The systematic placement of *Afrocampsis* van Achterberg & Quicke (Hymenoptera: Braconidae): molecular and morphological evidence indicate that it belongs to Helconinae s.l. not Sigalphinae

D.L.J. Quicke, S. Manzari & C. van Achterberg

Quicke, D.L.J., S. Manzari & C. van Achterberg. The systematic placement of *Afrocampsis* van Achterberg & Quicke (Hymenoptera: Braconidae): molecular and morphological evidence indicate that it belongs to Helconinae s.l. not Sigalphinae.

Zool. Med. Leiden 76 (23), 27.xii.2002: 443-450, figs 1-3.— ISSN 0024-0672.

Donald L.J. Quicke, Department of Biological Sciences, Imperial College at Silwood Park, Ascot, Berkshire SL5 7PY, UK, and Department of Entomology, The Natural History Museum, London SW7 5BD, UK (e-mail: d.quicke@ic.ac.uk);

Shahab Manzar, Department of Biological Sciences, Imperial College at Silwood Park, Ascot, Berkshire SL5 7PY, UK and Insect Taxonomy Research Department, Plant Pests & Diseases Research Institute, P.O. Box 1454, Tehran 19395, Iran;

Kees van Achterberg, Nationaal Natuurhistorisch Museum, Afdeling Entomologie (Hymenoptera), Postbus 9517, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands (e-mail: achterberg@naturalis.nnm.nl).

Key words: Braconidae; Sigalphinae; Helconinae; Diospilini; *Afrocampsis; Canalicephalus; Urosigalphus;* phylogeny; biology; morphology; convergence; 28S rDNA.

The D2 expansion region of 28S rDNA and the smaller D3 region of *Afrocampsis griseosetosus* van Achterberg & Quicke, 1990, were analysed. Molecular and morphological evidence indicate that *Afrocampsis* is the sister group of *Urosigalphus* + *Canalicephalus* (forming a separate clade close to the tribe Diospilini) or that it is associated with a group of the subfamily Helconinae s.s.

Introduction

Afrocampsis van Achterberg & Quicke, 1990, was described on the basis of one quite frequently collected Afrotropical species and included in the subfamily Sigalphinae Haliday, 1833. At the time of its recognition as a new genus, van Achterberg & Quicke (1990), its authors were unaware that, within the Braconidae, vein 2CU of the hind wing could occur outside of the subfamilies Sigalphinae, Agathidinae Haliday, 1833, Pselaphaninae van Achterberg, 1985, Meteorideinae Čapek, 1965, and Trachypetinae Schulz, 1911 (= Cercobarconinae Tobias, 1979). Given this, and the fact that its metasoma is carapace-like as in all other sigalphines, it seemed that Afrocampsis was best placed in the Sigalphinae. However, Afrocampsis does not fit well in the Sigalphinae because of the absence of fore wing vein 1SR, the slender marginal cell of the fore wing, the posteriorly converging veins mcu and 1M of fore wing, its enlarged hind claws, the widened hind femur and tibia, the enlarged vein r-m of fore wing, the absence of vein r of hind wing, the slender ovipositor sheath, the presence of a malar suture, and the lamelliform frontal carina. It is now apparent that because of the presence of vein 2CU of the hind wing and the enlarged hind claws, Afrocampsis may be more closely related to the Urosigalphus group of genera, and putative synapomorphies supporting this relationship are the enlarged tarsal claws, absence of fore wing vein 1SR, vein r-m of fore wing unsclerotized, and the metapleuron without distinct oblique groove. It is not clear whether the short vein 2CU of the hind wing is also a synapomorphy or a symplesiomorphy, but the former is a valid possibility given its position and the sporadic distribution through the family (viz., in Trachypetinae, Meteorideinae, Agathidinae+Sigalphinae+Pselaphaninae, the *Urosigalphus* group, and also in the Apozyginae if indeed these are Braconidae) (Quicke et al., 1999a).

Materials and Methods

We recently had the opportunity to attempt to obtain DNA sequence data from *Afrocampsis griseosetosus* van Achterberg & Quicke, when one of us (DLJQ) discovered several specimens that were preserved in 70% ethanol and had been maintained in a cold room in Ottawa at <5°C for 12 years. Although old parasitic wasp specimens have often proved very recalcitrant to sequencing attempts (Quicke et al., 1999b), that these specimens had been kept cool might have increased the survival of the DNA. A weak amplification product was obtained and sequenced.

Taxa included in the analysis

Table 1. List of taxa of the family Braconidae Nees, 1812, used for the molecular phylogenetic analysis with the EMBL/GenBank accession numbers for 28S D2-D3 rDNA sequences, and collection data where not published previously. Cenocoeliinae were included to represent the 'euphoroid clade' (see Belshaw & Quicke, 2002).

Subfamily Agathidinae Haliday, 1833	Schizoprymnus spec. 1 UK, Z97947
Alabagrus haenschi (Enderlein, 1920),	Schizoprymnus spec. 2 Israel, AJ302934
AJ302787	Brulleia spec., AJ302798
Earinus elator (Fabricius, 1804), Z97944	Diospilus spec., AF029134
<i>Euagathis</i> spec., AJ302810	Eubazus semirugosus (Nees, 1816), Z83608
Subfamily Blacinae Foerster, 1862	Taphaeus spec., AJ302832
Blacus spec. 1, Z79750	Ussurohelcon nigricornis van Achterberg,
Blacus spec. 2, AJ302796	1994, AJ302912
Blacus (Tarpheion) spec., AJ517427	Subfamily Homolobinae van Achterberg, 1979
Subfamily Cenocoeliinae Szépligeti, 1901	Exasticolus spec., Panama, Chiriqui, AJ517426
Cenocoelius analis (Nees, 1834), Z83605	Subfamily Macrocentrinae Foerster, 1862
<i>Capitonius</i> spec., AJ245687	Macrocentrus spec., Z97948
Subfamily Helconinae Foerster, 1862 s.l.	Subfamily Meteorideinae Capek, 1965
gen. nr <i>Eadya</i> spec., AJ302814	Meteoridea spec., AJ416976
Foersteria spec., AJ302813	Subfamily Microtypinae Szépligeti, 1908
<i>Triaspis</i> spec., AJ302833	Microtypus wesmaelii Ratzeburg, 1848,
Vadum spec., AJ302834	AJ302822
Austrohelcon spec., AJ416970	Subfamily Sigalphinae Haliday, 1833
Helcon spec. 1 France, Z97946	Sigalphus gyrodontus He & Chen, 1994,
Helcon spec. 2 Turkey, AJ517425	AJ416966
Wroughtonia spec., AJ416792	Sigalphus irrorator (Fabricius, 1775), Z97942
Eubazus (Aliolus) lepidus (Haliday, 1835),	Acampsis alternipes (Nees, 1816), Z83609
AJ517424	Unplaced taxa
<i>Nealiolus</i> spec., AJ517422	Afrocampsis griseosetosus van Achterberg &
Aspigonus diversicornis Wesmael, 1835,	Quicke, 1990, AJ517428
Russian Far East, AJ517421	Canalicephalus spec., AJ302799
Aspicolpus spec., Russian Far East, AJ517423	Urosigalphus spec., AJ302923

DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted from single legs using the DNeasy Tissue Kit (Qiagen) by crushing and incubating at 55°C for 12-36 hours in Proteinase K, with elution into 30 µl distilled water. Standard 50 µl PCR reactions were then carried out in a GeneAmp 9600 thermal cycler using 1.0 µl DNA extract, 5 µl *Taq* buffer (1.5 mM MgCl2), 1.5 U *Taq* polymerase (Roche), 10 nmol dNTPs (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech; APB) and 20 pmol of each primer. Primer sequences for PCR amplification of the D2 expansion region of 28S rDNA (and the smaller D3 region) were: forward primer 5' GCG AAC AAG TAC CGT GAG GG3'; reverse primer 5'TAG TTC ACC ATC TTT CGG GTC3'. The GFX gel band purification kit (APB) was used in order to clean PCR products, which were then sequenced in both directions with the same primers using Big Dye terminator at half recommended volumes on an ABI 3700 automated sequencer. PCR condition were 35 cycles of 95°C denaturation (30 s), 45°C annealing (30 s) and 72°C extension (1 min) with an initial denaturation for 2 mins and a final extension for 4 mins.

Phylogenetic analysis of molecular data

We initially aligned the *Afrocampsis* sequence by eye next to the previously obtained sigalphine sequences (three species in two genera); our alignment also included 33 other noncyclostome braconid sequences representing a wide range of subfamilies, and in particular, a range of helconine s.l. sequences. Many differences were noted including the absence of several putatively apomorphic features that are present in all previously obtained agathidine+sigalphine sequences.

Additional alignments were performed using Clustal X. We investigated a range of gap opening: gap extension: transversion: transition cost ratios in order to test the sensitivity of our results to these alignment parameters. We used the Clustal X default transversion: transition cost ratio. However, the highly length variable parts of the sequences meant that for many of these sets of costs, Clustal X could not create an alignment. The four cost sets that worked were 10.0: 6.7: 1.0: 0.5, 15.0: 6.7: 1.0: 0.5, 10.0. 5.0: 1.0: 0.5 and 15.0: 5.0: 1.0: 0.5. Heuristic searches on manually aligned data and on Clustal-generated alignments were carried out using PAUP* version 4.06b (Swofford, 1998) by carrying out 10,000 random additions followed by TBR branch-swapping but keeping only one tree in memory at a time (Quicke et al., 2001). Gaps were treated as missing data.

Results and discussion

In addition to adult and larval features (Shaw & Quicke, 2000), the 28S D2 rDNA gene fragment of the Agathidinae+Sigalphinae clade displays many autapomorphies including indels and substitutions (figs 1, 2). More than 300 taxa in all but three braconid subfamilies have been sequenced to date; see also Belshaw et al. (1998, 2000); Belshaw & Quicke (2002) and Quicke et al. (in preparation). None of these molecular features are displayed by *Afrocampsis*. Instead, parsimony analyses of the aligned sequence data either place it as the sister group of *Urosigalphus* Ashmead, 1889 + *Canalicephalus* Gibson, 1977, and forming together a clade close to the tribe Diospilini Foerster, 1862 (fig. 3a, b), in a grade next to *Urosigalphus* + *Canalicephalus* which leads to the Meteorideinae+Agathidinae+Sigalphinae (all taxa with vein 2CU of hind wing developed)

(fig. 3c), or separate from *Urosigalphus* + *Canalicephalus* and instead associated with either the helconine genus *Foersteria* Szépligeti, 1896 (figs 3e, f) or a larger clade (fig. 3d). Three of these analyses, plus analysis of the manually-aligned data (not shown) associate *Afrocampsis* with *Urosigalphus* + *Canalicephalus*, either forming a clade or a grade. All three of these genera display a short but distinct vein 2CU of the hind wing (Quicke et al., 1999a) and have a carapace-like metasoma. Neither in the above analyses nor in previously published ones which were based additionally on several computer generated sequence alignments using POY (Wheeler, 1996) and which also included *Urosigalphus* and *Canalicephalus* as well as many additional taxa, did these latter two genera appear

Taxon	Sequence fragments	5		
Afrocampsis griseosetosus	TTACGATGT	-GA	-NTT	GTCC
Canalicephalus spec.	TTGCGATGT	-GA	-ATT	ATCC
Urosigalphus spec.		MISS	SING	DATA
Sigalphus gyrodontus	TAGTGATGT	-GA	-ATT	GTC-GA-TAAAGTTTCTGAC
Sigalphus irrorator	TAGTGATGT	-GA	-TTT	GTTTGAAGAATGTTTCTGAC
Acampsis alternipes	TAGTGATGC	-GA	-GTT	GTTTAACAGGATTTTTAATC
Alabagrus haenschi	TAGTGATGC	-AA	-GTT	TTTGGTAAGAGGGTCAAATC
Earinus elator	TAATGATGT	-GA	-GTT	TTTGGTAAGAGGGTTRAACC
Euagathis spec.	TAGTGATGT	-TG	-GTT	TTGTTGGTC-GGGTCATTCC
Blacus spec. 1	TTATGATGT	-AA	-GTT	ATCC
Blacus spec. 2 (341)	TTATGATAT	-GA	-GTT	GTCC
Blacus (Tarpheion) spec.	TTGTGATGT	-GA	-TTT	ATCC
Cenocoelius analis	TCATGATGC	-AT	-GTT	GTTT
Capitonius spec.	TTTTGATAT		-GTA	GTCC
gen. nr <i>Eadya</i>	TTGCGATAC	-GT	-TTGA	ГСТСС
Triaspis spec.	TTGCGATGT	-GA	-GTT	ATCC
Diospilus spec.	TTGCGATAT	AATGT-	-ATT	ТТСТ
Vadum spec.	TGGTGATGC	-GA	-TGT	ATAT
Austrohelcon spec.	TTGCGATGT	-AA	-GTT	ATCC
Helcon spec. France	TTATGATGT	-ТА	-GTT	GTCC
Helcon spec. Turkey	TTATGATGT	-ТА	-GTT	GTCC
Wroughtonia spec.	TTATGATGTTAGTG	GTGTG	rgtt	ATCC
Ussurohelcon nigricornis	TTGCGATGT	-GAGA	-GAT	ATCC
Eubazus lepidus		MISS	SING	DATA
Nealiolus spec.	TTGCGATGT	-GA	-GTT	ATCC
Foersteria spec.	TTGYGATGT	-AG	-GTT	АТСС
Aspigonus diversicornis	TTGCGATAT	-AA	-TAT	ACGT
Aspicolpus spec.	TTGCGATGT	-AR	-GATT-	GTCC
Schizoprymnus spec. UK	TTGCGATGT	-GA	-GTT	ATCC
Schizoprymnus spec. Israel	TTGCGATGT	-GA	-GTT	ATCC
Brulleia spec.	TTATGATGT	-AA	-GTT	АТСС
Eubazus semirugosus	TTGCGATGT	-GA	-GTT	АТСС
<i>Taphaeus</i> spec.	TTGCGATAT	-AA	-ATT	ТТСТ
Exasticolus spec.	TTGCGATGTTAA	-GA	-AATT-	TTTC
Macrocentrus spec.	TTGTGATAA	-AA	-ATT	TTTC
Meteoridea spec.	TTATGATAT	-GG	-GTT	ATTT
Microtypus wesmaelii	TTGCGATGTTGA	-GA	-ATTT	TTTTTTTC

Fig. 1. Manually aligned part of D2 region corresponding to bases starting at position 67 in Fig. 1 in Belshaw et al. (1998) and running into box.

within a monophyletic Helconinae. They do not even associate with them (Belshaw & Quicke, 2002), again suggesting that this group might represent a distinct clade (or clades).

In *Afrocampsis*, the mandibles are not twisted as they are in all Agathidinae + Sigalphinae, i.e., they are not modified to cut through a tough silken cocoon and may therefore have a more primitive function such as chewing through wood. Similarly, the deep sulcus of the frons along with the raised medial projection are particular to (at least in the Ichneumonoidea) species that attack wood-boring insects (protecting the antennal bases as they exit woody tissue – see Vilhelmsen, 1997). These features

Taxon	Sequence fragment
Afrocampsis griseosetosus	CTTTAATGTCATCGCAAGATGTTACTATTAAAGACC
Canalicephalus spec.	CTTTGATGTCATTGCAAAATGWTACATTGGAGACC
Urosigalphus spec.	CTTTAGTATCATTGCAAAATGTTATATTGAAGGCC
Sigalphus gyrodontus	CTTCGATACATTCATTTGTATTGGAGACC
Sigalphus irrorator	CTTCGATACATTCATTTGTATTGAAGACC
Acampsis alternipes	CTTTGATACATTAATTTATATTGAAGACC
Alabagrus haenschi	CTTTAATATTTTATATTAAAGTCC
Earinus elator	CTTTGATATTTTTATATTAAAGACC
Euagathis spec.	CTTCAATATTT-CATTATATTGAAAGCC
Blacus spec. 1	CTTCGAAGTCACCGCAAGGTGTTATTTTGAAGACC
Blacus spec. 2 (341)	CTTTGAAGTCA-TCGTAAGATGTTACTTTAGAGGCC
Blacus (Tarpheion) spec.	CTTTGACATTTATGTTGAAGGCC
Cenocoelius analis	TTCTAATTTTTTAAATTGGAGACC
Capitonius spec.	CTTTAATTYATTGAATTGAAGACC
gen. nr <i>Eadya</i> spec.	CTTTAATATCATAGCAATATGTTATATTGAAGACC
Triaspis spec.	CTTTGATGTTT-GCCGCAAGGTATAATATTGAAGACC
Diospilus spec.	CTTTAATGTCA-TCTTTTAGATGTTACATTGAAGACC
Vadum spec.	CTTTAATGTCA-TCTTATAGATGTTACATTGAAGACC
Austrohelcon spec.	CTTTAATGTCGCCGCGAGGTGTTATATTGAAGACC
Helcon spec. France	CTTCGATGTTC-ACCGTTAAAACGTGTAATATTGAAGACC
Helcon spec. Turkey	CTTCGATGTTC-ACCGTTAAAACGTGTAATATTGAAGACC
Wroughtonia spec.	CTTTAATGTTGTAAGGGTTACCTTTAAAATATTAAAGACC
Ussurohelcon nigricornis	CTTTGATGTCACTACATACTGGTGTTACATTGAAGACC
Eubazus lepidus	CTTTGATGTTA-GCCGCAAGGTATTATATTGAAGACC
Nealiolus spec.	CTTTAATGTTTTGCCGTAAGGTATTATATTGAAGACC
Foersteria spec.	CTTTGATGTTACNGTAAGGTGTTATATTGAAGACC
Aspigonus diversiscornis	CTTTGATGTCG-TCTTATAGACGTTACATTGAAGATC
Aspicolpus spec.	CTTTGATGTCACCGCAAGGTGTAATGTTGAAGACC
Schizoprymnus spec. UK	CTTTGATGTTT-GCCGCAAGGTATTGATGTTGAAGACC
Schizoprymnus spec. Israel	CTTTGATGTTT-GCCGCAAGGTATTGATGTTGAAGACC
Brulleia spec.	CTTTGATGTCACCTATGTGTGCTATGTTGAAGACC
Eubazus semirugosus	CTTTGATGTTT-GCCGCAAGGTATTATTTTGAAGACC
Taphaeus spec.	CTTTAATGTCATTGTAAAATGTTATATTGAAGATC
Exasticolus spec.	CTTTAATGTAC-ACTTT-AATCGGTGTTATTGTTAAAGACC
Macrocentrus spec.	CTTTAGTAATG-TTTTTATTAATATTATTGAAGACC
Meteoridea spec.	CTTTAATATCA-TCTTACGATGTTTTATATTAAAATCC
Microtypus wesmaelii	CTTTAATGTAC-ACTTT-AATCGGTGTTATTGTTGAAGACC

Fig. 2. Manually aligned part of D2 region corresponding to bases starting at position 200 in Fig. 1 in Belshaw *et al.* (1998) and running into box.



Sigalphus gyrodontus Sigalphus irrorator Acampsis alternitor Acampsis alternitor Eurinus elator Eurinus elator Eurinus elator Capitonius spec. Macrocentrus spec. Meteorida spec. Canalicephalius spec. Urosigalphus spec. Asprogenus griseosetosus Valum spec. Taphaeus spec. Asprogenus titoersicornis gen. nr. Eadya spec. Taphaeus spec. Eubazus semirugosus Eubazus (Altolus) lepidus Schizorymmus spec. 1 Schizorymmus spec. Biacus (Catolus) spec. Biacus spec. Biacu



Schizorymmus spec. 1 Schizorymmus spec. 2 Triaspis spec. Eubrazus sentrargoaus Eubrazus (Allolias) lepidus Blacus spec. 1 Blacus spec. 1 Blacus spec. 4 Schizorymis spec. Nealiolius spec. Nealiolius spec. Disspilus spec. Disspilus spec. Sigalphus spec. Disspilus spec. Sigalphus spec. Sigalphus spec. Sigalphus spec. Acampois alternior Sigalphus spec. Acampois alternior Acampois alternior Sigalphus spec. Urosigalphus spec. Urosigalphus spec. Urosigalphus spec. Urosigalphus spec. Horonal spec. Matsorholas spec. Urosigalphus spec. Matsorholas spec. Vaustrohelcon spec. Palcon spec. 2 Wronghtonia spec. Sustrohelcon spec. Sustrohelcon spec. Palcan spec. 1









Sigalphus irrorator Acampsis alternipes Sigalphus gerodontus Eurapathis spec. Canalicephalus spec. Urosigalphus spec. Urosigalphus spec. Diospilus spec. Diospilus spec. Diospilus spec. Softicoprymnus spec. 2 Schicoprymnus spec. 2 Schicoprymnus spec. 2 Schicoprymnus spec. Schicoprymnus spec. Schicoprymnus spec. Schicoprymnus spec. Schicoprymnus spec. Schicoprymnus spec. Aspicolpus spec. Aspicolpus spec. Aspicolpus spec. Aspicolpus spec. Forestria spec. Afrocampsis griscosetosus Eusticolus spec. Hicrotypus wesmadii Ussurohelcon nigricornis Austrohelcon spec. Captocolius spec. Blacus (Tarpheon) spec. Blacus (Tarpheon) spec. Helcon spec. 1



Euagathis spec. Alabagrus haenschi Sigalphus gyrodortus Sigalphus urrontous Sigalphus tromotos Sigalphus tromotos Earnitus elatore Meteorida spec. Assigonus diversicornis gen. nr Eadus spec. Assigonus diversicornis gen. nr Eadus spec. Nueliolus spec. Austrohekom spec. Microtypus pec. Microtypus spec. Ususurohekom nigricornis Blacus spec. Unswightunia spec. Libusarus (Alolus) lepidus Aspitolipus spec. Urosigulphus spec. Chilaporphinus spec. Schilaporphinus spec. Schilaporphinus spec. Schilaporphinus spec. Disspilus spec. Dispilus spec. Candotchis spec. Dispilus spec. Candotchis spec. Concocclius analis Blacus Spec. Macrocentrus spec. Dispilus spec. Candotoin spec. Sec. Dispilus spec. are consistent with the placement of *Afrocampsis* near to *Urosigalphus* and not with the Sigalphinae (+Agathidinae) because the latter are all parasitoids of Lepidoptera larvae from which they exit to form cocoons in situations that are relatively easy to escape from. In contrast, *Urosigalphus* species are parasitoids of bruchid beetle larvae in beans and other seeds (Gibson, 1972; Sharkey, 1997) and egress from the host's feeding chamber requires chewing through hard plant substrate.

Removal of *Afrocampsis* from the Sigalphinae makes the latter subfamily much better defined, viz. fore wing vein m-cu more or less diverging from 1M posteriorly, claws normal, mostly with a large lobe, metapleuron with a distinct oblique groove, no distinct lamelliform frontal carina, no malar suture, ovipositor sheath widened, vein r-m of fore wing normal and vein r of hind wing present. We believe that much of the confusion that exists at present about the composition of various braconid subfamilies, and the changes that molecular data are forcing (see e.g., Belshaw & Quicke, 2002) are due to the very high levels of homoplasy in many morphological characters as a direct result of rampant parallelism (van Achterberg, 1988; Gauld & Mound, 1982). Combining molecular and morphological data seem to provide the best solution at present and hopefully will lead to the discovery of monophyletic groups that can then be used better to interpret the evolution of life history features. Sadly, as the taxa concerned in this study illustrate, we are also lacking a lot of fundamental information about hosts among the Braconidae, as the biologies of neither *Afrocampsis* nor *Canalicephalus* are currently known. Much more careful basic biological work is needed, especially in tropical regions.

Acknowledgements

Mike Sharkey (Lexington) kindly pointed out to us the morphological features that suggest a close association of *Afrocampsis* with the Helconinae (s.l.) and that it is probably associated with a wood or seed feeding host and did not appear to be a sigalphine. He initiated this study, and equally importantly, made a major contribution through his involvement with building the CNCO cold alcohol collection of parasitoids. We would like to thank Henri Goulet (Ottawa) for permission to sequence some material. SM was supported by a grant from the Government of Iran. Miharu Mori (Silwood Park) kindly provided the *Exasticolus* sequence data. Specimens of some taxa sequenced for this study were provided by Sergey Belokobylskij (St Petersburg) and Mike Sharkey.

References

- Achterberg, C. van & Quicke, D.L.J., 1990. Afrocampsis, a new genus belonging to the Sigalphinae (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) from the Afrotropical region.— Zoologische Mededelingen, Leiden 64: 155-160.
- ◄ Fig. 3. Most parsimonious trees resulting from analysis of clustal alignments of sequence data. A, B, single trees from 10.0: 6.7: 1.0: 0.5 (see text for details) and 15.0: 6.7: 1.0: 0.5 alignments, respectively; C, D, two trees from 10.0. 5.0: 1.0: 0.5 analysis; E, F two trees from 15.0: 5.0: 1.0: 0.5 analysis.

Achterberg, C. van, 1988. Parallelisms in the Braconidae (Hymenoptera) with special reference to the biology: 85-115, figs. 1-101. In: Gupta, V.K. (ed.) Advances in parasitic Hymenoptera research: 1-546.— Leiden.

- Belshaw, R. & Quicke, D.L.J., 2002. Robustness of ancestral state estimates: evolution of life history strategy in ichneumonoid parasitoids.— Systematic Biology 51: 450-477, figs 1-9.
- Belshaw, R., Dowton, M., Quicke, D.L.J. & Austin, A.D., 2000. Estimating ancestral geographic distributions: a Gondwanan origin for a group of principally north temperate aphid parasitoids.— Proceedings of the Royal Society, London B 267: 491-496.
- Belshaw, R., Fitton M.G., Herniou E., Gimeno, C. & Quicke, D.L.J., 1998. A phylogenetic reconstruction of the Ichneumonoidea (Hymenoptera) based on the D2 variable region of 28S ribosomal DNA.— Systematic Entomology 23: 109-123.
- Gauld, I.D. & Mound, L.A., 1982. Homoplasy and the delimitation of holophyletic genera in some insect groups.— Systematic Entomology 7: 73-86.
- Gibson, L.P., 1972. Revision of the genus Urosigalphus of the United States and Canada (Hymenoptera: Braconidae).— Miscellaneous Publications of the Entomological Society of America 8(3): 85-134, figs 1-57.
- Quicke, D.L.J., Basibuyuk, H.H., Fitton, M.G. & Rasnitsyn, A.P., 1999a. Morphological, palaeontological and molecular aspects of ichneumonoid phylogeny (Hymenoptera, Insecta).— Zoologica Scripta 28: 175-202.
- Quicke, D.L.J., Belshaw, R. & Lopez Vaamonde, C., 1999b. Preservation of hymenopteran specimens for subsequent molecular and morphological study.— Zoologica Scripta 28: 261-267.
- Quicke, D.L.J., Taylor, J. & Purvis, A., 2001. Changing the landscape: A new strategy for estimating large phylogenies.— Systematic Biology 50: 60-66.
- Sharkey, M.J., 1997. Subfamily Helconinae: 260-272, figs 1-26. In: Wharton, R.A., Marsh, P.M. & Sharkey, M.J. (eds). Manual of the New World genera of the family Braconidae (Hymenoptera).— Special Publications of the International Society of Hymenopterists 1: 1-439, figs.
- Shaw, M.R. & Quicke, D.L.J., 2000. The biology and early stages of *Acampsis alternipes* (Nees) and implications for the relationships of the Sigalphinae (Hymenoptera: Braconidae).— Journal of Natural History 34: 611-628.
- Swofford, D.L., 1998. PAUP*. Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (* and other methods). Version 4.— Sunderland, Massachusetts.
- Vilhelmsen, L., 1997. Head capsule concavities accommodating the antennal bases in Hymenoptera pupating in wood: Possible emergence-facilitating adaptations.— International Journal of Insect Morphology 2: 129-138.
- Wheeler, W., 1996. Optimization alignment: the end to multiple sequence alignment in phylogenetics?— Cladistics 12: 1-9.

Received: 13.xi.2002 Accepted: 22.xi.2002 Edited: R. de Jong