RESEARCH ARTICLE

A note on the chestnut vine and Séraphin Mottet's 'Dictionnaire Pratique d'Horticulture et Jardinage' (1892–1899)

D.J. Mabberley¹

Key words

Baltet Bois Bon Miconia Mottet Nicholson Sallier

Tetrastigma voinierianum

Veitch Voinier Abstract The discovery, introduction and naming of the chestnut vine (Tetrastigma voinierianum, Vitaceae), a Vietnamese plant, is examined and bibliographic citation corrected. The 173 at the time of writing disregarded new species names and new combinations made in Séraphin Mottet's Dictionnaire Pratique d'Horticulture et Jardinage (1892-1899) include six names in current use, hitherto attributed to later authors. All the other Mottet novelties, as well as the 125 disregarded in Nicholson's 'mother volume', his Illustrated Dictionary of Gardening (four names in current use) and its later Century Supplement (22) have been passed to IPNI editors as have 15 from Bois's Dictionnaire d'Horticulture Illustré: none disturbs current nomenclature save six changes in authority. Many species introduced to cultivation by Veitch were much cited by Mottet and Nicholson but were first published in Veitch catalogues, necessitating a new name in Miconia (Melastomataceae) and seven changes in authority in names in current use.

Published on 20 February 2018

THE CHESTNUT VINE

The chestnut vine, so-called because of its chocolate-brown stems, is a very vigorous liana, widely grown in warm countries as a screening plant or for ground-cover, particularly as it is very shade-tolerant. However, it can become invasive as on the Big Island of Hawaii (Staples & Herbst 2005: 573). In cooler climates, as in The Netherlands, it is sold in pots as a houseplant. In the fourth edition of Mabberlev's Plant-book (Mabberlev 2017b), its Latin name is rendered as Tetrastigma voinierianum (Mottet) Gagnep. (Vitaceae), based on Vitis voinieriana Mottet ((1898-)1899 5: 520), an unlisted place of publication for the basionym, rather than the usual attribution to Baltet (1902). However, it transpires that even Mottet's was not the earliest use of V. voinieriana.

Tetrastigma voinierianum was first collected in the Ninh Binh mountains in what is now northern Vietnam, by Father Henri François Bon (1844–1895) of the Késo / Ke-So Mission (now So Kien in Kien Khe, Ha Nam Province), 60 km from Hanoi, where he grew it over his aviary (Baltet 1902). His herbarium specimens (Bon 2555) collected 24 April 1884 are at P (P00697355, P00697356, P00697357). Under the manuscript name Vitis voinieriana, Bon sent living material to 'M[onsieur]. Voinier', principal veterinarian of the French army of occupation in Hanoi (Grignon 1888, Baltet 1902), Bon noting later in a letter to Charles Baltet (1830–1908), pomologist of Troyes, France, that this plant 'couvrirait une cathédrale'. Aside from his administrative duties in the army, Voinier, who had been in Hanoi since 1884, trialled plantation crops in a nursery established in 'la citadelle', which by 1888 had over 20000 plants (Grignon 1888). He had by then sent 8 000 plants 'aux villages catholiques du Haut Tonkin', including cocoa seedlings to Bon, which would explain their connection and, no doubt, Bon's grateful dedication.

Voinier was recalled to France in 1888. It is perhaps not coincidental that there was a seedsman business, 'Voinier et Blanpied' founded in December 1892 at 14 fg Ste Catherine. Nancy, France (http://gallica.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/bpt6k56652574/ f10), then at '77 St-Georges' where by 1892 succeeded by 'Guérillot' (http://gallica.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/bpt6k5663020s/f15). Baltet (1902) was to note how a Nancy grower considered the chestnut vine one of the most significant recent greenhouse introductions.

Although Bon sent herbarium specimens directly to the Museum d'Histoire Naturelle in Paris from Vietnam (see above), the chestnut vine seems to have been first named when plants were offered for sale to the public as cool-house climbers at 5 fr. each, by J. Sallier fils of Neuilly-sur-Seine (just west of Paris), successor to the great nursery firm of Thibaut and Ketteler of Sceaux (just south of Paris). Sallier had received material from Baltet, herbarium specimens of which, collected by Paul Hariot (1854–1917) in Baltet's cool house at Troyes in 1905, are now in Pierre's Herbarium at P complete with Pierre's MSS and original drawings. These are erroneously labelled T. obovatum Gagnep. (Vitis obovata M.A.Lawson (1875), non Raf. (1830)) - P00697359, as is that collected by Hariot from a plant grown in the Jardin des Plantes from Baltet stock received in 1901 - P00697360.

In his autumn catalogue of 1897, that is after the death of Father Bon, Sallier was apparently the first to publish a description, which was reported in Vienna in January 1898 (Burgerstein & Abel 1898) and shortly thereafter attributed to Sallier (but as from 'Chine') by Hariot, whose publication below is more-or-less contemporary with that of Mottet:

Vitis voinieriana [H.F.Bon ex] Sallier (1897) 2; Burgerst. & F.Abel (1898) 23 'Voinierianum'; Sallier (1898) 9; Har. in Bois ([1893-1899) 1207 as 'V.? Voinieriana Sallier'; Mottet ([1898-] 1899) 5: 520; Baltet (1902) 56, f. 18-21; Cissus voinieriana (Sallier) Viala in Viala & Vermorel (1910) 104, f. 174-180 = Tetrastigma voinierianum (Sallier) Gagnep. (1910).

© 2018 Naturalis Biodiversity Center

You are free to share - to copy, distribute and transmit the work, under the following conditions:

Attribution:

You must attribute the work in the manner specified by the author or licensor (but not in any way that suggests that they endorse you or your use of the work).

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ Wadham College, University of Oxford, United Kingdom; Universiteit Leiden and Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Leiden, The Netherlands; Macquarie University and National Herbarium of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia; e-mail: david.mabberley@rbgsyd.nsw.gov.au.

Notes — Material grown at Kew in the 'Mexican House' was that received from Sallier on 19 May 1904 and is very likely to be clonal material of what Sallier was describing, so, in the absence of any authentic Sallier material from his nursery being found in a herbarium in France, the sheet (http://specimens.kew.org/herbarium/K000701069) is a candidate as neotype for a monographer to consider. Sallier (1897) rightly says 'confinant au Laos', bordering Laos, i.e., not from Laos itself, though many texts starting with Baltet (1902), who noted the plant's being grown outside at the new Jardin Coloniale de Nogent-sur-Marne (P00697358, collected there by Baltet in 1901), now Jardin Tropicale de Paris in eastern Paris, mistakenly say it was a Laotian, rather than Vietnamese, plant.

Although Mottet did not cite Sallier, he, like Burgerstein & Abel (1898), would no doubt have been aware of the catalogue because at this time he was scrutinising all such publications for new introductions as he prepared digests of them for the annual Paris 'almanach', *Le Bon Jardinier*, but it has not been possible for this author to see the 1898 issue in which it is likely to have been reported.

SERAPHIN MOTTET

Séraphin-Joseph Mottet (1861-1930), a horticulturist and prolific writer (Lesourd 1930), was born in Paris, worked in Lyon and Marseille and in 1880 settled back in Paris, where he worked for the great nursery firm, Vilmorin-Andrieux & Cie. He was an authority on, among other things, irises, and wrote monographs on Eremurus (Asphodelaceae), Primula (Primulaceae) and, with G. Boucher, Clematis (Ranunculaceae). In 1885 he was sent to study at the Royal Horticultural Society's garden at Chiswick, England where he learnt English, besides cultivation techniques. He contributed articles to Gardeners' Chronicle for over 30 years (Anon. 1930). In the late 1890s he became one of the compilers of the annual publication, Le Bon Jardinier, providing his articles on new plants from at least 1896. In his publications, largely in Revue Horticole over some 40 years, Mottet described several new species whose names have been picked up by IPNI and other databases. Among these is that of an ornamental, endemic New Zealand sedge (Mottet 1897), Carex vilmorinii (Cyperaceae), named after his employer, but now considered a synonym of C. comans Berggr. On the other hand, his names in current use include Cotoneaster nanshan M.Vilm. ex Mottet (Rosaceae) and Thladiantha oliveri (Cogn.) Mottet (Cucurbitaceae).

MOTTET'S DICTIONNAIRE

Moreover, with a working knowledge of English (Lesourd 1930), 'Dès 1891, il [Mottet] entreprit la traduction du Dictionnaire anglais de jardinage de G[eorge] Nicholson: travail colossal, qui dura dix ans et aboutit à la publication de cinq gros volumes de 800 pages chacun. Cet ouvrage [Le Dictionnaire Pratique d'Horticulture et de Jardinage], épuisé aujourd'hui et très recherché, reste encore le des principal monument bibliographique élevé à l'Horticulture française' [From 1891 Mottet embarked on the translation of George Nicholson's garden dictionary: a colossal labour which took ten years, resulting in the publication of five large volumes each of 800 pages. This work, now out of print and much sought after, remains the principal bibliographic landmark in French horticulture].

Mottet's *Dictionnaire* was produced in 80 parts from 1892 to 1899, but their publication dates and precise contents are still unclear (Stafleu & Cowan 1981: 741), so the dates (spanning

consecutive years) on the volume title-pages are those used here, with square brackets around the more unlikely year, if obvious. The book was a considerable advance on George Nicholson's *Illustrated Dictionary of Gardening* (also issued in parts, 1884–1887) in that he included many species known in Continental horticulture besides the very many brought into cultivation in Britain since the appearance of Nicholson's book, as well as completely new (signed) articles by Mottet himself and others. Although Mottet used Nicholson's original illustrations he augmented them with many more fresh ones, such that the whole work had some 5 000 figures. Unlike Nicholson, he also added species-name authorities to the entries.

In view of all this and, in particular, the fact that the book was re-issued ('Nouveau tirage', but actually a facsimile) in 1938–1939 (Stafleu & Cowan 1981: 742) and is now digitized by the Bibliothèque Nationale in Paris (http://gallica.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/bpt6k62286973), it seems extraordinary that his monumental and widely distributed work, far more familiar to Continental 'users' of plant-names than were many scientific works of the period, has hitherto been disregarded in Anglophone countries. Such books cannot be considered 'obscure'.

Mottet's work in the annual *Le Bon Jardinier* (that in the 1896 issue seen by the author, courtesy Stefan Dressler) is also cited in his *Dictionnaire*, in which, some years ago two combinations for species of kauri (*Agathis*, *Araucariaceae*) were detected (Mabberley 2002), even though no other names from that work had thitherto been noted by compilers. Full examination of Mottet's important work now shows that there are, surprisingly, 174 other validly published names (new species, new combinations and *nomina nova*) there to be attributed to him. Except for the two *Agathis* names, none of these had been, at the time of writing, noted at all in IPNI (2017), WCSP (2017) or The Plant List (http://www.theplantlist.org, last accessed November 2017), which are the benchmarks used here.

In maintaining the files for *Mabberley's Plant-book*, I have therefore checked whether or not any of the rest of the validly published names in Mottet's book antedate those generally accepted for currently used ones. There are indeed six (none effecting name-changes), two referring to New Zealand endemic plants, which names are all made somewhat securer (some by almost a century) by these findings (which may of course in turn be antedated by further bibliographic research as in the case of the chestnut vine), but this note provides the evidence for the attributions to be accepted so far:

Amorphophallus teuszii (Engl.) Mottet 1 (1892[–1893])
 137 as 'Teutzii'; G.Nicholson (1900) 43; N.E.Br. (1901) 149, isonym – Araceae (Tropical Africa)

Basionym. Hydrosme teuszii Engl. (1884) 2.

Bulbinella hookeri (Hook.) Mottet 1 (1892–1893) 430;
 Cheeseman (1906) 718, isonym – Asphodelaceae (New Zealand)

Basionym. Chrysobactron hookeri Colenso ex Hook. (1851) t. 817.

Bulbinella rossii (Hook.f.) Mottet 1 (1892–1893) 430;
 Cheeseman (1906) 717, isonym – Asphodelaceae (New Zealand)

Basionym. Chrysobactron rossii Hook.f. (1844) 72, t. 44.

Note — The binomial was published as a *nomen nudum* by Kirk (1891) 224.

242 Blumea – Volume 62 / 3, 2018

4. Chevaliera fernandae (André) Mottet 1 ([1892–]1893) 639 as 'Chevalliera'; L.B.Sm. & W.J.Kress (1989) 78, isonym – Bromeliaceae (north-east South America)

Basionym. Bromelia fernandae É.Morren ex André (1871) 114, t. 65.

Note — The original description is signed by André, who took up Morren's MS name.

- 5. Dioscorea racemosa (Klotzsch) Mottet 2 (1893 [–1894] 217); Uline (1896) 430, isonym *Dioscoreaceae* (Central America) *Basionym. Helmia racemosa* Klotzsch (1851) 393.
- **6. Wikstroemia alberti** (Regel) Mottet 5 ([1898–]1899) 538; Domke (1932) 362, isonym *Thymelaeaceae* (Turkestan)

Basionym — Stellera alberti Regel (1886) 616.

Although it may seem odd that the currently accepted authorities of names of such well-known plants as those of New Zealand, for example, are antedated in hitherto disregarded French works, this is hardly surprising when the method of putting together *Index kewensis*, the basis for most of today's databases, is considered (see Mabberley 1990, 1991, for discussion). Indeed, there are many other examples of Indopacific plant-names coined in other French books similarly formerly disregarded (see Mabberley 1999, 2004), though those names have latterly been incorporated in standard databases. Other such currently accepted New Zealand plant-names now very recently shown also to be attributed to French authors include *Pimelea gnidia* (J.R.Forst. & G.Forst.) Lam. and *P. prostrata* (J.R.Forst. & G.Forst.) Lam. (*Thymelaeaceae*; see Mabberley 2017a).

UNLISTED NICHOLSON NAMES CITED BY MOTTET

The comprehensive Mottet also drew attention to all the new species and new combinations provided by Nicholson in the 'mother volume', another work well known to 'users' of plant-names. Almost all of the hundreds of Nicholson names published there have been picked up by IPNI etc. (admittedly some only very recently), but some 125 had not at the time of writing. The only four affecting names in current use, including yet another New Zealand plant, make them all more secure (by well over a century in two cases):

 Homalanthus polyandrus (Müll.Arg.) G.Nicholson 2 (1885) 149; Cheeseman (1906) 630, isonym – Euphorbiaceae (New Zealand)

Basionym. Carumbium polyandrum Hook.f. ex Müll.Arg. (1864) 434.

Palicourea racemosa (Aubl.) G.Nicholson 3 (1886) 8;
 Wright & Dewar (1894) 798; Borhidi (2011) 247, isonym – Rubiaceae (northern South America)

Basionym. Nonatelia racemosa Aubl. 1 (1775) 186, t. 72.

3. Ruellia schaueriana (Nees) G.Nicholson 3 (1886) 334; Voss 1 (1894) 813, isonym – *Acanthaceae* (Brazil)

Basionym. Dipteracanthus schauerianus Nees (1838) [3].

Note — See Mabberley (1983).

4. Tigridia vanhouttei Roezl ex G.Nicholson 4 (1887) 39; Espejo & López-Ferr. (1997) 2, isonym – *Iridaceae* (Mexico)

Note — Nicholson has a description and cites Flore des Serres 25 (1875) t. 2174, which plate ('TIGRIDIA? VAN HOUTTEI *Roezl*') has neither analysis nor adequate accompanying description in the associated text (p. 49) by Van Houtte, headed 'TIGRIDIA HOUTTEI B. ROEZL.'

Nicholson produced a 'Century Supplement' to his *Dictionary*, in two parts (1900–1901), in which he took up most of Mottet's innovations but, again, made further nomenclatural innovations, few of which had reached IPNI at the time of writing. Twenty-two amended or additional names have therefore been passed to IPNI, one of them having a minor effect on a name in current use:

Pleurothallis lamprophylla G.Nicholson (1901) 608; Schltr.
 (1918) 205 = Stelis lamprophylla (G.Nicholson) Karremans
 Orchidaceae (Mexico to Panama)

Note — I am indebted to Adam Karremans for help with this name.

DESIRE BOIS'S DICTIONNAIRE

It must also be realised that contemporary with Mottet's and Nicholson's work were the competing Dictionnaire d'Horticulture Illustré, edited by Désiré Bois (1856–1946) and being written in Paris in 40 parts (1893-1899, but their publication dates and precise contents are still unclear) by a team of specialists under the auspices of the Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle. During its publication a new German edition of what had been Vilmorin's Les fleurs de pleine terre (ed. 1, 1860; ed. 4, 1894) was issued in Germany in 50 parts (1894–1896) by Andreas Voss (1857–1924) as Vilmorin's Blumengärtnerei Beschreibung, Kultur und Verwendung des gesamten Pflanzenmaterials für deutsche Gärten (the new names in which have reached IPNI). Both contain nomenclatural novelties, notably in the case of Bois, e.g., many cactus and legume names are all in IPNI, but also 15 at the time of writing that have not reached the index and have now been passed thither, including one affecting the authority of a name in current use:

Felicia cymbalariae (Aiton) Gérôme in Bois (1893–1899) 536; Levyns in Adamson & Salter (1950) 770, isonym – *Compositae/Asteraceae* (South Africa)

Basionym. Aster cymbalariae Aiton 3 (1789) 197.

VEITCH CATALOGUES

One of the other nurseries providing novelties covered by Nicholson and Mottet (and contemporary rivals including Bois in France, Voss in Germany and Wright & Dewar in their edition (1894) of Johnson's *Gardener's Dictionary* in England [Mabberley 1990]) was the great nursery firm of Veitch in King's Road, Chelsea, London (Shephard 2003). Many of their plants were reported in published accounts of flower shows in London and elsewhere, while the firm also inserted advertisements in *Gardeners' Chronicle* announcing its novelties for sale. Nonetheless, in IPNI and other databases, some of the Veitch names have been attributed to Nicholson's *Dictionary*.

Subsequently, the new plants were offered by other nurseries like William Bull in London besides others on the Continent such as Van Geert and Laurentius, and appeared in Belgian, French, Austrian and German periodicals too. Very many citations of their plant-names in the literature refer to such secondary reportage, but the names first appeared in the firm's catalogues.

It is most important to grasp this because, often, these original descriptions were accompanied by plates not reproduced in the reportage. Unlike the readily lost loose plates earlier being issued for example by Ch. Huber Frères & Cie. in Hyères, France (Mabberley 1985), these were part of the bound catalogues.

There is a particularly complete set of Veitch catalogues with plates in the Lindley Library of the Royal Horticultural Society in London and I am grateful to Thomas Pink there for facilitating access to them. The important catalogues in the annual cycle of publications were those with the year's new introductions, issued in April (many are dated thus), with the advertisements (sometimes with errors) a few weeks later in *Gardeners' Chronicle*. Although a few have been detected by, e.g., IPNI from the primary source, at the time of writing dozens of new names or names had not and need to be revised to earlier dates and authorship and so have been provided to IPNI. Very occasionally there is a report, with description of a Veitch novelty exhibited at a show, before the germane catalogue was issued, e.g., *Dendrobium glomeratum* below.

The following names are the hitherto unrecognised ones in current use, thereby making these binomials more secure, while one leads to an adjustment in *Miconia*, where a *nomen novum* is proposed:

- Dendrobium glomeratum H.J.Veitch ex Rob. (1893) 568;
 H.J.Veitch (1894) 6 Orchidaceae (Central Malesia)
- 2. Dieffenbachia weirii J.J.Veitch (1866) 11 Araceae (Brazil)
- Dipladenia boliviensis J.J.Veitch ([April] 1869) 6 (t.), 13 = Mandevilla boliviensis (J.J.Veitch) Woodson – Apocynaceae (Bolivia, Ecuador)

Note — Usually rendered as *D. boliviensis* Hook.f. (July 1869).

- **4. Leea amabilis** H.J.Veitch (1882) 11 (t.), 19 Vitaceae (Borneo)
- Miconia peruviana J.J.Veitch ([April] 1867) [t. only seen*] & in Gard. Chron. 1867 (4 May 1867) 458 = Miconia sp. (Melastomataceae), according to Fabián A. Michelangeli, in litt. 6 July 2017

Note — The name antedates the homonym *Miconia peruviana* Cogn. (1891: 909), which has no synonyms according to Fabián A. Michelangeli, authority on the genus, and so I take pleasure in re-naming Cogniaux's Peruvian species after him:

Miconia fabianiana Mabb., nom. nov.

*The Royal Horticultural Society copy of Veitch's 1867 catalogue comprises merely the plates, the accompanying text having been discarded.

Rhododendron × sesterianum J.J.Veitch (1862) 8;
 G.Nicholson 4 (1886) 598 (R. edgeworthii Hook.f. × R. formosum Wall.) – Ericaceae

Note — Apparently an older name for $R. \times fragrantissimum$ Rollison of 1868.

- 7. Sarracenia × chelsonii H.J.Veitch (1879) 18 (t.), 27 (S. purpurea L. × S. rubra Walter) Sarraceniaceae
- 8. Sarracenia × mooreana H.J.Veitch (1877) 26 (S. flava L. × S. leucophylla Raf.) Sarraceniaceae

POSTSCRIPT

Besides respecting the efforts of earlier workers, sometimes deliberately disregarded for social or nationalistic reasons (Mabberley 1991), and recognising their insights and proposals, the bibliographic research needed to ensure precision in plant nomenclature (Merrill 1949) is not only of importance to taxonomists. As in the case of the chestnut vine, it can also lead to findings that are of interest to historians of botanical exploration besides scholars concerned with the prosopography associated with plant introductions to horticulture - in this case those made in a particularly obsessive, and commercially competitive, acquisitive period.

Acknowledgements I am grateful to the following for help with different points in this paper: Craig Brough (K), Stefan Dressler (FR), Graham Hardy (E), Adam Karremans (JBL), Fabián Michelangeli (NY), Thomas Pink (Lindley Library, London) and Hervé Sauquet (NSW).

REFERENCES

Adamson RS, Salter TM. 1950. Flora of the Cape Peninsula. Juta, Cape Town & Johannesburg.

Aiton W. 1789. Hortus Kewensis. 3 vols. Nicol, London.

André E. 1871. Pl. LXV. Bromelia Fernandae. Bromélie de Fernande. Illustr. Hort. 18: 114–115, t. 65.

Anon. 1930. Obituary. S. Joseph Mottet. Gardeners' Chronicle, ser. 3, 87: 277. Aublet JBCF. 1775. Histoire des plantes de la Guiane Françoise: rangées suivant la méthode sexuelle, avec plusieurs mémoires sur différens objects intéressans, relatifs à la culture & au commerce de la Guiane Françoise, & une notice des plantes de l'Isle-de-France. Four vols. London, Didot, Paris.

Baltet C. 1902. VITIS VOINIERIANA. Revue Horticole 74: 56-57.

Bois D. 1893–1899. Dictionnaire d'Horticulture Illustré. Klincksieck, Paris. Borhidi A. 2011. Transfer of the Mexican species of Psychotria subgen. Heteropsychotria to Palicourea based on morphological and molecular evidences. Acta Botanica Hungarica 53: 241–250.

Brown NE. 1901. Order CL. Aroideae. In: Oliver D, et al., Flora of Tropical Africa 8: 137–200. Reeve. London.

Burgerstein A, Abel F. 1898. Miscellen. Wiener Illustrirte Garten-Zeitung 23: 18–36.

Cheeseman TF. 1906. Manual of the New Zealand Flora. Govt. Printer, Wellington.

Cogniaux A. 1891. Melastomataceae. In: De Candolle C, Monographiae Phanerogamarum 7.

Domke W. 1932. Zur Kenntnis einiger Thymelaceen. Notizblatt des Botanischen Gartens und Museums zu Berlin-Dahlem 11: 348–363.

Engler A. 1884. C. Hydrosme Teuszii Engl. Gartenflora 33: 2–3.

Espejo Serna A, López-Ferrari AR. 1997. Notas nomenclaturales sobre Iridaceae. Acta Botanica Mexicana 41: 1–8.

Gagnepain F. 1910. Tetrastigma (Ampélidacées) nouveaux ou peu connus. Notulae Systematicae 1: 261–271.

Grignon 'M. de'. 1888. La question agricole en Indo-Chine. Saigon Républicain 1 (28): 1–2. gallica.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/bpt6k6275654s/f1.image.

Hooker JD. 1844. The botany of the Antarctic voyage. I. Flora Antarctica 1 (5). Reeve, London.

Hooker WJ. [1851–]1852. Icones Plantarum 9. Longman, London.

IPNI. 2017. The International Plant Names Index. Published on the internet http://www.ipni.org [last accessed November 2017]

Kirk T. 1891. On the botany of the Antarctic Islands. Transactions of the Australasian Association for the Advancement of Science 3: 213–231.

Klotzsch JF. 1851. Beschreibung einer neuen Pflanze aus der natürlichen Ordnung der Dioscoreen. Allgemeine Gartenzeitung 19: 393–394.

Lesourd F. 1930. Séraphin Mottet. Revue Horticole 1930: 73-74.

Mabberley DJ. 1983. Dr Smith's Anemia, or, the prevention of later homonyms. Taxon 32: 79–87.

Mabberley DJ. 1985. 'Die neuen Pflanzen von Ch. Huber Frères & Co. in Hyères'. Taxon 34: 448–456.

Mabberley DJ. 1990. The significance of the three independent 'Kew' editions of JOHNSON'S Gardener's Dictionary. Feddes Repertorium 101: 55–68

Mabberley DJ. 1991. The problem of 'older' names. In: Hawksworth DL (ed.), Improving the stability of names: needs and options [Regnum Vegetabile 123]: 123–134. Koeltz, Königstein.

244 Blumea – Volume 62 / 3, 2018

Mabberley DJ. 1999. The importance to Indopacific botany of Baron Dumont de Courset's Botaniste Cultivateur. Gardens' Bulletin Singapore 51: 309–317

- Mabberley DJ. 2002. The Agathis brownii case (Araucariaceae). Telopea 9: 743–754.
- Mabberley DJ. 2004. More French 'firsts' ignored: Dumont de Courset's Le Botaniste Cultivateur. Taxon 53: 187–192.
- Mabberley DJ. 2017a. A note on the tonka bean and William Forsyth junior's 'A botanical nomenclator' (1794). Blumea 62: 87–89.
- Mabberley DJ. 2017b. Mabberley's Plant-book. Fourth edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Merrill ED. 1949. Adventures in locating validly published but unlisted binomials. American Journal of Botany 36: 14–19.
- Mottet SJ. 1892–1899. Dictionnaire pratique d'horticulture et de jardinage. 5 vols. Vilmorin-Andrieux etc., Paris.
- Mottet SJ. 1897. Carex vilmorini. Revue Horticole 69: 79-81, t. 26.
- Müller J. (Müll.Arg). 1864. Neue Euphorbiaceen des Herbarium Hooker in Kew, auszugsweise vorläufig mitgetheilt aus dem Manuscript für De Candolle's Prodromus. Flora 47: 433–441.
- Nees von Esenbeck CGD. 1838. Delectus Seminum in Horto Botanico Vratislaviensi Collectorum A. 1838. Wroclaw. [See http://seedlists.naturalis.nl/content/dipteracanthus-schauerianus-nees.]
- Nicholson G. 1884–1887. The illustrated dictionary of gardening. 4 vols. Upcott, London.
- Nicholson G. 1900–1901. The illustrated dictionary of gardening, century supplement. Upcott, London.
- Regel A. 1886. Descriptiones et emendationes plantarum turkestanicarum bucharicarumque. Trudy Imperatorskago S.-Peterburgskago Botaniceskago Sada 9: 605–618.
- Robinson W. 1893. Societies and exhibitions. Garden 44: 567-570.
- Sallier J. 1897. Automne 1897. Extrait du Catalogue Général. Neuilly-sur-Seine. [Copy at Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh.]
- Sallier J. 1898. Automne 1898. Extrait du Catalogue Général. Neuilly-sur-Seine. [Copy at Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh.]

- Schlechter R. 1918. Orchidaceae novae et criticae. Decas I–III. Additamenta Orchidologiam Guatemalensem. Repertorium Specierum Novarum Regni Vegetabilis 15: 193–209.
- Shephard S. 2003. Seeds of fortune. A gardening dynasty. Bloomsbury, London.
- Smith LB, Kress WJ. 1989. New or restored genera of Bromeliaceae. Phytologia 66: 70–79.
- Stafleu FA, Cowan RS. 1981. Taxonomic literature. Volume III: Lho-O. Utrecht, The Hague.
- Staples GW, Herbst DR. 2005. A tropical garden flora. Plants cultivated in the Hawaiian Islands and other tropical places. Honolulu.
- Uline EB. 1896. Dioscoreae Mexicanae et Centrali-Americanae. Botanische Jahrbücher für Systematik, Pflanzengeschichte und Pflanzengeographie 22: 421–432
- Veitch HJ. 1877 [April]. Catalogue of new and beautiful plants 1877. London.
- Veitch HJ. 1879 [April]. Catalogue of new and beautiful plants 1879. London.
- Veitch HJ. 1882 [April]. Catalogue of new and beautiful plants 1882. London.

- Veitch JJ. 1866 [April]. Catalogue of new and beautiful plants 1866. London. Veitch JJ. 1867 [April]. Catalogue of new and beautiful plants 1867 [only the
- Veitch JJ. 1867 [April]. Catalogue of new and beautiful plants 1867 [only the plates seen]. London.

 Veitch JJ. 1869 [April]. Catalogue of new and beautiful plants 1869. London.
- Viala P, Vermorel V. 1910. Traité General de Viticulture, Ampelographie 1. Masson, Paris.
- Voss A. 1894–1896. Vilmorin's Blumengärtnerei Beschreibung, Kultur und Verwendung des gesamten Pflanzenmaterials für deutsche Gärten. Ed. 3. Parey, Berlin.
- WCSP. 2017. World checklist of selected plant families. Facilitated by the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew. Published on the internet; http://wcsp.science.kew.org. Last accesssed November 2017.
- Wright CH, Dewar D. 1894. Johnson's Gardener's Dictionary. A new edition thoroughly revised and considerably enlarged. London & New York.