler, sp. n].— Bull. Brit. Orn. Cl. 7: 50.
- Herman, J.S., R.Y. McGowan & G.N. Swinney, 1990. Catalogue of the type specimens of Recent Vertebrates in the National Museums of Scotland.— Edinburgh. 34 pp.
- Hodgson, B.H., 1839. On a new genus of the Fissirostral Tribe.— J. Asiatic Soc. Bengal, 8: 35-36.
- Horsfield, T., 1821. A systematic arrangement and description of birds from the island of Java.— Trans. Linn. Soc., London 13: 133-200.
- Horsfield, T. & F. Moore, 1854-58. A catalogue of the birds in the Museum of the Hon. East India Company: 1-752.— London.
- Hume, A.O., 1875. A first list of the birds of Upper Pegu.— Stray Feathers 3(1-3): 1-194.
- ICZN, 1999. International Code of Zoological Nomenclature. 4th. Edition: 1-306.— London.
- Jameson, P., 1835. Proceedings of the Wernerian Natural History Society.— Edinburgh New Philos. J. 18: 389-390.
- Kinnear, N.B. & H.C. Robinson., 1927. [Sir Stamford Raffles's "Descriptive Catalogue of a Zoological Collection made on the Island of Sumatra and its Vicinity"].— Bull. Brit. Orn. Cl. 47: 127-130.
- Kloss, C.B., 1931. Some birds from Billiton island (Sumatra).— Treubia 13(3/4): 293-298.
- La Touche, J.D.D., 1921. [*Serilophus lunatus elisabethæ*, subsp. nov].— Bull. Brit. Orn. Cl. 42: 14.
- Latham, J., 1782. A general synopsis of birds. Vol. I, pt 2: 418-788.— London.
- Latham, J., 1790. *Index ornithologicus, sive systema ornithologiæ; complectens avium divisionem in classes, ordines, genera, species, ipsarumque varietates: adjectis synonymis, locis, descriptionibus, &c. Studio et opera. 1. i-xviii, 1-500.*— London.
- Lesson, R.P., 1828. *Manuel d'Ornithologie.* 1. i-iv, 1-421.— Paris.
- Lesson, R.P., 1830-31. *Traite d'Ornithologie ou Tabl. Method:* 1-659.— Paris.

- Lesson, R.P., 1837. Histoire Naturelle générale et particulière des Mammifères et des oiseaux découverts depuis la mort de Buffon (Complement des oeuvres de Buffon) 8: 1-511.— Paris.
- Mathews, G.M., 1925. The Birds of Australia. Bibliography of the Birds of Australia: i-viii, 1-149. — London.
- Mayr, E., 1938. Notes on a collection of birds from south Borneo.— Bull. Raffles Mus. 14: 5-46.
- Meyer de Schauensee, R., 1929. A collection of birds from Siam.— Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Philad. 80 (1928): 553-580.
- Meyer de Schauensee, R. & S.D. Ripley, 1940. Zoological results from the George Vanderbilt Sumatran Expedition, 1936-1939. Pt. I. Birds from Atjeh.— Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Philad. 91 (1939): 311-368.
- Müller, S., 1835. Aanteekeningen, over de natuurlijke gesteldheid van een gedeelte der westkust en binnenland van Sumatra.— Tijdschr. Natuur. Gesch. Phys. 2: 315-355.
- Oberholser, H.C., 1912. Descriptions of one hundred and four new species and subspecies of birds from the Barussan islands and Sumatra.— Smithsonian Misc. Coll. 60(7): 1-22.
- Pelzeln, A. von, 1873. On the birds in the Imperial Collection at Vienna obtained from the Leverian Museum.— Ibis (Ser. 3) 3: 14-54.
- Peters, J.L., 1951. Check-list of Birds of the World.— Cambridge, Mass., Mus. Comp. Zool., 7: 1-318.
- Raffles, S.T.S., 1822. Second part of the descriptive catalogue of a zoological collection made in the island of Sumatra and its vicinity.— Trans. Linn. Soc., London 13: 277-331 (Birds).
- Riley, J.H., 1935. Two new forms of birds from southeastern Siam.— Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash. 48: 53-54.
- Robinson, H.C. & C.B. Kloss, 1916. Preliminary diagnoses of some species and subspecies of mammals and birds obtained in Korinchi, West Sumatra.— J. Straits Br. Roy. Asiatic Soc. (73): 269-278.
- Robinson, H.C. & C.B. Kloss, 1919. [*Eurylaimus javanicus brookei*, subsp. nov.; *Eurylaimus ochromalus kalamantan*, subsp. nov].— Bull. Brit. Orn. Cl. 40: 15-16.
- Robinson, H.C. & C.B. Kloss, 1923. Eleven new Oriental Birds.— J. Fed. Mal. St. Mus. 11: 53-57.
- Rothschild, Lord, 1903. [*Serilophus lunatus polionotus*, n. subsp.].— Bull. Brit. Orn. Cl. 14: 7-8.
- Salomonsen, F., 1953. Miscellaneous notes on Philippine birds.— Vidensk. Medd. Dansk. naturh. For. 115: 205-281.
- Salvadori, T., 1874. Nota intorno al genere *Cymborhynchos* Vigors.— Atti R. Accad. Sci. Torino 9: 418-429.
- Slater, P.L., 1888. Catalogue of the Passeriformes, or perching birds, in the British Museum. 14: 1-494.— London.
- Slater, W.L., 1892. On the Indian Museum and its collection of birds.— Ibis (Ser. 6) 4: 65-87.
- Sharpe, R.B., 1876. Prof. Steere's expedition to the Philippines.— Nature 14 (Aug 3.): 297-298.
- Sharpe, R.B., 1888. On a new species of *Calyptomena*.— Proc. Zool. Soc. London (1887): 558.
- Sharpe, R.B., 1892. Description of a new species of *Calyptomena* from North-western Borneo.— Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. (6) 9: 249.
- Sibley, C.G., 1946. Notes and News.— Condor 48: 46-47.
- Steere, J.B., 1890. A list of the birds and mammals collected by the Steere Expedition to the Philippines: 1-30.— Ann Arbor, Michigan.
- Storer, R.W., 1988. Type specimens of birds in the collections of the University of Michigan Museum of Zoology.— Misc. Publ. Mus. Zool., Univ. Michigan (174): i-iv, 1-69.
- Stresemann, E., 1952. On the birds collected by Pierre Poivre in Canton, Manila, India and Madagascar (1751-1756).— Ibis 94: 499-523.
- Swainson, W., 1838. Animals in Menageries: i-viii, 1-373.— London.
- Temminck, C.J. in Temminck, C.J. & M. Laugier de Chartrouse, 1820-1839. Nouveau Recueil des Planches Coloriées d'Oiseaux.— Paris.
- van Oort, E.D., 1909. On *Gerygone* and *Eurylaimus* species from Java and from Sumatra.— Notes Leyden Mus. 31(13): 207-210.
- Vieillot, L.P., 1818. Nouveau Dictionnaire d'Histoire Naturelle, 27, PLA-POR: 1-586.— Paris.
- Voisin, C., 1993. Liste des spécimens types d'ibis (Threskiornithines) de la collection du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle de Paris.— L'Oiseau 63 (1): 45-53.

- Voisin, J-F., 1992. Liste provisoire des types d'oiseaux des collections du Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle de Paris. 1. Pelecaniformes.— *L'Oiseau* 62 (2): 162-172.
- Voisin, J-F., 1995. Liste des types d'oiseaux des collections du Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle (France) 3. Podicipediformes.— *Bull. Mus. Hist. Nat.* (4) 17: 343-351.
- Voisin, J-F., J-L. Mougin & C. Jouanin, 1997. Liste des types d'oiseaux des collections du Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle (France) 5. Procellariiformes (avec une note sur les catalogues du Laboratoire des Mammifères et Oiseaux).— *Zoosystema* 19 (4): 757-768.
- Voisin, C. & J-F. Voisin, 1996. Liste des types d'oiseaux des collections du Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle de Paris. 4. Herons (Ardeidae).— *Bull. Mus. Hist. Nat.* (4) 18: 595-609.
- Warren, R.L.M., 1966. Type-specimens of birds in the British Museum (Natural History) Vol. 1. Non-Passerines: 1-320.— London.
- Warren, R.L.M. & C.J.O. Harrison, 1971. Type-specimens of birds in the British Museum (Natural History) Vol. 2. Passerines: 1-628.— London.
- Warren, R.L.M. & C.J.O. Harrison, 1973. Type-specimens of birds in the British Museum (Natural History) Vol. 3. Systematic Index: 1-76.— London.

Received: 31.viii.1999

Accepted: 14.ii.2000

Edited: C. van Achterberg